


Hearing Session Order & Amendments



January 25, 2018

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: CENTURYLINK RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER
BILLING DISPUTES LITIGATION**

Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc. v. Centurylink, Inc., et al.,)
W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:17-01648) MDL No. 2795
(Formerly S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:17-08234))

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED DECEMBER 15, 2017
AND ORDER AMENDING CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER**

This action (*Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*), bearing the Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:17-08234, was included on the Panel's conditional transfer order ("CTO-3") filed on November 6, 2017. Plaintiff in *Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*, filed a notice of opposition to the transfer. Plaintiff later filed a motion and brief to vacate the CTO. The matter is scheduled to be heard at the Panel's January 25, 2018, hearing in Miami, Florida.


The Panel has now been advised that this action was transferred from the Southern District of New York to the Western District of Louisiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), by the Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan in an order filed on December 13, 2017. Coincident to this transfer, *Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*, was assigned a new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Panel's conditional transfer order designated as "CTO-3" filed on November 6, 2017, is AMENDED to reflect the new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all documents filed with the Panel's CM/ECF system under C.A. No. 1:17-08234 will be re-designated as C.A. No. 3:17-01648.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Hearing Order and Schedule filed on December 15, 2017, is likewise amended to reflect the new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **January 25, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Wilkie D. Ferguson, Jr. U.S. Courthouse
Ceremonial Courtroom 13-3, 13th Floor
400 North Miami Avenue
Miami, Florida 33128

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **January 8, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Southern District of Florida

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on January 25, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Miami, Florida, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer
Ellen Segal Huvelle
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
January 25, 2018 -- Miami, Florida

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

MDL No. 2809 – **IN RE: ONGLYZA (SAXAGLIPTIN) AND KOMBIGLYZE XR
(SAXAGLIPTIN AND METFORMIN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Carolyn Williams to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Middle District of Alabama

VALLENTINE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00265

Northern District of Alabama

PEOPLES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00101

District of Arizona

SETTLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01269

Northern District of California

WILLIAMS, ET AL. v. ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:16-07152
MARTIN v. BRISTOL-MYERS COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00661

Northern District of Georgia

REID v. BRISTOL-MEYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01503
TURNER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02782
YORK v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02915
JOHNSON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-02916

District of Idaho

CHRISTENSEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-00321

Northern District of Indiana

MILLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00330

Southern District of Indiana

COUSINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02607

Eastern District of Kentucky

BARNES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00124
TAYLOR, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:16-00260

Western District of Kentucky

TUCKER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00268

Eastern District of Louisiana

ROSS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00443
LESTER SPEIGHTS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-07884

Middle District of Louisiana

BROWN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00039

Western District of Louisiana

LETELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00553

District of New Jersey

YOUNG v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00347
REEVES, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-03024

MITCHELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03026

BINNS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03028

TALTON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03029

MCAFEE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03030

GREEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03032

ISHMAN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03033

ATKINS, SR. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03034

DAY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03035

ASENCIO v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03036

SECHLER, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03037

Eastern District of New York

CORTINA v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-03912

Middle District of North Carolina

HOLLAND v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00710

Southern District of Ohio

CARPENTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00262

Eastern District of Oklahoma

HULBERT v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 6:17-00327

District of South Carolina

DUBOSE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-01695

Eastern District of Tennessee

CAMPBELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00219

Middle District of Tennessee

WILCOX v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01202

Eastern District of Texas

WARE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00111

Northern District of Texas

BOLLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-00050

Southern District of Texas

DAVILA v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00145
CHESTER v. BRISTOL-MEYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00316

MDL No. 2810 - **IN RE: SIX FLAGS FAIR AND ACCURATE CREDIT
TRANSACTIONS ACT (FACTA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Six Flags Entertainment Corporation, Great America LLC, d/b/a Six Flags Great America and Six Flags Hurricane Harbor, and Magic Mountain LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

MIRANDA, ET AL. v. MAGIC MOUNTAIN LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-07483

Northern District of Georgia

BAILEY v. SIX FLAGS ENTERTAINMENT CORP., C.A. No. 1:17-03336

Northern District of Illinois

SOTO, ET AL. v. GREAT AMERICA LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-06902

MDL No. 2811 – **IN RE: DOMETIC CORPORATION GAS ABSORPTION
REFRIGERATOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Catherine Papasan, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

ZIMMER, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-06913

Northern District of California

PAPASAN, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 4:16-02117

Southern District of Florida

VARNER, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:16-22482
ZUCCONI, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:17-23197

MDL No. 2812 – **IN RE: CUSTOMIZED PROMOTIONAL PRODUCTS ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Laura Braley to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

District of Oregon

KJESSLER v. ZAAPPAAZ, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01361

Southern District of Texas

BRALEY v. AHMED, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-03064

MDL No. 2813 – **IN RE: DENTAL SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Benco Dental Supply Company and Patterson Companies, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

COMFORT CARE FAMILY DENTAL, P.C., ET AL. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00282
ROBERT W. GRODNER, DDS v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00345
BAUER DENTAL ARTS v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00355
DR. ROBERT CORWIN, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00442
KEITH SCHWARTZ, D.M.D., P.A. v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00443
DR. STEPHEN M. GRUSSMARK, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00479
DRESNIN v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00497
HOWARD M. MAY, DDS, PC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00548
BEMUS POINT DENTAL, LLC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00560
KOTTEMANN ORTHODONTICS, P.L.L.C. v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00576
NAGHMEH YADEGAR, D.D.S., INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00591
EVOLUTION DENTAL SCIENCE, LLC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00596
NELSON v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00609
PECK v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00616
PETER BENCE, DMD, P.A. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00631
KANELLOS & KOTIS v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00657
OMID FARAHMAND DMD, INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00661
PJCC DENTAL PC v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00662
WEST LA DENTAL HEALTH CARE CENTER v. PATTERSON COMPANIES,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00666

ANTHONY J. PEPPY DDS & SAMUEL J. PEPPY JR., DDS PC v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00691
SHAYSTEHFAR v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00692
IN RE DENTAL SUPPLIES ANTITRUST LITIGATION, C.A. No. 1:16-00696
RITTENHOUSE SMILES, P.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00762
THOMAS CASPERS, D.D.S., P.S., ET AL. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00765
WHITE v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00945
GREENBERG v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-01280
CORNERSTONE DENTISTRY, P.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-01333
SOURCEONE DENTAL, INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:15-05440
BERMUDEZ v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00570
INDIANOLA FAMILY DENTISTRY, P.L.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00658
STYGER, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00712
DENNIS M. WINTER, D.D.S., P.C., ET AL. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00751
JOHNNIDIS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00906
WOLGIN v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-01020
SCOTT T. OZAKI DDS INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-01377
IQ DENTAL SUPPLY, INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04834

Eastern District of Texas

ARCHER AND WHITE SALES, INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00572

MDL No. 2814 - **IN RE: FORD MOTOR CO. DPS6 POWERSHIFT TRANSMISSION PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Ford Motor Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

HIBDON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06355
ALONSO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06622
FORT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06631
BAGWELL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06632

BARRALES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06638
GIBSON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06644
HERMOSILLO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06651
MAGANA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06653
MEJIA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06654
PEDANTE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06656
RULE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07204
PADILLA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07236
HOGGE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07256
GOMEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07262
CRESPO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07297
HIATT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07321
TRUJILLO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07322
ALTAMIRANO-TORRES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-07338
ALTIKRITI, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07369
DOBIAS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07370
CASTANEDA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07416
SULLIVAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07497
EMHARDT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07533
MOBLEY v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07554
WRIGHT, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01982
PAPAMICHAEL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01986
RODRIGUEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02007
PADILLA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02015
WEST v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02018
BERRY, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02034
HENRY, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02036
PEREZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02042
KEATING v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02044
HERNANDEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02045
MCGINNIS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02047

Eastern District of California

ZIMMERSCHIED, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-01317
SORENSEN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-01987
WILLIAMS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02006
MALAGON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02051
VILLALOVOS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02053
BARRACK v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02078
LOVEST v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02079
CAMARGO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02092

MARQUEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02140
GLASSFORD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02145
RERICH v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02147
DOLAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02148
REYES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02151
NACUA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02153
MARTIN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02158

Northern District of California

THEADE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05643
SCHATZMAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05669
BRIGGS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05762
BECKER, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05765
HYDE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05613
SERVANTES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05615
THOMAS, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05619
MENDEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05620
MARLOWE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05621
TORRES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05694
ACEVES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05695
FORRESTER v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05698
TORRES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05699
RODRIGUEZ-DIAZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05701
RODGERS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05703
HERNANDEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05704
SIMMONS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05705
INDIVERI v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05706
GARCIA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05711
CONNAUGHTON, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05712
KLEIN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05722
MAGAN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05730
KANE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05745
MARTINEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05746
PADILLA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05747
PAYSENO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05749
RAVEN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05750
RIVERA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05751
GONZALEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05885
REINPRECHT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05900
TAVITIAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05915
ARCHIBALD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05922

DILLARD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05924
ESTRADA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05925
AGUILAR v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05927
ALLIANO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05978
HESS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05996
ROMERO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-06022

Southern District of California

MILES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01993
ROJAS, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02005
RALEIGH v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02035
CARDOSO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02037
ROSE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02038
MINKE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02039
KENNEDY v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02040
STANTON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02043
MODROW v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02044
ROCHE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02045
REECE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02046
MENDOZA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02047
SALGADO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02048
OMARK v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02049
MUHAMMAD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02050
SMITHFIELD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02109
PORTER, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02111
FUKASAWA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02116
BILLIARD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02121
ESQUIBEL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02157

District of Hawaii

HEMZA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00296

Southern District of Ohio

MARTIN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:16-00855

Eastern District of Texas

ASCENSIO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-00074

MDL No. 2815 – **IN RE: CORVETTE Z06 MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Michael Vazquez, et al.; Peter Jankovskis, et al.; Michael Jasper, et al.; and Joseph Minarik to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Northern District of California

JASPER, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 5:17-06284

Southern District of Florida

VAZQUEZ, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-22209

Northern District of Illinois

JANKOVSKIS, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-07822

Western District of Washington

MINARIK v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-01615

MDL No. 2816 – **IN RE: SORIN 3T HEATER-COOLER SYSTEM PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)**

