


# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



January 25, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL**  
**on**  
**MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: CENTURYLINK RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER  
BILLING DISPUTES LITIGATION**

Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc. v. Centurylink, Inc., et al.,            )  
W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:17-01648    )  
(Formerly S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:17-08234)                                        )            MDL No. 2795

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED DECEMBER 15, 2017  
AND ORDER AMENDING CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER**

This action (*Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*), bearing the Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:17-08234, was included on the Panel’s conditional transfer order (“CTO-3”) filed on November 6, 2017. Plaintiff in *Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*, filed a notice of opposition to the transfer. Plaintiff later filed a motion and brief to vacate the CTO. The matter is scheduled to be heard at the Panel's January 25, 2018, hearing in Miami, Florida.


The Panel has now been advised that this action was transferred from the Southern District of New York to the Western District of Louisiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), by the Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan in an order filed on December 13, 2017. Coincident to this transfer, *Inter-Marketing Group, USA, Inc.*, was assigned a new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Panel’s conditional transfer order designated as “CTO-3” filed on November 6, 2017, is AMENDED to reflect the new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all documents filed with the Panel's CM/ECF system under C.A. No. 1:17-08234 will be re-designated as C.A. No. 3:17-01648.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Hearing Order and Schedule filed on December 15, 2017, is likewise amended to reflect the new Western District of Louisiana civil action number of 3:17-01648.

FOR THE PANEL:

  
Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **January 25, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Wilkie D. Ferguson, Jr. U.S. Courthouse  
Ceremonial Courtroom 13-3, 13th Floor  
400 North Miami Avenue  
Miami, Florida 33128

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **January 8, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Southern District of Florida

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on January 25, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Miami, Florida, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
January 25, 2018 -- Miami, Florida

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

MDL No. 2809 – **IN RE: ONGLYZA (SAXAGLIPTIN) AND KOMBIGLYZE XR  
(SAXAGLIPTIN AND METFORMIN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Carolyn Williams to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Middle District of Alabama

VALLENTINE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00265

Northern District of Alabama

PEOPLES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00101

District of Arizona

SETTLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01269

Northern District of California

WILLIAMS, ET AL. v. ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:16-07152  
MARTIN v. BRISTOL-MYERS COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00661

Northern District of Georgia

REID v. BRISTOL-MEYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01503  
TURNER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02782  
YORK v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02915  
JOHNSON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-02916

District of Idaho

CHRISTENSEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-00321

Northern District of Indiana

MILLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00330

Southern District of Indiana

COUSINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02607

Eastern District of Kentucky

BARNES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00124  
TAYLOR, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:16-00260

Western District of Kentucky

TUCKER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00268

Eastern District of Louisiana

ROSS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00443  
LESTER SPEIGHTS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-07884

Middle District of Louisiana

BROWN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00039

Western District of Louisiana

LETELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00553

District of New Jersey

YOUNG v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00347  
REEVES, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-03024

MITCHELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03026

BINNS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03028

TALTON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03029

MCAFEE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03030

GREEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03032

ISHMAN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03033

ATKINS, SR. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03034

DAY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03035

ASENCIO v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03036

SECHLER, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 3:17-03037

Eastern District of New York

CORTINA v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-03912

Middle District of North Carolina

HOLLAND v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00710

Southern District of Ohio

CARPENTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00262

Eastern District of Oklahoma

HULBERT v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 6:17-00327

District of South Carolina

DUBOSE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-01695

Eastern District of Tennessee

CAMPBELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 1:17-00219



Middle District of Tennessee

WILCOX v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01202

Eastern District of Texas

WARE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00111

Northern District of Texas

BOLLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-00050

Southern District of Texas

DAVILA v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00145  
CHESTER v. BRISTOL-MEYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00316

MDL No. 2810 - **IN RE: SIX FLAGS FAIR AND ACCURATE CREDIT  
TRANSACTIONS ACT (FACTA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Six Flags Entertainment Corporation, Great America LLC, d/b/a Six Flags Great America and Six Flags Hurricane Harbor, and Magic Mountain LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

MIRANDA, ET AL. v. MAGIC MOUNTAIN LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-07483

Northern District of Georgia

BAILEY v. SIX FLAGS ENTERTAINMENT CORP., C.A. No. 1:17-03336

Northern District of Illinois

SOTO, ET AL. v. GREAT AMERICA LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-06902

MDL No. 2811 – **IN RE: DOMETIC CORPORATION GAS ABSORPTION  
REFRIGERATOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Catherine Papasan, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

ZIMMER, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-06913

Northern District of California

PAPASAN, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 4:16-02117

Southern District of Florida

VARNER, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:16-22482  
ZUCCONI, ET AL. v. DOMETIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:17-23197

MDL No. 2812 – **IN RE: CUSTOMIZED PROMOTIONAL PRODUCTS ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Laura Braley to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