Motion of defendants Sorin Group USA, Inc.; Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH; and LivaNova PLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

Northern District of Alabama

GOREE v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01427

Central District of California

GREEN, ET AL. v. CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CENTER, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-07341

GARVER, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND, GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-07802

District of Colorado

SYKES, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-02437

Middle District of Florida

DEZENSKI, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00323
POOLE v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 8:17-02568

Southern District of Florida

RAMIREZ v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-61455

Northern District of Georgia

SHEELY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00124

Northern District of Illinois

KMAK, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04759

Southern District of Indiana

ABPLANALP v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01916

Northern District of Iowa

SMITH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03058
SAWVEL v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-02056

Southern District of Iowa

CRAWFORD v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00103
REED, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00063
PRESCOTT v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:16-00472
PICKRELL v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-00191
ADAMS v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, C.A. No. 4:17-00237
JENKINS, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00324

THOMAS, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00360

Western District of Kentucky

STEWART, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00644

Eastern District of Michigan

KUHNMUENCH, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-11719

District of Minnesota

BRACKENBURY v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 0:17-04186

Eastern District of New York

DIAZ v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-06026

Northern District of New York

SUSCO v. LIVANOVA P.L.C., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01164

Eastern District of North Carolina

COLSON, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:17-00519

Western District of North Carolina

BLEVINS v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00785

Middle District of Pennsylvania

WHIPKEY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01233
HERSHEY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01768

District of South Carolina

WEINACKER v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02286
FOWLER, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02307
BAGWELL, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02308
MATTISON v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:16-03128
THOMASON, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:16-03129
JOHNSON v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:16-03130
SMITH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-03131
GILSTRAP, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:16-03132
WADDELL v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01060
WEST, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 8:16-02688

District of South Dakota

EISENBERG, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:16-04175
FAETH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-04049

Eastern District of Tennessee

CANTRELL, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00186

MDL No. 2817 - **IN RE: DEALER MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants CDK Global, LLC; CDK Global, Inc.; The Reynolds and Reynolds Company; and Computerized Vehicle Registration to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

MOTOR VEHICLE SOFTWARE CORPORATION v. CDK GLOBAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00896

Northern District of Illinois

HARTLEY BUICK GMC TRUCK, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-07827

Southern District of Mississippi

JOHN O'NEIL JOHNSON TOYOTA, LLC v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC,
C.A. No. 3:17-00888

District of New Jersey

TETERBORO AUTOMALL, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-08714

Western District of Wisconsin

AUTHENTICOM, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00318

MDL No. 2818 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS AIR CONDITIONING MARKETING
AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants General Motors Company, General Motors Holdings LLC, and
General Motors LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Michigan:

Northern District of California

JENKINS, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05864

Eastern District of Michigan

TANGARA, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 4:17-12786

Eastern District of New York

WON v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04819

Northern District of Texas

BELL, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-00183

MDL No. 2819 – **IN RE: RESTASIS (CYCLOSPORINE OPHTHALMIC EMULSION)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan, and 1199SEIU National Benefit Fund, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES
DISTRICT COUNCIL 37 HEALTH & SECURITY PLAN v. ALLERGAN, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:17-06684
1199SEIU NATIONAL BENEFIT FUND, ET AL. v. ALLERGAN, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:17-06755

Eastern District of Texas

FWK HOLDINGS, LLC v. ALLERGAN, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-00747

MDL No. 2820 – **IN RE: DICAMBA HERBICIDES LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Brian Warren, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Arkansas

WHITEHEAD FARMS, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00168
BRUCE FARMS PARTNERSHIP, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00154

Southern District of Illinois

WARREN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00973

District of Kansas

CLAASSEN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01210

Eastern District of Missouri

BADER FARMS, INC., ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:16-00299
LANDERS, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00020
SMOKEY ALLEY FARM PARTNERSHIP, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY,
ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02031
COW-MIL FARMS, INC. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-02386

Western District of Missouri

HARRIS v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-05262

MDL No. 2821 – **IN RE: BEHR DECKOVER MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Joan Edwards and Linne Rose to transfer the following actions to the
United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

IN RE BEHR PROCESS CORP., C.A. No. 8:17-01016

Eastern District of California

HAMILTON v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01765

Middle District of Florida

HAMIL, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-02058

Northern District of Illinois

BISHOP, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04464

District of New Jersey

BROCK v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12341

Eastern District of New York

COLE, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-05052

Western District of North Carolina

EDWARDS v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00683

District of Oregon

LEIKER v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01909

Western District of Washington

ROSE v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01754

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL No. 2179 – **IN RE: OIL SPILL BY THE OIL RIG "DEEPWATER HORIZON" IN
THE GULF OF MEXICO, ON APRIL 20, 2010**

Oppositions of plaintiff Shane Bruce and defendants Stephen Teague, M.D.; Mark Rasnake, M.D.; University Infectious Disease; Lori Staudenmaier, D.O.; UT Family Physicians LaFollette; Gregory A. Finch, P.A.; Campbell County HMA, LLC d/b/a Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic; Campbell County HMA, LLC d/b/a Tennova Healthcare LaFollette Medical Center; Knoxville HMA Physician Management, LLC d/b/a Tennova Cardiology Services; Christian Terzian, M.D.; and Jeffrey Nitz P.A. to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Eastern District of Tennessee

BRUCE v. GREAT BRITAIN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00285

MDL No. 2295 – **IN RE: PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, TELEPHONE
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Motions of defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Middle District of Florida

HYNES v. CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 8:17-02176

ANCONA v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-02396

Northern District of Illinois

ARORA v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-06851

MDL No. 2323 – **IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION
INJURY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Shayanna Jenkins Hernandez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

District of Massachusetts

HERNANDEZ v. NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-12244

MDL No. 2327 – **IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Margo Karn, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Central District of California

KARN, ET AL. v. CALDERA MEDICAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07515

MDL No. 2543 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS LLC IGNITION SWITCH LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Megan Hancock, et al., and Kenneth Myers to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Southern District of Ohio

HANCOCK, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC DBA GENERAL MOTORS
COMPANY DBA GENERAL MOTORS, C.A. No. 3:17-00309

Northern District of West Virginia

MYERS v. GENERAL MOTORS, C.A. No. 3:17-00122

MDL No. 2557 – IN RE: AUTO BODY SHOP ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motions of defendants State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Encompass Home and Auto Insurance Co.; Esurance Insurance Company; Travelers Property Casualty Insurance Company; Liberty Mutual Insurance Company; Safeco Insurance Company of America; State Auto Mutual Insurance Company; USAA Casualty Insurance Company; The Cincinnati Insurance Company; Nationwide General Insurance Company; 21st Century Insurance Company Farmers Insurance Exchange; and Kemper Independence Insurance Company to transfer their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Western District of Pennsylvania

PROFESSIONAL, INC. v. FIRST CHOICE AUTO INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00170

PROFESSIONAL, INC. v. KEMPER INDEPENDENT INSURANCE COMPANY,
C.A. No. 3:17-00176

MDL No. 2599 – IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Lynda Flores to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

FLORES v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08334

MDL No. 2709 – IN RE: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP. MOTOR OIL MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff State of Mississippi to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri:

Southern District of Mississippi

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI v. DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-00801

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Dawn Hannah and Any Johnson, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Missouri

HANNAH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02647
JOHNSON, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02651

MDL No. 2754 – **IN RE: ELIQUIS (APIXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Delaware

MELZER, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01094
FEGLEY, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01095
CARTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01505
HAWKINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01506
BOOKER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01507
MARKS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01508
VOWELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01509
LEONARD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01510
THOMAS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01533
SMITH v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01556
HOLBROOKS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01557
HALL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01558
DAVIS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01559
WELLINGTON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01560
SMITH v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01561
SHOWERS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01562

LITTLEFIELD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01563

CUTSINGER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01564

LOMBARDO v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01565

MILLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01566

MCDUGLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01567

CARR v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01568

MDL No. 2768 – **IN RE: STRYKER LFIT V40 FEMORAL HEAD PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ronald Cote and Phillippe J. Bolduc to transfer of their
respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Rhode Island

COTE v. STRYKER CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00311

BOLDUC v. STRYKER CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00429

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ronald L. Cox, et al., and defendant Smith & Nephew, Inc., to
transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District
of Maryland:

Northern District of Georgia

COX, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-03047

Southern District of Ohio

FISHER v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00347

MDL No. 2795 – **IN RE: CENTURYLINK RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILLING
DISPUTES LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Inter-Marketing Group USA, Inc., and defendants CenturyLink, Inc.; Glen F. Post III; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; and David D. Cole to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Western District of Louisiana

CRAIG v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01005
SCOTT v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01033
THUMMETI v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01065

Southern District of New York

INTER-MARKETING GROUP USA, INC. v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-08234

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Linda Hughes and City of Seattle and defendants KVK-Tech, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals of New York, LLC; Impax Laboratories, Inc.; and West-Ward Pharmaceuticals Corp., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Eastern District of Missouri

HUGHES v. MALLINCKRODT BRAND PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-02426

Western District of Washington

CITY OF SEATTLE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01577

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

Hearing Session Order & Amendments



March 29, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **March 29, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Richard B. Russell Federal Building and
United States Courthouse
Ceremonial Courtroom, 23rd Floor
75 Ted Turner Drive S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **March 12, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of Georgia

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on March 29, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Atlanta, Georgia, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer
Ellen Segal Huvelle
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
March 29, 2018 -- Atlanta, Georgia

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

MDL No. 2822 – IN RE: FIRST DATABANK PRESCRIPTION INFORMATION LITIGATION

Motion of defendant First Databank, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

EXELTIS USA, INC. v. FIRST DATABANK, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-04810

Northern District of Georgia

ACELLA PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. FIRST DATABANK, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:17-05013

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

WOMEN'S CHOICE PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. FIRST DATABANK, INC.,
C.A. No. 2:17-03725

MDL No. 2824 – IN RE: GOLD KING MINE RELEASE IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, COLORADO, ON AUGUST 5, 2015