District of Oregon

KJESSLER v. ZAAPPAAZ, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01361

Southern District of Texas

BRALEY v. AHMED, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-03064

MDL No. 2813 – **IN RE: DENTAL SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Benco Dental Supply Company and Patterson Companies, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

COMFORT CARE FAMILY DENTAL, P.C., ET AL. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00282  
ROBERT W. GRODNER, DDS v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00345  
BAUER DENTAL ARTS v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00355  
DR. ROBERT CORWIN, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00442  
KEITH SCHWARTZ, D.M.D., P.A. v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00443  
DR. STEPHEN M. GRUSSMARK, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00479  
DRESNIN v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00497  
HOWARD M. MAY, DDS, PC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00548  
BEMUS POINT DENTAL, LLC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00560  
KOTTEMANN ORTHODONTICS, P.L.L.C. v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00576  
NAGHMEH YADEGAR, D.D.S., INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00591  
EVOLUTION DENTAL SCIENCE, LLC v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00596  
NELSON v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00609  
PECK v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00616  
PETER BENCE, DMD, P.A. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00631  
KANELLOS & KOTIS v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00657  
OMID FARAHMAND DMD, INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00661  
PJCC DENTAL PC v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00662  
WEST LA DENTAL HEALTH CARE CENTER v. PATTERSON COMPANIES,  
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00666

ANTHONY J. PEPPY DDS & SAMUEL J. PEPPY JR., DDS PC v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00691  
SHAYSTEHFAR v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00692  
IN RE DENTAL SUPPLIES ANTITRUST LITIGATION, C.A. No. 1:16-00696  
RITTENHOUSE SMILES, P.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00762  
THOMAS CASPERS, D.D.S., P.S., ET AL. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00765  
WHITE v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-00945  
GREENBERG v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-01280  
CORNERSTONE DENTISTRY, P.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-01333  
SOURCEONE DENTAL, INC. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:15-05440  
BERMUDEZ v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00570  
INDIANOLA FAMILY DENTISTRY, P.L.C. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00658  
STYGER, DDS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00712  
DENNIS M. WINTER, D.D.S., P.C., ET AL. v. PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00751  
JOHNNIDIS v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00906  
WOLGIN v. BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-01020  
SCOTT T. OZAKI DDS INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:16-01377  
IQ DENTAL SUPPLY, INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04834

Eastern District of Texas

ARCHER AND WHITE SALES, INC. v. HENRY SCHEIN, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:12-00572

MDL No. 2814 - **IN RE: FORD MOTOR CO. DPS6 POWERSHIFT TRANSMISSION  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Ford Motor Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

HIBDON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06355  
ALONSO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06622  
FORT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06631  
BAGWELL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06632

BARRALES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06638  
GIBSON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06644  
HERMOSILLO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-06651  
MAGANA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06653  
MEJIA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06654  
PEDANTE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06656  
RULE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07204  
PADILLA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07236  
HOGGE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07256  
GOMEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07262  
CRESPO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07297  
HIATT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07321  
TRUJILLO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-07322  
ALTAMIRANO-TORRES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-07338  
ALTIKRITI, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07369  
DOBIAS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07370  
CASTANEDA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07416  
SULLIVAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07497  
EMHARDT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07533  
MOBLEY v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07554  
WRIGHT, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01982  
PAPAMICHAEL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01986  
RODRIGUEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02007  
PADILLA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02015  
WEST v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02018  
BERRY, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02034  
HENRY, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02036  
PEREZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02042  
KEATING v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02044  
HERNANDEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02045  
MCGINNIS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-02047

Eastern District of California

ZIMMERSCHIED, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-01317  
SORENSEN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-01987  
WILLIAMS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02006  
MALAGON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02051  
VILLALOVOS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02053  
BARRACK v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02078  
LOVEST v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02079  
CAMARGO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02092

MARQUEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02140  
GLASSFORD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02145  
RERICH v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02147  
DOLAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02148  
REYES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02151  
NACUA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02153  
MARTIN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-02158

Northern District of California

THEADE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05643  
SCHATZMAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05669  
BRIGGS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05762  
BECKER, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05765  
HYDE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05613  
SERVANTES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05615  
THOMAS, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05619  
MENDEZ, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05620  
MARLOWE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05621  
TORRES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05694  
ACEVES v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05695  
FORRESTER v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05698  
TORRES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05699  
RODRIGUEZ-DIAZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05701  
RODGERS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05703  
HERNANDEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05704  
SIMMONS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05705  
INDIVERI v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05706  
GARCIA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05711  
CONNAUGHTON, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05712  
KLEIN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05722  
MAGAN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05730  
KANE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05745  
MARTINEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05746  
PADILLA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05747  
PAYSENO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05749  
RAVEN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05750  
RIVERA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05751  
GONZALEZ v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05885  
REINPRECHT v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05900  
TAVITIAN v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05915  
ARCHIBALD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05922

DILLARD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05924  
ESTRADA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05925  
AGUILAR v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05927  
ALLIANO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05978  
HESS v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-05996  
ROMERO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:17-06022