Motion of defendant Environmental Restoration, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico:

District of New Mexico

STATE OF NEW MEXICO v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:16-00465
NAVAJO NATION v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:16-00931
MCDANIEL, ET AL. v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-00710

District of Utah

STATE OF UTAH, THE v. ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00866

MDL No. 2825 – **IN RE: ALTERYX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff David Kacur to transfer the following actions to the United States
District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

KACUR v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-02222

District of Nevada

FOSKARIS v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-03088

District of Oregon

JACKSON v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-02021

MDL No. 2826 – **IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Steven Agans, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United
States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Alabama

GRICE v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 5:17-01975

Central District of California

FLORES v. RASIER, LLC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08503
HELLER, ET AL. v. RASIER, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08545

Northern District of California

WEBBER, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-06758

AGANS, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-06759
BURNETT, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-06835

Northern District of Illinois

HARANG, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08500
FRANKLIN, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No.1:17-08510
WEST v. UBER USA, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08593
PATNI, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08709

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

DESIGNOR v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-05289

MDL No. 2827 – **IN RE: APPLE INC. DEVICE PERFORMANCE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Nicole Gallmann to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

BOGDANOVICH, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-09138
MAILYAN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-09192

Northern District of California

HARVEY v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07274
GALLMANN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07285
HAKIMI v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07292
BATISTA, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07355

Southern District of California

COOK v. APPLE INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02579

Southern District of Florida

ABUROS v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-24712

Northern District of Illinois

MANGANO, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-09178
NEILAN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-09296

Southern District of Indiana

SCHROEDER v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-04750

Eastern District of Louisiana

LANASA v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-17878

Southern District of Mississippi

MCINNIS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00358

Western District of Missouri

BURTON, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-04257

Eastern District of New York

DRANTIVY v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-07480

LAZARUS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-07485

Southern District of New York

RABINOVITS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-10032

District of South Carolina

BRAND, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-03453

Eastern District of Texas

MILLER, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:17-00889

MDL No. 2828 – **IN RE: INTEL CORP. CPU MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Stephen Garcia, et al., and Richard Reis, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

GARCIA, ET AL. v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-00046

REIS, ET AL. v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-00074

Southern District of Indiana

JONES v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00029

Eastern District of New York

STERN v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00065

District of Oregon

MANN v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 6:18-00028

MDL No. 2829 – IN RE: MT. GOX BITCOIN EXCHANGE LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Anthony Motto to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

LACK v. MIZUHO BANK, LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00617

Northern District of Illinois

GREENE, ET AL. v. MTGOX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01437

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

PEARCE v. MIZUHO BANK, LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00306

MDL No. 2830 – IN RE: UNILOC USA, INC., AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A., HPE PORTFOLIO PATENT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Northern District of California

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LOGITECH, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-06733

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00358

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00360

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00363

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00572

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00359
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00365

District of Delaware

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01526
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01527
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. PEEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01552
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. WINK LABS, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01656
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01657
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01658

Southern District of Indiana

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. EXCLUSIVE GROUP LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-03962

Eastern District of Texas

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00707
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00714
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00722

Northern District of Texas

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00825
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00826
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00827
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00828
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00858

Western District of Washington

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01558
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01561
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01562

MDL No. 2831 – **IN RE: AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant AM Retail Group, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California:

Eastern District of California

WATKINS, ET AL. v. AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01287

Northern District of California

SANCHEZ v. AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00287

MDL No. 2832 – **IN RE: LIQUID TOPPINGS DISPENSING SYSTEM ('447) PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Guillermo Canedo and Icetastic Enterprises, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Arizona

KONA ICE, INC. v. MESSIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-03298

District of Colorado

KONA ICE, INC. v. LIU, C.A. No. 1:17-02301
KONA ICE, INC. v. SILVA-ROMERO, C.A. No. 1:17-02302

Northern District of Florida

KONA ICE, INC. v. BAILEY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00698

Southern District of Florida

KONA ICE, INC. v. CANEDO, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-61842
TIKIZ FRANCHISING, LLC, ET AL. v. KONA ICE, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-60237

Western District of Louisiana

KONA ICE, INC. v. NAVARRE, C.A. No. 2:17-01208

District of Maryland

KONA ICE, INC. v. SNEE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02809

Western District of North Carolina

KONA ICE, INC. v. BUMGARNER, C.A. No. 3:17-00563

Eastern District of Texas

KONA ICE, INC. v. HODGSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-00667

Southern District of Texas

KONA ICE COMPANY v. CROWDER, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02837

Western District of Texas

KONA ICE, INC. v. DETAVERNIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00931

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

**MDL No. 2291 - IN RE: WESSON OIL MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Conagra Brands, Inc., to reassign this MDL, comprised of the following cases, from the United States District Court for the Central District of California to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

BRISENO v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-05379
TOOMER v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06127
MCFADDEN v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06402
RUIZ v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06480
KREIN v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-07097
VIRR v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-08421
SCARPELLI, ET AL. v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-08513
(D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:11-04038)
ANDRADE v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-09308

**MDL No. 2295 - IN RE: PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, TELEPHONE
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Middle District of Florida

WILLIAMS v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, C.A. No. 6:17-02064

MDL No. 2406 - IN RE: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Reva, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

Southern District of Florida

REVA, INC. v. HEALTHKEEPERS INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-24158

MDL No. 2433 – **IN RE: E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY C-8
PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of defendants E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and The Chemours Company to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

Southern District of West Virginia

RISER v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-03795
GREGG v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-03926
BRAGG v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-04228
STOVER v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-04375
ANDERSON, ET AL. v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY,
C.A. No. 2:17-04400

MDL No. 2557 – **IN RE: AUTO BODY SHOP ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Government Employees Insurance Company to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

District of Oregon

LEIF'S AUTO COLLISION CENTERS, LLC v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-01822

MDL No. 2590 – **IN RE: NAVISTAR MAXXFORCE ENGINES MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Christopher Moser to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Texas

MOSER v. NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:17-00598

MDL No. 2599 – **IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Arthur L. Bustos, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of New Mexico

BUSTOS, ET AL. v. LUCERO, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00058

MDL No. 2613 – **IN RE: TD BANK, N.A., DEBIT CARD OVERDRAFT FEE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Britney Lawrence and Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

District of New Jersey

LAWRENCE v. TD BANK N.A., C.A. No. 1:17-12583

MDL No. 2627 – **IN RE: LUMBER LIQUIDATORS CHINESE-MANUFACTURED FLOORING PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Kaleigh Craig, et al., and Bryan Gaus, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia:

District of Nebraska

CRAIG, ET AL. v. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-00480

Northern District of West Virginia

GAUS, ET AL. v. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00177

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Darren Cartwright, et al.; Maureen Kassimali, et al.; Janice M. Callahan, et al.; and Phyllis D. Smith, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Missouri

CARTWRIGHT, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02851
KASSIMALI, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00014

Middle District of Pennsylvania

CALLAHAN, ET AL. v. ACME MARKETS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00022
SMITH, ET AL. v. GIANT FOOD STORES, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00023

MDL No. 2741 – **IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joseph Shible and Richard Heinzen, et al., and defendant Monsanto Company to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Eastern District of Arkansas

WINDLE, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:18-00023

District of Delaware

SHIBLE v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:18-00080

Eastern District of Missouri

HEINZEN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-02881

MDL No. 2754 – **IN RE: ELIQUIS (APIXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Delaware

BAGINSKI v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01607

MORROW v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01608

BATES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01609
RISNER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01610
GREEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01611
HASSENPFUG v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01644

BEECHIM v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01666

BISHOP v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01667
WALLS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01694
EDMONDSON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01695

HAGEDORN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01708

ARDEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01709
CARTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01715
LOONEY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01716
SWEENEY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01756

WOLFE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01757
HOWARD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01759

CALLAIS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01760
MELSER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01761
DOLLAR v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01762
JENNINGS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01763

TOUPS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01764
RUGGLES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01765

JENKINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01766
DOWELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01767
FRIDDLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01768

MDL No. 2777 – **IN RE: CHRYSLER–DODGE–JEEP ECODIESEL MARKETING,
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Chrysler Automobiles N.V., FCA US LLC, Sergio Marchionne, Scott Kunselman, Michael Dahl, Steve Mazure, and Robert E. Lee to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Southern District of New York

PIRNIK v. FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:15–07199

MDL No. 2782 – **IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Janice Gilmore to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of Indiana

GILMORE v. HOWARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–00087

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ashley Abramson, et al.; Katiushka Rebeca Acosta-Smith, et al.; City of Chicago; Kevin L. Cofield, Sr., et al.; Craig Ward, et al.; and Craven Randall Casper and defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and Trans Union, LLC to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Central District of California

ABRAMSON, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 8:17–02201

ACOSTA–SMITH, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18–00005

Northern District of Illinois

CITY OF CHICAGO v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:17–07798

District of Maryland

COFIELD, SR., ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17–03119

WARD, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17–03246

Middle District of North Carolina

CASPER v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01004

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants KVK-Tech, Inc., and Bloodworth Wholesale Drugs, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Southern District of Alabama

THE ESTATE OF BRUCE BROCKEL, DECEASED, BY AND THROUGH DONNA BROCKEL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00521

Northern District of Georgia

THE COUNTY OF FULTON v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-04757

Eastern District of Kentucky

THE COUNTY OF FLOYD v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 7:17-00186
PIKE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 7:17-00193
KNOTT v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 7:18-00006

Middle District of Louisiana

LOUISIANA HEALTH SERVICE & INDEMNITY COMPANY D/B/A BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF LOUISIANA, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01766

District of New Jersey

CITY OF PATERSON v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13433

Southern District of Ohio

JEFFERSON COUNTY, OHIO v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00037

District of Oregon

COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-02010

Northern District of West Virginia

BROOKE COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00009
HANCOCK COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00010
HARRISON COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00011
LEWIS COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00012
MARSHALL COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00013
OHIO COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00014
TYLER COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00015
WETZEL COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00016