Southern District of California

MILES, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01993  
ROJAS, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02005  
RALEIGH v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02035  
CARDOSO v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02037  
ROSE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02038  
MINKE, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02039  
KENNEDY v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02040  
STANTON v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02043  
MODROW v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02044  
ROCHE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02045  
REECE v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02046  
MENDOZA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02047  
SALGADO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02048  
OMARK v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02049  
MUHAMMAD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02050  
SMITHFIELD, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02109  
PORTER, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02111  
FUKASAWA, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02116  
BILLIARD v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02121  
ESQUIBEL v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02157

District of Hawaii

HEMZA v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00296

Southern District of Ohio

MARTIN, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:16-00855

Eastern District of Texas

ASCENSIO, ET AL. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-00074

MDL No. 2815 – **IN RE: CORVETTE Z06 MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Michael Vazquez, et al.; Peter Jankovskis, et al.; Michael Jasper, et al.; and Joseph Minarik to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Northern District of California

JASPER, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 5:17-06284

Southern District of Florida

VAZQUEZ, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-22209

Northern District of Illinois

JANKOVSKIS, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-07822

Western District of Washington

MINARIK v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-01615

MDL No. 2816 – **IN RE: SORIN 3T HEATER-COOLER SYSTEM PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)**

Motion of defendants Sorin Group USA, Inc.; Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH; and LivaNova PLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

Northern District of Alabama

GOREE v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01427

Central District of California

GREEN, ET AL. v. CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CENTER, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-07341

GARVER, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND, GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-07802



District of Colorado

SYKES, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-02437

Middle District of Florida

DEZENSKI, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00323  
POOLE v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 8:17-02568

Southern District of Florida

RAMIREZ v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-61455

Northern District of Georgia

SHEELY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00124

Northern District of Illinois

KMAK, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04759

Southern District of Indiana

ABPLANALP v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01916

Northern District of Iowa

SMITH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-03058  
SAWVEL v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-02056

Southern District of Iowa

CRAWFORD v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00103  
REED, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00063  
PRESCOTT v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:16-00472  
PICKRELL v. SORIN GROUP USA, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-00191  
ADAMS v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, C.A. No. 4:17-00237  
JENKINS, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00324

THOMAS, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00360

Western District of Kentucky

STEWART, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00644

Eastern District of Michigan

KUHNMUENCH, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-11719

District of Minnesota

BRACKENBURY v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 0:17-04186

Eastern District of New York

DIAZ v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-06026

Northern District of New York

SUSCO v. LIVANOVA P.L.C., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01164

Eastern District of North Carolina

COLSON, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:17-00519

Western District of North Carolina

BLEVINS v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00785

Middle District of Pennsylvania

WHIPKEY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01233  
HERSHEY, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01768

District of South Carolina

WEINACKER v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02286  
FOWLER, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02307  
BAGWELL, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-02308  
MATTISON v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:16-03128  
THOMASON, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:16-03129  
JOHNSON v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:16-03130  
SMITH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:16-03131  
GILSTRAP, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:16-03132  
WADDELL v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01060  
WEST, ET AL. v. LIVANOVA PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 8:16-02688

District of South Dakota

EISENBERG, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:16-04175  
FAETH v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-04049

Eastern District of Tennessee

CANTRELL, ET AL. v. SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00186

MDL No. 2817 - **IN RE: DEALER MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants CDK Global, LLC; CDK Global, Inc.; The Reynolds and Reynolds Company; and Computerized Vehicle Registration to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

MOTOR VEHICLE SOFTWARE CORPORATION v. CDK GLOBAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00896

Northern District of Illinois

HARTLEY BUICK GMC TRUCK, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-07827

Southern District of Mississippi

JOHN O'NEIL JOHNSON TOYOTA, LLC v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00888

District of New Jersey

TETERBORO AUTOMALL, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-08714

Western District of Wisconsin

AUTHENTICOM, INC. v. CDK GLOBAL, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00318

MDL No. 2818 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS AIR CONDITIONING MARKETING  
AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants General Motors Company, General Motors Holdings LLC, and  
General Motors LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the  
Eastern District of Michigan:

Northern District of California

JENKINS, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-05864

Eastern District of Michigan

TANGARA, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 4:17-12786

Eastern District of New York

WON v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04819

Northern District of Texas

BELL, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-00183

MDL No. 2819 – **IN RE: RESTASIS (CYCLOSPORINE OPHTHALMIC EMULSION)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan, and 1199SEIU National Benefit Fund, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES  
DISTRICT COUNCIL 37 HEALTH & SECURITY PLAN v. ALLERGAN, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-06684  
1199SEIU NATIONAL BENEFIT FUND, ET AL. v. ALLERGAN, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-06755

Eastern District of Texas

FWK HOLDINGS, LLC v. ALLERGAN, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-00747

MDL No. 2820 – **IN RE: DICAMBA HERBICIDES LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Brian Warren, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Arkansas

WHITEHEAD FARMS, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00168  
BRUCE FARMS PARTNERSHIP, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00154

Southern District of Illinois

WARREN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00973

District of Kansas

CLAASSEN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01210

Eastern District of Missouri

BADER FARMS, INC., ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:16-00299  
LANDERS, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00020  
SMOKEY ALLEY FARM PARTNERSHIP, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02031  
COW-MIL FARMS, INC. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-02386

Western District of Missouri

HARRIS v. MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-05262

MDL No. 2821 - **IN RE: BEHR DECKOVER MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Joan Edwards and Linne Rose to transfer the following actions to the  
United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

IN RE BEHR PROCESS CORP., C.A. No. 8:17-01016

Eastern District of California

HAMILTON v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01765

Middle District of Florida

HAMIL, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-02058

Northern District of Illinois

BISHOP, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-04464

District of New Jersey

BROCK v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12341

Eastern District of New York

COLE, ET AL. v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-05052

Western District of North Carolina

EDWARDS v. BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00683

District of Oregon

LEIKER v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01909

Western District of Washington

ROSE v. BEHR PROCESS CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01754

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

MDL No. 2179 – **IN RE: OIL SPILL BY THE OIL RIG "DEEPWATER HORIZON" IN THE GULF OF MEXICO, ON APRIL 20, 2010**

Oppositions of plaintiff Shane Bruce and defendants Stephen Teague, M.D.; Mark Rasnake, M.D.; University Infectious Disease; Lori Staudenmaier, D.O.; UT Family Physicians LaFollette; Gregory A. Finch, P.A.; Campbell County HMA, LLC d/b/a Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic; Campbell County HMA, LLC d/b/a Tennova Healthcare LaFollette Medical Center; Knoxville HMA Physician Management, LLC d/b/a Tennova Cardiology Services; Christian Terzian, M.D.; and Jeffrey Nitz P.A. to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Eastern District of Tennessee

BRUCE v. GREAT BRITAIN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00285

MDL No. 2295 – **IN RE: PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Motions of defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Middle District of Florida

HYNES v. CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 8:17-02176

ANCONA v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-02396

Northern District of Illinois

ARORA v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-06851



MDL No. 2323 – **IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION  
INJURY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Shayanna Jenkins Hernandez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

District of Massachusetts

HERNANDEZ v. NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-12244

MDL No. 2327 – **IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Margo Karn, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Central District of California

KARN, ET AL. v. CALDERA MEDICAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07515

MDL No. 2543 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS LLC IGNITION SWITCH LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Megan Hancock, et al., and Kenneth Myers to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Southern District of Ohio

HANCOCK, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC DBA GENERAL MOTORS  
COMPANY DBA GENERAL MOTORS, C.A. No. 3:17-00309

Northern District of West Virginia

MYERS v. GENERAL MOTORS, C.A. No. 3:17-00122

**MDL No. 2557 – IN RE: AUTO BODY SHOP ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motions of defendants State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Encompass Home and Auto Insurance Co.; Esurance Insurance Company; Travelers Property Casualty Insurance Company; Liberty Mutual Insurance Company; Safeco Insurance Company of America; State Auto Mutual Insurance Company; USAA Casualty Insurance Company; The Cincinnati Insurance Company; Nationwide General Insurance Company; 21st Century Insurance Company Farmers Insurance Exchange; and Kemper Independence Insurance Company to transfer their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Western District of Pennsylvania

PROFESSIONAL, INC. v. FIRST CHOICE AUTO INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00170

PROFESSIONAL, INC. v. KEMPER INDEPENDENT INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00176

**MDL No. 2599 – IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Lynda Flores to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

FLORES v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08334

**MDL No. 2709 – IN RE: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP. MOTOR OIL MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff State of Mississippi to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri:

Southern District of Mississippi

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI v. DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-00801

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Dawn Hannah and Any Johnson, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Missouri

HANNAH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02647  
JOHNSON, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02651

MDL No. 2754 – **IN RE: ELIQUIS (APIXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Delaware

MELZER, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01094  
FEGLEY, ET AL. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01095  
CARTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01505  
HAWKINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01506  
BOOKER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01507  
MARKS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01508  
VOWELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01509  
LEONARD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01510  
THOMAS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01533  
SMITH v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01556  
HOLBROOKS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01557  
HALL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01558  
DAVIS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01559  
WELLINGTON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01560  
SMITH v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01561  
SHOWERS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01562

LITTLEFIELD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01563

CUTSINGER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01564

LOMBARDO v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01565

MILLER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01566

MCDUGLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01567

CARR v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01568

MDL No. 2768 – **IN RE: STRYKER LFIT V40 FEMORAL HEAD PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ronald Cote and Phillippe J. Bolduc to transfer of their  
respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Rhode Island

COTE v. STRYKER CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00311

BOLDUC v. STRYKER CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00429

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING  
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ronald L. Cox, et al., and defendant Smith & Nephew, Inc., to  
transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District  
of Maryland:

Northern District of Georgia

COX, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-03047

Southern District of Ohio

FISHER v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00347

MDL No. 2795 – **IN RE: CENTURYLINK RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILLING  
DISPUTES LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Inter-Marketing Group USA, Inc., and defendants CenturyLink, Inc.; Glen F. Post III; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; and David D. Cole to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Western District of Louisiana

CRAIG v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01005  
SCOTT v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01033  
THUMMETI v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01065

Southern District of New York

INTER-MARKETING GROUP USA, INC. v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-08234

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Linda Hughes and City of Seattle and defendants KVK-Tech, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals of New York, LLC; Impax Laboratories, Inc.; and West-Ward Pharmaceuticals Corp., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Eastern District of Missouri

HUGHES v. MALLINCKRODT BRAND PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-02426

Western District of Washington

CITY OF SEATTLE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01577

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



March 29, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **March 29, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Richard B. Russell Federal Building and  
United States Courthouse  
Ceremonial Courtroom, 23rd Floor  
75 Ted Turner Drive S.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.



- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **March 12, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of Georgia

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on March 29, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Atlanta, Georgia, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
March 29, 2018 -- Atlanta, Georgia

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2822 – IN RE: FIRST DATABANK PRESCRIPTION INFORMATION LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant First Databank, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

EXELTIS USA, INC. v. FIRST DATABANK, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-04810

Northern District of Georgia

ACELLA PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. FIRST DATABANK, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-05013

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

WOMEN'S CHOICE PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. FIRST DATABANK, INC.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-03725

**MDL No. 2824 – IN RE: GOLD KING MINE RELEASE IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, COLORADO, ON AUGUST 5, 2015**

Motion of defendant Environmental Restoration, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico:

District of New Mexico

STATE OF NEW MEXICO v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:16-00465  
NAVAJO NATION v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-00931  
MCDANIEL, ET AL. v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-00710

District of Utah

STATE OF UTAH, THE v. ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00866

MDL No. 2825 – **IN RE: ALTERYX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff David Kacur to transfer the following actions to the United States  
District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

KACUR v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-02222

District of Nevada

FOSKARIS v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-03088

District of Oregon

JACKSON v. ALTERYX, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-02021

MDL No. 2826 – **IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Steven Agans, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United  
States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Alabama

GRICE v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 5:17-01975

Central District of California

FLORES v. RASIER, LLC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08503  
HELLER, ET AL. v. RASIER, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-08545

Northern District of California

WEBBER, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-06758

AGANS, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-06759  
BURNETT, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 4:17-06835

Northern District of Illinois

HARANG, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08500  
FRANKLIN, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No.1:17-08510  
WEST v. UBER USA, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08593  
PATNI, ET AL. v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-08709

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

DESIGNOR v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-05289

MDL No. 2827 – **IN RE: APPLE INC. DEVICE PERFORMANCE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Nicole Gallmann to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

BOGDANOVICH, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-09138  
MAILYAN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-09192

Northern District of California

HARVEY v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07274  
GALLMANN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07285  
HAKIMI v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07292  
BATISTA, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 5:17-07355

Southern District of California

COOK v. APPLE INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02579

Southern District of Florida

ABUROS v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-24712

Northern District of Illinois

MANGANO, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-09178  
NEILAN v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-09296

Southern District of Indiana

SCHROEDER v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-04750

Eastern District of Louisiana

LANASA v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-17878

Southern District of Mississippi

MCINNIS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00358

Western District of Missouri

BURTON, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-04257

Eastern District of New York

DRANTIVY v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-07480

LAZARUS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-07485

Southern District of New York

RABINOVITS, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-10032

District of South Carolina

BRAND, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 2:17-03453

Eastern District of Texas

MILLER, ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:17-00889

MDL No. 2828 – **IN RE: INTEL CORP. CPU MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Stephen Garcia, et al., and Richard Reis, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

GARCIA, ET AL. v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-00046

REIS, ET AL. v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-00074

Southern District of Indiana

JONES v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00029

Eastern District of New York

STERN v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00065

District of Oregon

MANN v. INTEL CORPORATION, C.A. No. 6:18-00028

MDL No. 2829 – **IN RE: MT. GOX BITCOIN EXCHANGE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Anthony Motto to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

LACK v. MIZUHO BANK, LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00617

Northern District of Illinois

GREENE, ET AL. v. MTGOX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01437

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

PEARCE v. MIZUHO BANK, LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00306

MDL No. 2830 – **IN RE: UNILOC USA, INC., AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A., HPE PORTFOLIO PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Northern District of California

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LOGITECH, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-06733

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00358

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00360

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00363

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00572

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00359  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00365

District of Delaware

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01526  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01527  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. PEEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01552  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. WINK LABS, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01656  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01657  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-01658

Southern District of Indiana

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. EXCLUSIVE GROUP LLC, C.A. No. 1:17-03962

Eastern District of Texas

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00707  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00714  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00722