Western District of Wisconsin

ST. CROIX CHIPPEWA INDIANS OF WISCONSIN v. MCKESSON
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00914

MDL No. 2823 – **IN RE: BERNZOMATIC AND WORTHINGTON BRANDED
HANDHELD TORCH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Jason Lou Peralta and Kurtis M. Bailey to transfer the following
actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

District of Arizona

PERALTA v. WORTHINGTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-03195

Central District of California

MARMONT, ET AL. v. BERNZOMATIC CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00848

Northern District of Illinois

BAILEY v. BERNZOMATIC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-07548

District of South Carolina

LOFTON v. IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01358

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

Hearing Session Order & Amendments



May 31, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **May 31, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Everett McKinley Dirksen
United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 2525, 25th Floor
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **May 14, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of Illinois

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on May 31, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Chicago, Illinois, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer
Ellen Segal Huvelle
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
May 31, 2018 -- Chicago, Illinois

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

MDL No. 2833 – IN RE: FEDLOAN STUDENT LOAN SERVICING LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Adam Morris, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of Illinois

ROCKWELL, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:18-00367

Northern District of Ohio

FORD, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE
AGENCY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00049

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

MORRIS, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE
AGENCY, C.A. No. 2:18-00031
CLANCY v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AGENCY,
C.A. No. 2:18-00753

Middle District of Pennsylvania

SALVATORE v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:17-00385
GALLAGHER v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:17-02416

MDL No. 2834 – **IN RE: PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Personal Web Technologies, LLC, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. AIRBNB, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00149
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. AMICUS FTW, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00150
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ATLISSIAN, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00154
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CLOUD 66, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00155
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CUREBIT, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00156
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. DOXIMITY, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00157
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FANDOR, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00159
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GOLDBELY, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00160
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GOPRO, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00161
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. HEROKU, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00162
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LEAP MOTION, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00163
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MELIAN LABS, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00165
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MYFITNESSPAL, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00166
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. QUOTIENT TECHNOLOGY,
INC., C.A. No. 5:18-00169
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. REDDIT, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00170
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ROBLOX CORPORATION,
C.A. No. 5:18-00171
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. STITCHFIX, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00173

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. STUMBLEUPON, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00174
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. TEESPRING, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00175
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. TOPHATTER, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00176
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. VENMO, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00177
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. WEBFLOW, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00178
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. SQUARE, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00183
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. VEND, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00196
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MERKLE, INC.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00409
AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL. v. PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00767

District of Delaware

PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CAPTERRA, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00133
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. KARMA MOBILITY INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00134
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LIVECHAT SOFTWARE SA,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00135
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MATCH GROUP, LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00136
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. WEDDINGWIRE, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00137

Eastern District of New York

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ATLAS OBSCURA, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00164
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. KICKSTARTER, PBC,
C.A. No. 1:18-00206
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CLOUD WARMER INC.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00205

Southern District of New York

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BDG MEDIA, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00212
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BITLY, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00216
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BLUE APRON, LLC,
C.A. No. 1:18-00217
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CENTAUR MEDIA USA, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00219
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FAB COMMERCE & DESIGN,
INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00220
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FOOD52, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00222
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. PANJIVA, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00223
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GROUP NINE MEDIA, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00268
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FANDUEL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00269
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ROCKETHUB, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00271
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. SPONGECCELL, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00272

Eastern District of Texas

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. YOTPO LTD,
C.A. No. 4:18-00045
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LESSON NINE GMBH,
C.A. No. 4:18-00046
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FIVERR INTERNATIONAL
LTD., C.A. No. 4:18-00047
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MWM MY WEDDING MATCH
LTD., C.A. No. 4:18-00049

MDL No. 2835 – **IN RE: IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Microsoft Corporation to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

District of Delaware

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. LENOVO (UNITED STATES), INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01153

Eastern District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00744

Northern District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. FUJITSU AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:16-03319

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:16-03320

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-03322

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01259

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02699

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ZTE CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-03112

MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
C.A. No. 3:18-00222

Western District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DELL INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00999

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. HP INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01068

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:17-00143

MDL No. 2836 – **IN RE: ZETIA (EZETIMIBE) ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund and Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

UFCW LOCAL 1500 WELFARE FUND v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00763
PHILADELPHIA FEDERATION OF TEACHERS HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND
v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01216

Eastern District of Virginia

FWK HOLDINGS, LLC v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00023
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, MIAMI LODGE 20, INSURANCE TRUST
FUND v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00035
CESAR CASTILLO, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00039
ROCHESTER DRUG COOPERATIVE, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00071
SERGEANTS BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION HEALTH & WELFARE FUND v.
MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00108

MDL No. 2838 – **IN RE: BROILER CHICKEN GROWER ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Haff Poultry, Inc., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma:

Eastern District of North Carolina

HAFF POULTRY, INC., ET AL. v. KOCH FOODS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 7:18-00031

Eastern District of Oklahoma

HAFF POULTRY, INC., ET AL. v. TYSON FOODS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:17-00033

MDL No. 2839 – **IN RE: LURASIDONE PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

District of Delaware

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. AMNEAL
PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00256
SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. FIRST TIME US
GENERICS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00369

District of New Jersey

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. EMCURE
PHARMACEUTICALS LTD., C.A. No. 2:18-02065
SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. AUROBINDO
PHARMA LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02620

Eastern District of New York

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. INVAGEN
PHARMACEUTICALS INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01444

Middle District of North Carolina

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. ACCORD
HEALTHCARE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00185

MDL No. 2840 – **IN RE: COMERICA BANK WOODBRIDGE INVESTMENT
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Mark Baker, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

JAY BEYNON FAMILY TRUSTED DTD 10/23/1998, ET AL. v. COMERICA
BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00103
PRINCE, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00430
LANDMAN, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00471
GORDON, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01298

Southern District of Florida

BAKER, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 0:18-60524

MDL No. 2841 – **IN RE: MONAT HAIR CARE PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Amber Alabaster and Crystal Merritt to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Southern District of Florida

SOHOVICH v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-20624
WHITMIRE, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 1:18-20636
MCWHORTOR, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 1:18-20870

Western District of Oklahoma

ALABASTER, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 5:18-00224

Northern District of Texas

MERRITT v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 3:18-00657

MDL No. 2842 – **IN RE: CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE VOLATILITY INDEX MANIPULATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Eric J. Levy, Living Trust UA dated 1/21/10, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Illinois

TOMASULO v. CBOE EXCHANGE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02025

Southern District of New York

SAMUEL v. JOHN DOES, C.A. No. 1:18-01593
QUINT v. DRW HOLDINGS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01980
MUSSO v. JOHN DOES, C.A. No. 1:18-02269
LEVY, LIVING TRUST UA DATED 1/21/10, ET AL. v. JOHN DOES,
C.A. No. 1:18-02552

MDL No. 2843 – **IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Theresa Beiner, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

PRICE v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01732
RUBIN v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01852
O'KELLY v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01915
BEINER, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01953
GENNOCK, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01891

Northern District of Illinois

COMFORTE, ET AL. v. CAMBRIDGE ANALYTICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02120

District of New Jersey

MALSKOFF, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-04451

Southern District of Texas

LODOWSKI v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00907

MDL No. 2844 – **IN RE: FLORIDA, PUERTO RICO, AND U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS 2016 AND 2017 HURRICANE SEASONS FLOOD CLAIMS LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Marcia Samuelson, et al., and Felix Guardiola,, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Middle District of Florida

NETKA v. FIRST COMMUNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:18-00180
ACKER, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,
C.A. No. 5:17-00439
BATISTA, ET AL. v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02081
GUARDIOLA, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY OF
FLORIDA, C.A. No. 8:17-02208
AUNER, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,
C.A. No. 8:17-02209

GUARDIOLA, ET AL. v. AMERICAN STRATEGIC INSURANCE,

C.A. No. 8:17-02211

WASSEN, ET AL. v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02213

SAMUELSON, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,

C.A. No. 8:17-02214

SHELDON, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,

C.A. No. 8:17-02215

CONNERS v. TOWER HILL PRIME INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02937

Northern District of Florida

CEDAR KEY MARINA II INC. v. WRIGHT NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE

COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00236

PATE v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00238

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL No. 2047 – **IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Andre Amorin, et al., and defendants Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Eastern District of Louisiana

AMORIN, ET AL. v. TAISHAN GYPSUM CO., LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:11-01672
(S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 1:11-22408)

MDL No. 2158 – **IN RE: ZIMMER DUROM HIP CUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs David Foscue, et al., for remand, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

District of New Jersey

FOSCUE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-07491 (W.D. Arkansas,
C.A. No. 1:12-01083)

MDL No. 2187 – **IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Noetic Specialty Insurance Company to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Eastern District of Virginia

NOETIC SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY v. MEDTRONIC PLC,
C.A. No. 1:18-00139

MDL No. 2244 – **IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of relators Dr. Antoni Nargol and Dr. David Langton to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. v. DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-10896

MDL No. 2428 – **IN RE: FRESENIUS GRANUFLO/NATURALYTE DIALYSATE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Josephine Gallardo Hernandez, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Southern District of Texas

GALLARDO HERNANDEZ, ET AL. v. KIDNEY SPECIALISTS OF SOUTH TEXAS,
P.A., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00056

MDL No. 2543 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS LLC IGNITION SWITCH LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Richard Ronquillo, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Western District of Texas

RONQUILLO, ET AL. v. BRAVO SOUTHWEST, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00016

MDL No. 2575 – **IN RE: FLUIDMASTER, INC., WATER CONNECTOR COMPONENTS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendant Merrimack Mutual Fire Insurance Company to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

District of Massachusetts

FLUIDMASTER, INC. v. MERRIMACK MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,
C.A. No. 1:18-10260

MDL No. 2599 – **IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff William Hogan, Jr., and defendant Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Middle District of Florida

HOGAN v. GOMEZ, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00134

Northern District of Georgia

MAESTRI v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01070

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

FRYE v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00940

Eastern District of Missouri

GAVIN, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00212

REISING, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00380

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

STORM, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01049

MDL No. 2741 – **IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Ralph A. Applegate transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Southern District of Ohio