Northern District of Texas

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00825  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00826  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00827  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00828  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00858

Western District of Washington

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01558  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01561  
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. v. HTC AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:17-01562



MDL No. 2831 – **IN RE: AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant AM Retail Group, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California:

Eastern District of California

WATKINS, ET AL. v. AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01287

Northern District of California

SANCHEZ v. AM RETAIL GROUP, INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00287

MDL No. 2832 – **IN RE: LIQUID TOPPINGS DISPENSING SYSTEM ('447) PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Guillermo Canedo and Icetastic Enterprises, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Arizona

KONA ICE, INC. v. MESSIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-03298

District of Colorado

KONA ICE, INC. v. LIU, C.A. No. 1:17-02301  
KONA ICE, INC. v. SILVA-ROMERO, C.A. No. 1:17-02302

Northern District of Florida

KONA ICE, INC. v. BAILEY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00698

Southern District of Florida

KONA ICE, INC. v. CANEDO, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-61842  
TIKIZ FRANCHISING, LLC, ET AL. v. KONA ICE, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-60237

Western District of Louisiana

KONA ICE, INC. v. NAVARRE, C.A. No. 2:17-01208

District of Maryland

KONA ICE, INC. v. SNEE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02809

Western District of North Carolina

KONA ICE, INC. v. BUMGARNER, C.A. No. 3:17-00563

Eastern District of Texas

KONA ICE, INC. v. HODGSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-00667

Southern District of Texas

KONA ICE COMPANY v. CROWDER, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02837

Western District of Texas

KONA ICE, INC. v. DETAVERNIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00931

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

**MDL No. 2291 - IN RE: WESSON OIL MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Conagra Brands, Inc., to reassign this MDL, comprised of the following cases, from the United States District Court for the Central District of California to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

BRISENO v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-05379  
TOOMER v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06127  
MCFADDEN v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06402  
RUIZ v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-06480  
KREIN v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-07097  
VIRR v. CONAGRA FODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-08421  
SCARPELLI, ET AL. v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-08513  
( D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:11-04038)  
ANDRADE v. CONAGRA FOODS, INC., C.A. No. 2:11-09308

**MDL No. 2295 - IN RE: PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, TELEPHONE  
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Middle District of Florida

WILLIAMS v. PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC, C.A. No. 6:17-02064

**MDL No. 2406 - IN RE: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Reva, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

Southern District of Florida

REVA, INC. v. HEALTHKEEPERS INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-24158

MDL No. 2433 – **IN RE: E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY C-8  
PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of defendants E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and The Chemours Company to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

Southern District of West Virginia

RISER v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-03795  
GREGG v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-03926  
BRAGG v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:17-04228  
STOVER v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-04375  
ANDERSON, ET AL. v. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 2:17-04400

MDL No. 2557 – **IN RE: AUTO BODY SHOP ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Government Employees Insurance Company to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

District of Oregon

LEIF'S AUTO COLLISION CENTERS, LLC v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES  
INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:17-01822

MDL No. 2590 – **IN RE: NAVISTAR MAXXFORCE ENGINES MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Christopher Moser to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Texas

MOSER v. NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:17-00598

MDL No. 2599 – **IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Arthur L. Bustos, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of New Mexico

BUSTOS, ET AL. v. LUCERO, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00058

MDL No. 2613 – **IN RE: TD BANK, N.A., DEBIT CARD OVERDRAFT FEE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Britney Lawrence and Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

District of New Jersey

LAWRENCE v. TD BANK N.A., C.A. No. 1:17-12583

MDL No. 2627 – **IN RE: LUMBER LIQUIDATORS CHINESE-MANUFACTURED FLOORING PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Kaleigh Craig, et al., and Bryan Gaus, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia:

District of Nebraska

CRAIG, ET AL. v. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-00480

Northern District of West Virginia

GAUS, ET AL. v. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00177

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Darren Cartwright, et al.; Maureen Kassimali, et al.; Janice M. Callahan, et al.; and Phyllis D. Smith, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Missouri

CARTWRIGHT, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:17-02851  
KASSIMALI, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00014

Middle District of Pennsylvania

CALLAHAN, ET AL. v. ACME MARKETS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00022  
SMITH, ET AL. v. GIANT FOOD STORES, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00023

MDL No. 2741 – **IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joseph Shible and Richard Heinzen, et al., and defendant Monsanto Company to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Eastern District of Arkansas

WINDLE, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:18-00023

District of Delaware

SHIBLE v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:18-00080

Eastern District of Missouri

HEINZEN, ET AL. v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:17-02881

MDL No. 2754 – **IN RE: ELIQUIS (APIXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Delaware

BAGINSKI v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01607

MORROW v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01608

BATES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01609  
RISNER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01610  
GREEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01611  
HASSENPFUG v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01644

BEECHIM v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01666

BISHOP v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01667  
WALLS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01694  
EDMONDSON v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01695