APPLEGATE v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:18-00045

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Francis Gregg Proudfoot, II, to transfer of the *Proudfoot* action to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland and motions of plaintiffs Michael Tipsord, et al., to transfer the *Tipsord* action and defendant Smith & Nephew, Inc., to transfer the *Lafountain*, *McAnneny*, *Bucalo*, and *Shuker* actions to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland:

District of Connecticut

LAFOUNTAIN v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01598
MCANNENY v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-00012
PROUDFOOT v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-01106

Central District of Illinois

TIPSORD, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:16-01339

Northern District of Illinois

BUCALO, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-06911

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

SHUKER, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:13-06158

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Deborah Walton and Cedric Forrest to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of Indiana

WALTON v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00225

Southern District of Texas

FORREST v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00817

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Mylan Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

District of Delaware

STATE OF DELAWARE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00383

Middle District of Florida

THE COUNTY OF OSCEOLA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:18-00164

Eastern District of Kentucky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,
C.A. No. 3:18-00010

Eastern District of Louisiana

ST. BERNARD PARISH GOVERNMENT v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-02717

District of Maryland

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00519

District of Montana

STATE OF MONTANA v. PURDUE PHARMA, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00033

Eastern District of Oklahoma

THE CHEROKEE NATION v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:18-00056

Eastern District of Texas

COUNTY OF VAN ZANDT v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:18-00064

Southern District of Texas

COUNTY OF HARRIS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00490

Southern District of West Virginia

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLAY COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00413

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

Hearing Session Order & Amendments



July 26, 2018

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY CUSTOMER
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

Antranik Mekerdijian v. Saks Fifth Avenue LLC, et al.,)
S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:18-05844) MDL No. 2847
(Formerly C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:18-02649))

SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED JUNE 15, 2018

This action (*Mekerdijian*), bearing the Central District of California civil action number of 2:18-02649, was included in plaintiff Latusha Vains' motion to transfer several actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The matter is scheduled to be heard at the Panel's July 26, 2018, hearing in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

The Panel has now been advised that this action was transferred from the Central District of California to the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), by the Honorable John F. Walker in an order filed on June 26, 2018. Coincident to this transfer, *Mekerdijian* was assigned a new Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:18-05844.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all documents filed with the Panel's CM/ECF system under C.A. No. 2:18-02649 will be re-designated as C.A. No. 1:18-05844.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Hearing Order and Schedule filed on June 15, 2018, is likewise amended to reflect the new Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:18-05844.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED JUNE 15, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on June 15, 2018, are amended to include the following additional civil actions on Section A of the Schedule for the hearing session on July 26, 2018, in Santa Fe, New Mexico:

**MDL No. 2848 IN RE: ZOSTAVAX (ZOSTER VACCINE LIVE) PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida or the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Middle District of Florida

ERICKSON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-0562
PILLITTERI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-0037

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffrey N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **July 26, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Santiago E. Campos
United States Courthouse
Aspen Courtroom, 2nd Floor
106 South Federal Place
Santa Fe, New Mexico

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **July 9, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on July 26, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer
Ellen Segal Huvelle
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
July 26, 2018 -- Santa Fe, New Mexico

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2845 – IN RE: REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC PATENT
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado:

Central District of California

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. HULU, LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-07611

District of Colorado

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SLING TV LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-02097

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. POLYCOM, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:17-02692

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-02869

District of Delaware

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. BRIGHTCOVE, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01519

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. HAIVISION NETWORK VIDEO
INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01520

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. NETFLIX, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01692

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01693

District of Massachusetts

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.,
C.A. No. 1:18-10355

Eastern District of Texas

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:17-00549

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
C.A. No. 6:17-00591

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00113

MDL No. 2846 – **IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Stephen Silber, et al.; Gladys Broyles; Marianna Coussas; Tyler Lyon; Michael Heili; Phyllis Manuel; Gregory Rowe; Wayne Ogle; Thomas Spencer; Steven Zemko; Gerald Lane; Pauline R. Currey; Kelli Abshire, et al.; Josephene Williams; and Shane Wade to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri:

District of Arizona

BOURLOKAS v. DAVOL INCORPORATED, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00615

Eastern District of California

SILBER, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00479
GRESCHNER v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:15-01663
HANSEN v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00495

Southern District of Florida

DAWSON-WEBB v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-62012
BARBAREE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80243

Central District of Illinois

MCGINNIS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-01255

Northern District of Illinois

COLEMAN v. DAVOL, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01706

Eastern District of Kentucky

MOORE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-00132

Western District of Kentucky

WHITEHOUSE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00020

Eastern District of Louisiana

STIPELCOVICH v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-09656

MCMANUS v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-11836

BAPTIST v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12718

ROBERTS, SR., ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13657

SANDERS, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02884

Southern District of Mississippi

DOVE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00003

Eastern District of Missouri

GREENWOOD v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00039

BROYLES v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00513

Western District of Missouri

COUSSAS v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-04015

POWELL v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-05019

LYON v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00039

ELI v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00116

ELLIOT v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00125

HEILI v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00130

MANUEL v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00131

POWELL v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00156

SCHAPELER v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00169

CHRISSAN v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00171

ROWE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-03019

LEE v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-03075

District of New Jersey

OGLE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00819
BURGESS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01390
SPENCER v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01692
GALLOW v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02533
VOLPE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02602
ZEMKO v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02742
NEWLAND, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02915

District of New Mexico

NANCE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00003

Eastern District of New York

FURLEITER v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01229

Northern District of Ohio

KNAUSS, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00316

Southern District of Ohio

LANE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00164
CURREY v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00222
ABSHIRE, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00268

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

WROTEN, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04546
GORDON v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04553

District of South Carolina

BROWN v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00580
CAMPBELL, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00581
ADAMS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00582
BRUGGER, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00228

Middle District of Tennessee

TERRELL v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01575

Eastern District of Texas

WILLIAMS v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00151

Southern District of Texas

BECERRA v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00023

WADE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01112

MDL No. 2847 – **IN RE: HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY
BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Latusha Vains to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Central District of California

MEKERDIJIAN v. SAKS FIFTH AVENUE LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-02649

District of Delaware

BEEKMAN v. LORD & TAYLOR, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00521

Southern District of New York

TAFET, ET AL. v. HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02980

VAINS v. HUDSONS'S BAY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03366

MDL No. 2848 – **IN RE: ZOSTAVAX (ZOSTER VACCINE LIVE) PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida or the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Middle District of Florida

BELL v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01320
MCRAE v. MERCK & CO., INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00483
BLANCHARD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00038
JONES, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00144
ERICKSON, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01672
SMITH v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00043
PATTERSON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00092
ALFORD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00093
GRENIER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00407
MELENDEZ v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00408
KELLY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00604
MALBERG v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00034
BENCIVENGA v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00156
BOWMAN, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00434
STEPHENS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00512
DEKER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00650
DOLAN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00651
MELUCCI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00695
BOCKUS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00715

Northern District of Florida

HIRAM v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00051
ADAMS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00155
SHEPPARD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00200
GREEN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00007

Southern District of Florida

ENDRESEN-WORTHY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-14095
SOROKO v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80021

District of Massachusetts

VERGE v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-30036

District of New Jersey

GASPI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12849
SMART, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12853
KIRK v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13689
GRACE, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01844
WARD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03811
GASPARD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-11483
SYKES, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-11657
SEIGMAN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-12210
PINKSTAFF, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-12212
FARRINGTON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-13496
TEMPLET, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00457
WAGGONER, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00987
BROWN, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02460
CASSIDY, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02527
GEISHEKER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02544

Eastern District of New York

BRAVO, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00687
BRUMFIELD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06526
ZACCANELLI, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07106
ALBISANO, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00365
CLARK, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01592

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

DOTTER, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-04686
ESTATE OF CARMEN RODRIGUEZ v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00485
BILLECI, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00486
BENTLEY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01122
DOHERTY, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01415
MOLOUKI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01983
ELMEGREEN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02044

Western District of Pennsylvania

LEE v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00419

Eastern District of Wisconsin

EVERTS, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00020

MDL No. 2849 – **IN RE: STARBUCKS CORPORATION ACCESS FOR INDIVIDUALS
WITH DISABILITIES LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Starbucks Corporation to transfer the following actions to the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of California:

Central District of California

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00717

Eastern District of California

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02489
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02792
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02797
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02820
JOHNSON v. VALLEY MACK PLAZA CO. L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01125
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-01718
JOHNSON v. BRIXTON SHERWOOD, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02082
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-02521
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:18-00395

Northern District of California

JOHNSON v. LOS GATOS GATEWAY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-03495
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:16-06792
JOHNSON v. BLACKHAWK CENTERCAL, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02454
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:17-06836
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:18-01134
JOHNSON v. GOODHUE, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:16-00724
JOHNSON v. SEBANC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00585
JOHNSON v. WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00972
JOHNSON v. LET IT FLHO, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01293

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-01595
JOHNSON v. MONTEREY FISH COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-01985

MDL No. 2850 – **IN RE: RAILWAY INDUSTRY EMPLOYEE NO-POACH
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Dustin Theobald to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

District of Maryland

ARCURI, ET AL. v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01191

Western District of Pennsylvania

CARRUTH v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00469
THEOBALD v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00526

MDL No. 2851 – **IN RE: GREAT PLAINS LENDING, LLC, LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Great Plains Lending, LLC, to transfer certain claims in the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Northern District of California

BRICE, ET AL. v. REES, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01200

Middle District of North Carolina

GRANGER, ET AL. v. GREAT PLAINS LENDING, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00112

Eastern District of Virginia

GIBBS, ET AL. v. PLAIN GREEN, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00495

MDL No. 2852 – **IN RE: GIANT EAGLE, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
(FLSA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Giant Eagle, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

Southern District of Indiana

FITCH v. GIANT EAGLE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01236

Western District of Pennsylvania

JONES v. GIANT EAGLE, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-00282

MDL No. 2853 – **IN RE: SAMSUNG PLASMA TELEVISION PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; Best Buy Stores, L.P.; Sears Holding Management; and Sears Roebuck and Co. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

Northern District of California

BRONSON v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-02300

Northern District of Florida

HOWE v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 1:16-00386

Northern District of Illinois

WARE, ET AL. v. BEST BUY STORES, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00886