HAGEDORN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01708

ARDEN v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01709  
CARTER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01715  
LOONEY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01716  
SWEENEY v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01756

WOLFE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01757  
HOWARD v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01759

CALLAIS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01760  
MELSER v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01761  
DOLLAR v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01762  
JENNINGS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01763

TOUPS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01764  
RUGGLES v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01765

JENKINS v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01766  
DOWELL v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01767  
FRIDDLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01768

MDL No. 2777 – **IN RE: CHRYSLER–DODGE–JEEP ECODIESEL MARKETING,  
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Chrysler Automobiles N.V., FCA US LLC, Sergio Marchionne, Scott Kunselman, Michael Dahl, Steve Mazure, and Robert E. Lee to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Southern District of New York

PIRNIK v. FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:15–07199

MDL No. 2782 – **IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA  
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Janice Gilmore to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of Indiana

GILMORE v. HOWARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–00087

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ashley Abramson, et al.; Katiushka Rebeca Acosta-Smith, et al.; City of Chicago; Kevin L. Cofield, Sr., et al.; Craig Ward, et al.; and Craven Randall Casper and defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and Trans Union, LLC to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Central District of California

ABRAMSON, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 8:17–02201  
ACOSTA–SMITH, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18–00005

Northern District of Illinois

CITY OF CHICAGO v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:17–07798

District of Maryland

COFIELD, SR., ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17–03119  
WARD, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17–03246



Middle District of North Carolina

CASPER v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01004

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants KVK-Tech, Inc., and Bloodworth Wholesale Drugs, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Southern District of Alabama

THE ESTATE OF BRUCE BROCKEL, DECEASED, BY AND THROUGH DONNA BROCKEL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00521

Northern District of Georgia

THE COUNTY OF FULTON v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-04757

Eastern District of Kentucky

THE COUNTY OF FLOYD v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 7:17-00186  
PIKE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 7:17-00193  
KNOTT v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 7:18-00006

Middle District of Louisiana

LOUISIANA HEALTH SERVICE & INDEMNITY COMPANY D/B/A BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF LOUISIANA, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01766

District of New Jersey

CITY OF PATERSON v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13433

Southern District of Ohio

JEFFERSON COUNTY, OHIO v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00037

District of Oregon

COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-02010

Northern District of West Virginia

BROOKE COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00009  
HANCOCK COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00010  
HARRISON COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00011  
LEWIS COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00012  
MARSHALL COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00013  
OHIO COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00014  
TYLER COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00015  
WETZEL COUNTY COMMISSION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00016

Western District of Wisconsin

ST. CROIX CHIPPEWA INDIANS OF WISCONSIN v. MCKESSON  
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00914

MDL No. 2823 – **IN RE: BERNZOMATIC AND WORTHINGTON BRANDED  
HANDHELD TORCH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Jason Lou Peralta and Kurtis M. Bailey to transfer the following  
actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

District of Arizona

PERALTA v. WORTHINGTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-03195

Central District of California

MARMONT, ET AL. v. BERNZOMATIC CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-00848

Northern District of Illinois

BAILEY v. BERNZOMATIC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-07548

District of South Carolina

LOFTON v. IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01358

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



May 31, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **May 31, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Everett McKinley Dirksen  
United States Courthouse  
Courtroom No. 2525, 25th Floor  
219 South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **May 14, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of Illinois

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on May 31, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Chicago, Illinois, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
May 31, 2018 -- Chicago, Illinois

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2833 – IN RE: FEDLOAN STUDENT LOAN SERVICING LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Adam Morris, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of Illinois

ROCKWELL, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE  
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:18-00367

Northern District of Ohio

FORD, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE  
AGENCY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00049

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

MORRIS, ET AL. v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE  
AGENCY, C.A. No. 2:18-00031  
CLANCY v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE AGENCY,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00753

Middle District of Pennsylvania

SALVATORE v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE  
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:17-00385  
GALLAGHER v. PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE  
AGENCY, C.A. No. 1:17-02416



MDL No. 2834 – **IN RE: PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Personal Web Technologies, LLC, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. AIRBNB, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00149  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. AMICUS FTW, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00150  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ATLISSIAN, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00154  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CLOUD 66, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00155  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CUREBIT, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00156  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. DOXIMITY, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00157  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FANDOR, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00159  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GOLDBELY, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00160  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GOPRO, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00161  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. HEROKU, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00162  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LEAP MOTION, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00163  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MELIAN LABS, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00165  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MYFITNESSPAL, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00166  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. QUOTIENT TECHNOLOGY,  
INC., C.A. No. 5:18-00169  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. REDDIT, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00170  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ROBLOX CORPORATION,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00171  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. STITCHFIX, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00173

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. STUMBLEUPON, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00174  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. TEESPRING, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00175  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. TOPHATTER, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00176  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. VENMO, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00177  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. WEBFLOW, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00178  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. SQUARE, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00183  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. VEND, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00196  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MERKLE, INC.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00409  
AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL. v. PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00767