District of Utah

MCCALLON v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00114

MDL No. 2854 – **IN RE: EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee or the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

Northern District of Alabama

BASCOMB, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00064

Eastern District of Arkansas

BARNETTE, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00004

Northern District of Florida

MARSHALL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00048

Northern District of Georgia

RYTE, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00186

Western District of Kentucky

BELL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00011

Eastern District of Louisiana

CARTER, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00156

Eastern District of Missouri

BARBER, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00010

Northern District of Mississippi

CAMPBELL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00011

Western District of North Carolina

ALLEN, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00028

Northern District of Oklahoma

NICKS, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00030

District of South Carolina

BRANDON, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00128

Middle District of Tennessee

ACKLIN, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00028

Southern District of Texas

ARROYO, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-00010

MDL No. 2855 - IN RE: ABA LAW SCHOOL ACCREDITATION LITIGATION

Motion of defendants American Bar Association (ABA), The ABA Council of the Section of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar, and The ABA Accreditation Committee of the Section of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina:

District of Arizona

ARIZONA SUMMIT LAW SCHOOL LLC, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR
ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01580

Middle District of Florida

FLORIDA COASTAL SCHOOL OF LAW, INC., ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00621

Western District of North Carolina

CHARLOTTE SCHOOL OF LAW, LLC, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00256

MDL No. 2856 - IN RE: LOUISIANA COASTAL ZONE LAND LOSS LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Chevron U.S.A., Inc.; Chevron U.S.A. Holdings Inc.; The Texas Company; The Union Oil Company of California; Chevron Pipe Line Company; ConocoPhillips Company; Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP; The Louisiana Land and Exploration Company LLC; Exxon Mobil Corporation; Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast, Inc.; Atlantic Richfield Company; BP America Production Company; and BP Products North America Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Eastern District of Louisiana

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. ROZEL OPERATING COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05189
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. DESTIN OPERATING COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05206
PARISH OF PLAQUEMINES, ET AL. v. HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05210
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. ANADARKO E&P ONSHORE, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05213
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. EXCHANGE OIL & GAS CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05215
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. RIVERWOOD PRODUCTION COMPANY, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05217
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. JUNE ENERGY, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05218
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CHEVRON U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05220
ST. BERNARD PARISH, ET AL. v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05222
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. CHEVRON U.S.A. HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05224

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. GREAT SOUTHERN OIL & GAS CO., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05227

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. NORTHCOAST OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05228

PLAQUEMINES, ET AL. v. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05230

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. LINDER OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05231

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY,
L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05234

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. GOODRICH PETROLEUM COMPANY, LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05238

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. APACHE OIL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05240

JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. EQUITABLE PETROLEUM CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05242

JEFFERSON PARISH v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05246

JEFFERSON PARISH v. CANLAN OIL COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05252

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. TOTAL PETROCHEMICALS & REFINING USA,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05256

JEFFERSON PARISH v. EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05257

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. BEPCO, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05258

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. PALM ENERGY OFFSHORE, L.L.C., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05259

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. HELIS OIL & GAS COMPANY, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05260

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CASKIDS OPERATING COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05262

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. HHE ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05263

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CAMPBELL ENERGY CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05264

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. LLOG EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION
COMPANY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05265

Western District of Louisiana

CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. AUSTER OIL & GAS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00677

CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BALLARD EXPLORATION CO., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00678

CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BRAMMER ENGINEERING, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00679
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS CO. LP,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00682
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ALPINE EXPLORATION COMPANIES, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00684
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BAY COQUILLE, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00685
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00686
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00687
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. APACHE CORP. OF DELAWARE, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00688
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ANADARKO E & P ONSHORE LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00689
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BEPCO, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00690
STUTES, ET AL. v. GULFPORT ENERGY CORP., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:18-00691

MDL No. 2857 – **IN RE: CREDIT SUISSE VELOCITYSHARES DAILY INVERSE VIX
SHORT TERM EXCHANGE TRADED NOTES SECURITIES
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Credit Suisse AG, Credit Suisse Group AG, David R. Mathers, Tidjane Thiam, and Janus Index & Calculation Services LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Alabama

HALBERT, ET AL. v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00615

Southern District of New York

CHAHAL v. CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02268
EISENBERG v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02319
QIU v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04045

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL No. 2391 – **IN RE: BIOMET M2A MAGNUM HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Elaine Gift to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

Northern District of Illinois

GIFT v. BIOMET, INC. D/B/A ZIMMER BIOMET, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-50132

MDL No. 2504 – **IN RE: AMAZON.COM, INC., FULFILLMENT CENTER FAIR
LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR
LITIGATION**

Motions of defendants Amazon Fulfillment Services, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; and Golden State FC LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky:

Central District of California

HAGMAN, ET AL. v. AMAZON FULFILLMENT SERVICES, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00024

Eastern District of California

TREVINO v. GOLDEN STATE FC LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00120

MDL No. 2591 – **IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Kenneth P. Kellogg, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

District of Minnesota

KELLOGG, ET AL. v. WATTS GUERRA, LLP, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01082

MDL No. 2599 – IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Yossi Shimshi, Mitra Bazzal, Abraham Hirschel, and Joshua Kopple to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

SHIMSHI v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-02432
BAZZAL v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02437
HIRSCHEL, ET AL. v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-02454
KOPPLE v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02460

MDL No. 2642 – IN RE: FLUOROQUINOLONE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Angela Renee Kester to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Eastern District of Oklahoma

KESTER v. JANSSEN SCIENTIFIC AFFAIRS, C.A. No. 6:18-00041

MDL No. 2672 – IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Wright Law Aspen, LLP to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

District of Colorado

WRIGHT LAW ASPEN, LLP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00973

MDL No. 2734 – **IN RE: ABILIFY (ARIPRAZOLE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

Central District of California

WYLE, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18–03753

Northern District of California

DAVIS, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18–02584

GREEN, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18–02588

EVANS, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18–02614

District of Nevada

JOHNSON, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18–00143

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

CABEZA v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02091

LEACH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02095

LYMAN III v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02123

TORRES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02126

GOMES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02130

SCHULTZ v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02206

COHN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02262

JONES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02281
O'HALLORAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02410

Southern District of Illinois

MIHALICH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC., C.A. No. 3:18-01027

Eastern District of New York

JIMINEZ v. DUANE READE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02152

MDL No. 2782 – **IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs William Perry Newhouse, et al., and defendants Johnson & Johnson; Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.; and Ethicon, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of West Virginia

NEWHOUSE, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02735

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Jose D. Iraheta and Valerie D. Morgan to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Western District of Louisiana

IRAHETA v. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:17-01363

Eastern District of Virginia

MORGAN v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00173

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants Paul Madison; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Aurolife Pharma LLC; Cross Lanes Family Pharmacy, Inc.; MRNB, Inc.; and American Pain Society, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Southern District of Alabama

AMERICAN RESOURCES INSURANCE COMPANY, INC. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00145

Central District of California

MASOUD BAMDAD, M.D. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-03662
BURNS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00745

Northern District of California

ROBINSON RANCHERIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-02525
HOPLAND BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-02528
SCOTTS VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02529
ROUND VALLEY INDIAN TRIBES, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02530
REDWOOD VALLEY OR LITTLE RIVER BAND OF POMO INDIANS OF THE
REDWOOD VALLEY RANCHERIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-02531
GUIDIVILLE RANCHERIA OF CALIFORNIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02532
COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02533
CONSOLIDATED TRIBAL HEALTH PROJECT, INC. v. MCKESSON
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02534
CENTER POINT, INC. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-02535
BIG VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS OF THE BIG VALLEY RANCHERIA v.
MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02536

BIG SANDY RANCHERIA OF WESTERN MONO INDIANS v. MCKESSON
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02537
CHU v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02576

Northern District of Illinois

RIVERS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03116

Northern District of Indiana

CITY OF GARY, INDIANA v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00117

Eastern District of Kentucky

ATTORNEY GENERAL v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 7:18-00050

Western District of Kentucky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY v. CARDINAL HEALTH 5, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00184

District of Massachusetts

GRACE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10857

Northern District of Mississippi

NORTH MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL CENTER, INC., ET AL. v. MCKESSON
CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00078

District of New Jersey

COUNTY OF HUDSON v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-09029
SARDELLA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08706

Southern District of New York

KLODZINSKI v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03927

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

THE CITY OF NEW CASTLE, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-01472

Southern District of West Virginia

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF MINGO COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00476
BURTON, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00478
CITY OF HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA, ET AL. v. EXPRESS SCRIPTS
HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00580

Western District of Wisconsin

LAC COURTE OREILLES BAND OF LAKE SUPERIOR CHIPPEWA INDIANS v.
MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00286

MDL No. 2827 – **IN RE: APPLE INC. DEVICE PERFORMANCE LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carter Donahoe to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Ohio

DONAHOE v. APPLE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00763

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

Hearing Session Order & Amendments



September 27, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to include the following multicircuit petition for review on Section B of the Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California:

**MCP No. 154 IN RE: NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD; STATION
GVR ACQUISITION, LLC D/B/A GREEN VALLEY RANCH
RESORT SPA CASINO AND INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 501, AFL-CIO; 366 NLRB NO.
175, ISSUED ON AUGUST 27, 2018.**

Opposition of International Union of Operating Engineers Local 501, AFL-CIO, to the Notice of Multicircuit Petitions for Review filed by the National Labor Relations Board.

SCHEDULE OF PETITIONS

| <u>CIRCUIT</u> | <u>NO.</u> | <u>CASE CAPTION</u> |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| D.C. Circuit, | No. 18-1236 | Station GVR Acquisition, LLC d/b/a/ Green Valley Ranch Resort Spa Casino v. NLRB |
| Ninth Circuit, | No. 18-72434 | International Union of Operating Engineers Local 50, AFL-CIO v. NLRB |

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffery N. Lathi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California, filed by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to update the following matter on Section A of the Schedule.

MDL No. 2865 - **IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND
SCHEME LITIGATION:**

Strike the following from District of New Jersey:

~~SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET. AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08876~~

and insert the following under District of Utah:

SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET. AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00678

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to include the following multicircuit petition for review on on Section B of the Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California:

**MCP No. 153 IN RE: FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,
ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC, 164 FERC 61, 100, ISSUED
ON AUGUST 10, 2018**

Motion of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, to reconsider the Panel's order consolidating judicial review of this matter in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

SCHEDULE OF PETITIONS

CIRCUIT NO.

D.C. Circuit, No. 18-1224
Fourth Circuit, No. 18-1956

CASE CAPTION

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC v. FERC
Appalachian Voices, et al. v. FERC

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California, filed by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to update the following matter on Section A of the Schedule.

**MDL No. 2865 - IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND
SCHEME LITIGATION:**

Strike the following from

District of Connecticut:

~~SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00757
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00758
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00877
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00984~~

and insert the following under

Southern District of New York

SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7828
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7829
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7827
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7824

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **September 27, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Phillip Burton United States Courthouse
Ceremonial Courtroom, 19th Floor
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **September 10, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on September 27, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in San Francisco, California, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer
Ellen Segal Huvelle
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
September 27, 2018 -- San Francisco, California

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

MDL No. 2858 – IN RE: JUMPSPORT, INC., ('845 & '207) PATENT LITIGATION

Motion of defendants WalMart, Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores East, LP; Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC; Wal-Mart.com USA, LLC; Sam's East, Inc.; Sam's West, Inc.; Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc.; American Sports Licensing, LLC; Dick's Merchandising & Supply Chain, Inc.; Amazon.com; Amazon.com LLC; Academy, Ltd.; BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc.; J. C. Penney Company, Inc.; J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc.; J. C. Penney Purchasing Corporation; and JCP Logistics, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas:

Northern District of Georgia

JUMPSPORT, INC. v. J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01598
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. BJ'S WHOLESALE CLUB, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01689

Eastern District of Texas

JUMPSPORT, INC. v. ACADEMY, LTD DBA ACADEMY SPORTS & OUTDOORS,
C.A. No. 6:17-00414
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. WAL-MART STORES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-00645
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. DICK'S SPORTING GOODS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:17-00663
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-00666

MDL No. 2859 – **IN RE: ZIMMER M/LTAPER HIP PROSTHESIS OR M/L TAPER HIP PROSTHESIS WITH KINECTIV TECHNOLOGY AND VERSYS FEMORAL HEAD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Elizabeth Hackett, et al., and David Pastor to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

District of Alaska

HICKEY, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00045
DERIFIELD, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00021

Northern District of California

ROBERTS v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-03564

Middle District of Florida

HEINEMAN v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:17-02420

District of Maine

MILLER, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00265
LLOYD, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:17-00352
MYRICK v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00480
WALDEIER v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00004
PRIDE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00108
GRAHAM-FORTIN v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00204

Eastern District of Michigan

PASTOR v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-11461

District of Minnesota

HOLLENKAMP, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01304
LAUKKA, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01305
METZGER, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01310
NESS, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01326
HARMS, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01378
HACKETT, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01407

Eastern District of New York

VIANIA v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01641

Southern District of New York

SHAW v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02119

LUCKASAVAGE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-07451

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

ADAMS v. ZIMMER US, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00621

Eastern District of Wisconsin

LIESCH, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:17-01036

MDL No. 2860 – **IN RE: MANETIRONY CLERVRAIN LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Manetirony Clervrain to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia:

Eastern District of California

CLERVRAIN v. MITCHELL, C.A. No. 2:18-01716

Southern District of Georgia

CLERVRAIN v. STONE, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00028

CLERVRAIN v. JOHNS, C.A. No. 5:18-00038

District of Kansas

CLERVRAIN v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-03194

CLERVRAIN v. SESSIONS, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-03039

Southern District of Mississippi

CLERVRAIN v. JULIAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00051

Western District of Pennsylvania

CLERVRAIN v. KUTA, C.A. No. 3:18-00083

Eastern District of Texas

CLERVRAIN v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00313

CLERVRAIN v. CHAPA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00452

CLERVRAIN v. MELLADY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00058

CLERVRAIN v. SAMUEL, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00059

CLERVRAIN v. MELLENDICK, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00060

CLERVRAIN v. KELLER, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00061

MDL No. 2861 – **IN RE: CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Armen Abgaryan and defendant Custard Insurance Adjusters, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

ABGARYAN v. CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-01849

Northern District of California

WADLER, ET AL. v. CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC.,

C.A. No. 3:17-05840

MDL No. 2862 – **IN RE: DIISOCYANATES ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Utah Foam Products, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

Eastern District of Michigan

ISAAC INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BASF CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-12089

District of New Jersey

C.U.E., INC. v. BASF AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-11439

Western District of Pennsylvania

UTAH FOAM PRODUCTS, INC. v. BAYER A.G., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00858

MDL No. 2863 – **IN RE: [24]7.AI, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Michael Ford, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

PICA v. DELTA AIR LINES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02876

Northern District of California

FORD, ET AL. v. 24/7, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-02770

Northern District of Georgia

MCGARRY v. DELTA AIR LINES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02794

MDL No. 2864 – **IN RE: ROUTE 91 HARVEST FESTIVAL SHOOTINGS IN LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, ON OCTOBER 1, 2017**

Motion of MGM Resorts International; Mandalay Bay, LLC; Mandalay Resort Group; MGM Resorts Venue Management, LLC; and MGM Resorts Festival Grounds, LLC, to transfer the following actions to a single United States District Court:

District of Alaska

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. CORBIN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00168

District of Arizona

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. BOOTH, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-02250

Central District of California

RAMIREZ, ET AL. v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-05564
PEREDA v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05570
MAGGIORE v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05640
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. AASE, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-06113
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ABNER, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-06197

Southern District of Florida

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. BRASFIELD, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-22883

District of Nevada

SHEPPARD, ET AL. v. MANDALAY BAY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01120
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ACOSTA, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-01288

Southern District of New York

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. SOCCI, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-06451

Southern District of Texas

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ARCHAMBEAULT, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:18-02465

District of Utah

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. EARDLEY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00567

MDL No. 2865 – **IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND SCHEME
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff SKAT to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Connecticut

SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00757
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00758
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00877
SKAT v. FEDERATED LOGISTICS LLC 401K, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00983
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00984
SKAT v. TVETER LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00985
SKAT v. VALERIUS LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00987

Southern District of Florida

SKAT v. THE FWC CAPITAL LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-21801
SKAT v. THE RDL CONSULTING GROUP LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-22070

Northern District of Illinois

SKAT v. COLE ENTERPRISES USA RETIREMENT PLAN & TRUST, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-03206
SKAT v. BLUE OCEAN EQUITY LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-03207
SKAT v. KK LAW FIRM RETIREMENT PLAN TRUST, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-04082

Eastern District of Kentucky

SKAT v. SRH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00395
SKAT v. MGH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00396
SKAT v. JSH FARMS 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00397
SKAT v. TRITON FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00398
SKAT v. KRH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00399
SKAT v. TEW, LP RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00400

SKAT v. TEW ENTERPRISES, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00401
SKAT v. INDUSTRIAL PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00402
SKAT v. CASTING PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00403
SKAT v. SV HOLDINGS, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00404
SKAT v. CENTRAL TECHNOLOGIES PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00405
SKAT v. BLUEGRASS RETIREMENT GROUP TRUST, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-00406
SKAT v. BLUEGRASS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN,
ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00407
SKAT v. AUTOPARTS PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00408
SKAT v. MSJJ RETIREMENT GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00409

District of Massachusetts

SKAT v. THE 78 YORKTOWN PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10890
SKAT v. THE CAMBRIDGE TOWN LINE PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-10891
SKAT v. THE DIAMOND SCOTT CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-10892
SKAT v. THE HOTEL FROMANCE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10893
SKAT v. THE MOUNTAIN AIR LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10894
SKAT v. THE SKSL LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10895
SKAT v. THE SNOW HILL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10896
SKAT v. THE WESTRIDGE AVE LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10897
SKAT v. THE SHAPIRO BLUE MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-11008
SKAT v. THE PATRICK PARTNERS CONGLOMERATE PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-11011
SKAT v. CSCC CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-11012

District of New Jersey

SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08876
SKAT v. THE CARDINAL CONSULTING PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08878
SKAT v. THE EGRET ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08880
SKAT v. THE CROW ASSOCIATES PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08881
SKAT v. THE HERON ADVISORS PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08882

SKAT v. THE HOBOKEN ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08883
SKAT v. THE JAYFRAN BLUE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08884
SKAT v. THE JT HEALTH CONSULTING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08885
SKAT v. THE LAKEVIEW ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08886
SKAT v. THE OSPREY ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-08887
SKAT v. THE SANDPIPER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08888
SKAT v. THE ZEN TRAINING LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08889
SKAT v. THE EVERYTHING CLEAN LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-09439
SKAT v. THE JUMP GROUP LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09442
SKAT v. THE OAKS GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09444
SKAT v. THE WAVE MAVEN LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09445
SKAT v. THE BALMORAL MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10098
SKAT v. THE BEECH TREE PARTNERS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10108
SKAT v. THE BLACKBIRD 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10109
SKAT v. THE CHAMBERS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10110
SKAT v. FIFTYEIGHTSIXTY LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10111
SKAT v. THE HAWK GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10112
SKAT v. THE HIBISCUS PARTNERS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10113
SKAT v. THE MAPLE ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10114
SKAT v. ONEZEROFIVE LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10115
SKAT v. THE ROBIN DANIEL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10116
SKAT v. THE SEA BRIGHT ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10117
SKAT v. THE TAG REALTY ADVISORS LLC 401K, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10118
SKAT v. THE THROCKMORTON REALTY ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10119
SKAT v. ACORN CAPITAL CORPORATION EMPLOYEE PROFIT SHARING
PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10464
SKAT v. CAMBRIDGE WAY LLC 401K PROFIT SHARING PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-10465
SKAT v. THE DINK14 LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10466
SKAT v. JML CAPITAL LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10468
SKAT v. NATOLI MANAGEMENT PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10469
SKAT v. NOVA FONTA TRADING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10470
SKAT v. THE SKYBAX LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10592

Southern District of New York

IN RE SKAT TAX REFUND SCHEME LITIGATION, C.A. No. 1:18-04047
SKAT v. THE DMR PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04049
SKAT v. THE HOUSTON ROCCO LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04050
SKAT v. THE PROPER PACIFIC LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04051
SKAT v. THE LBR CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04052
SKAT v. THE ATLANTIC DHR 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04430
SKAT v. THE STOR CAPITAL CONSULTING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-04434
SKAT v. THE BUSBY BLACK 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04522
SKAT v. THE CANADA ROCK LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04531
SKAT v. THE ISDB PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04536
SKAT v. THE MONIN AMPER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04538
SKAT v. THE NYC STANISMORE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04541
SKAT v. THE TEXAS ROCCO LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04543
SKAT v. SANFORD VILLA PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04767
SKAT v. THE ASTON ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04770
SKAT v. THE SECTOR 230 LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04771
SKAT v. RAUBRITTER LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04833
SKAT v. THE M2F WELLNESS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04890
SKAT v. THE MPQ HOLDINGS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04892
SKAT v. STERLING ALPHA LLC 401K PROFIT SHARING PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-04894
SKAT v. THE TKKJ LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04896
SKAT v. NYCATX LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04898
SKAT v. SANDER GERBER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04899
SKAT v. ACKVIEW SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04900
SKAT v. THE DOSMON BLY PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05045
SKAT v. THE GOLDSTEIN LAW GROUP PC 401(K) PROFIT SHARING PLAN,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05053
SKAT v. THE INDIA BOMBAY LLC 401K PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-05057
SKAT v. THE ARIA PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05147
SKAT v. THE BELFORTE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05150
SKAT v. THE BRAVOS ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05151
SKAT v. THE COSTELLO ADVISORS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-05158
SKAT v. THE ESKIN PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05164
SKAT v. THE FIELDCREST PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05180
SKAT v. THE WESTPORT ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-05183

SKAT v. THE KODIAK CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05185
SKAT v. THE KYBER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05186
SKAT v. THE LERICI CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05188
SKAT v. THE LUDLOW HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05189
SKAT v. THE REGOLETH PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05190
SKAT v. THE SABA CAPITAL LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05192
SKAT v. THE WEST RIVER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05193
SKAT v. THE STARK PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05194
SKAT v. THE PETKOV PARTNERS PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05299
SKAT v. THE PETKOV MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-05300
SKAT v. THE SVP 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05305
SKAT v. THE KRABI HOLDINGS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05307
SKAT v. THE SPKK LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05308
SKAT v. THE KASV GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05309
SKAT v. DEL MAR ASSET MANAGEMENT SAVING & RETIREMENT PLAN,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05374

Southern District of Ohio

SKAT v. THE BELLA CONSULTANTS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00309
SKAT v. THE MUELLER INVESTMENTS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00310
SKAT v. THE GREEN GROUP SITE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00311
SKAT v. THE SINCLAIR PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00351

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

SKAT v. NEWSONG FELLOWSHIP CHURCH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:18-02459

Southern District of Texas

CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
BLACKRAIN PEGASUS LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01889
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
GYOS 23 LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01895
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
THE OAK TREE ONE 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01897
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
THE JOANNE E. BRADLEY SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01898

CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
DELGADO FOX LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01899
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.
PEGASUS FOX 23 LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01900

District of Utah

SKAT v. DW CONSTRUCTION, INC. RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00452
SKAT v. KAMCO INVESTMENTS, INC. PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00454
SKAT v. KAMCO LP PROFIT SHARING PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00455
SKAT v. LINDEN ASSOCIATES DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00456
SKAT v. MOIRA ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00458
SKAT v. RIVERSIDE ASSOCIATES DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-00460

MDL No. 2866 – IN RE: LUCA INTERNATIONAL GROUP SECURITIES LITIGATION

Motion of defendant Lei (Lily) Lei to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION v. LUCA INTERNATIONAL
GROUP, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:15-03101

Southern District of Texas

RANDY WILLIAMS, TRUSTEE OF THE LUCA LIQUIDATING TRUST v. LEI
(LILY) LEI, ET AL., Bky. Advy. No. 4:17-03375

MDL No. 2867 – IN RE: LOCAL TV ADVERTISING ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Clay, Massey & Associates, P.C. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Illinois

CLAY, MASSEY & ASSOCIATES, P.C. v. GRAY TELEVISION, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-05197

District of Maryland

LAW OFFICES OF PETER MILLER, P.A. v. SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02316

MDL No. 2868 – **IN RE: LINEAR GADOLINIUM-BASED CONTRAST AGENTS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Hilary Davis; Srihari Munnuru; Susan Fischer; Kathleen Geisse, Ph.D., et al.; Patricia Young; Beth Winkler; Gena Norris, et al.; Debra Javens; Marcia Sabol; Nikki Esserman; Gail Montani; Stephen Goodell; Denise McGrath; Marcin Zelazny; Lori Combs; Sean Miller; and Dawn Walton to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Arizona

DAVIS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01157
MUNNURU v. GUERBET LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01159
FISCHER v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01778

Northern District of California

GEISSE, ET AL. v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:17-07026
YOUNG v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00811
WINKLER v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-03077
LEWIS v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-04146

District of Delaware

JAVENS v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01030

Middle District of Florida

SABOL v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 8:18-00850

Southern District of Florida

ESSERMAN v. BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-21396
MONTANI v. BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-10054

District of Kansas

GERRITY, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02245

District of Massachusetts

GOODELL v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-10694
VIRUET v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-11611

Eastern District of New York

MCGRATH v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-02134

Southern District of New York

ZELAZNY v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-03246

Northern District of Ohio

COMBS v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:18-00802

Southern District of Ohio

WHITE v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00212
MILLER v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00113

District of Oregon

WALTON v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00605

Southern District of Texas

NORRIS, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-02762

MDL No. 2869 – **IN RE: COMPLYRIGHT CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Robert Bohannon, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Southern District of Florida

BOHANNON, ET AL. v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-61730
ROBERTS v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-61836

Northern District of Illinois

WINSTEAD v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-04990

District of Nevada

MORELLO v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-01480

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL No. 2591 – **IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Kenneth P. Kellogg, et al., for reconsideration of the Panel’s ruling transferring the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

District of Minnesota

KELLOGG, ET AL. v. WATTS GUERRA, LLP, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01082

MDL No. 2670 – **IN RE: PACKAGED SEAFOOD PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Northern District of Ohio

REO v. BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-01415

REO v. CHICKEN OF THE SEA INTERNATIONAL, C.A. No. 1:18-01477

REO v. STARKIST CO., C.A. No. 1:18-01518

MDL No. 2672 – **IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Castellucci to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Eastern District of Virginia

CASTELLUCCI v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,

C.A. No. 1:18-00863

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Thomas Rafferty and Cynthia Gibson, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

RAFFERTY v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04199

Eastern District of Missouri

GIBSON, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01100

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Lori Spellman to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland:

District of Arizona

SPELLMAN v. SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED, C.A. No. 3:16-08080

MDL No. 2792 – **IN RE: SAMSUNG TOP-LOAD WASHING MACHINE MARKETING,
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Colleen Kennedy, et al., and Mitchell Orenstein, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

District of New Jersey

KENNEDY, ET AL. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
C.A. No. 2:14-04987

ORENSTEIN v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:15-04054

**MDL No. 2795 – IN RE: CENTURYLINK SALES PRACTICES AND SECURITIES
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Neil TS Flanders; Tim Ault; and Michael Barbee, et al., and defendants CenturyLink, Inc.; Glen F. Post III; David D. Cole; Sunit S. Patel; Jeffrey K. Storey; Virginia Boulet; Peter C. Brown; Steven T. Clontz; T. Michael Glenn; W. Bruce Hanks; Mary L. Landrieu; Harvey P. Perry; Laurie A. Siegel; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; Martha H. Bejar; Michael J. Roberts; and Kevin P. Chilton to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Western District of Louisiana

FLANDERS v. POST, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00753
AULT v. POST, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00755
BARBREE, ET AL. v. BEJAR, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00870

**MDL No. 2800 – IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia and opposition of defendant Equifax Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the *Casper* action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina:

Central District of California

KEROBYAN v. EQUIFAX INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05401
ANDRUSS, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00943
ABDELRAZZAQ, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00945
ANDERSON, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00950
BREVEARD v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 8:18-00952
ACHERONTI, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00955
BELL, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00956
BERRY v. EQUIFAX INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00957

Northern District of Georgia

CASPER v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-1511 (M.D. North Carolina,
C.A. No. 1:17-01004)

Southern District of Illinois

WEDDINGTON v. EQUIFAX CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES, INC.,
C.A. No. 3:18-01380

District of New Jersey

POTENTE v. EQUIFAX INFO. SERVICES, LLC, C.A. No. 2:18-10489

Eastern District of New York

LEE v. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-03133

Southern District of Texas

COWHERD v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 4:18-02230

MDL No. 2804 – IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants Curtis V. Cooper Primary Health Care, Inc.; Pembroke Pharmacy, Inc.; and Willie C. Conley, Jr., RPH to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Middle District of Florida

CITY OF JACKSONVILLE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:18-00751

Northern District of Florida

LEVY COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00100

Southern District of Florida

PALM BEACH COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80749

Southern District of Georgia

BOLTON, ET AL. v. BYNES, JR, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00136

District of New Jersey

THE BOROUGH OF RIDGEFIELD, v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:18-10842
MONMOUTH COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10901

District of South Carolina

COUNTY OF ANDERSON v. RITE AID OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 8:18-01947

Western District of Wisconsin

RED CLIFF BAND OF LAKE SUPERIOR CHIPPEWA INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00380

MDL No. 2841 – **IN RE: MONAT HAIR CARE PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Monat Global Corp., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Nevada

MONAT GLOBAL CORP. v. MILLER, C.A. No. 2:18-00324

MDL No. 2843 – **IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff People of the State of Illinois, ex rel. Kimberly M. Foxx to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Illinois

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, EX REL. KIMBERLY M. FOXX v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02667

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.