District of Delaware

PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CAPTERRA, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00133  
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. KARMA MOBILITY INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00134  
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LIVECHAT SOFTWARE SA,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00135  
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MATCH GROUP, LLC,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00136  
PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. WEDDINGWIRE, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00137

Eastern District of New York

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ATLAS OBSCURA, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00164  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. KICKSTARTER, PBC,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00206  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CLOUD WARMER INC.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00205

Southern District of New York

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BDG MEDIA, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00212  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BITLY, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00216  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. BLUE APRON, LLC,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00217  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. CENTAUR MEDIA USA, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00219  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FAB COMMERCE & DESIGN,  
INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00220  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FOOD52, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00222  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. PANJIVA, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00223  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. GROUP NINE MEDIA, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00268  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FANDUEL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00269  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. ROCKETHUB, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00271  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. SPONGECCELL, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00272

Eastern District of Texas

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. YOTPO LTD,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00045  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. LESSON NINE GMBH,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00046  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. FIVERR INTERNATIONAL  
LTD., C.A. No. 4:18-00047  
PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL. v. MWM MY WEDDING MATCH  
LTD., C.A. No. 4:18-00049

MDL No. 2835 – **IN RE: IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Microsoft Corporation to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

District of Delaware

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. LENOVO (UNITED STATES), INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01153

Eastern District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00744

Northern District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. FUJITSU AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:16-03319

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:16-03320

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-03322

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01259

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02699

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ZTE CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-03112

MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00222

Western District of Texas

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DELL INC., C.A. No. 1:17-00999

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. HP INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01068

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00143

MDL No. 2836 – **IN RE: ZETIA (EZETIMIBE) ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs UFCW Local 1500 Welfare Fund and Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Eastern District of New York

UFCW LOCAL 1500 WELFARE FUND v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00763  
PHILADELPHIA FEDERATION OF TEACHERS HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND  
v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01216

Eastern District of Virginia

FWK HOLDINGS, LLC v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00023  
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, MIAMI LODGE 20, INSURANCE TRUST  
FUND v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00035  
CESAR CASTILLO, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00039  
ROCHESTER DRUG COOPERATIVE, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00071  
SERGEANTS BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION HEALTH & WELFARE FUND v.  
MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00108

MDL No. 2838 – **IN RE: BROILER CHICKEN GROWER ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Haff Poultry, Inc., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma:

Eastern District of North Carolina

HAFF POULTRY, INC., ET AL. v. KOCH FOODS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 7:18-00031

Eastern District of Oklahoma

HAFF POULTRY, INC., ET AL. v. TYSON FOODS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00033

MDL No. 2839 – **IN RE: LURASIDONE PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

District of Delaware

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. AMNEAL  
PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00256  
SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. FIRST TIME US  
GENERICS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00369

District of New Jersey

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. EMCURE  
PHARMACEUTICALS LTD., C.A. No. 2:18-02065  
SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. AUROBINDO  
PHARMA LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02620

Eastern District of New York

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. INVAGEN  
PHARMACEUTICALS INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01444

Middle District of North Carolina

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD., ET AL. v. ACCORD  
HEALTHCARE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00185

MDL No. 2840 – **IN RE: COMERICA BANK WOODBRIDGE INVESTMENT  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Mark Baker, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

JAY BEYNON FAMILY TRUSTED DTD 10/23/1998, ET AL. v. COMERICA  
BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00103  
PRINCE, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00430  
LANDMAN, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 2:18-00471  
GORDON, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01298

Southern District of Florida

BAKER, ET AL. v. COMERICA BANK, C.A. No. 0:18-60524

MDL No. 2841 – **IN RE: MONAT HAIR CARE PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Amber Alabaster and Crystal Merritt to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Southern District of Florida

SOHOVICH v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-20624  
WHITMIRE, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 1:18-20636  
MCWHORTOR, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 1:18-20870

Western District of Oklahoma

ALABASTER, ET AL. v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 5:18-00224

Northern District of Texas

MERRITT v. MONAT GLOBAL CORP., C.A. No. 3:18-00657

MDL No. 2842 – **IN RE: CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE VOLATILITY INDEX MANIPULATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Eric J. Levy, Living Trust UA dated 1/21/10, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Illinois

TOMASULO v. CBOE EXCHANGE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02025

Southern District of New York

SAMUEL v. JOHN DOES, C.A. No. 1:18-01593  
QUINT v. DRW HOLDINGS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01980  
MUSSO v. JOHN DOES, C.A. No. 1:18-02269  
LEVY, LIVING TRUST UA DATED 1/21/10, ET AL. v. JOHN DOES,  
C.A. No. 1:18-02552

MDL No. 2843 – **IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Theresa Beiner, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

PRICE v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01732  
RUBIN v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01852  
O'KELLY v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01915  
BEINER, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01953  
GENNOCK, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01891

Northern District of Illinois

COMFORTE, ET AL. v. CAMBRIDGE ANALYTICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02120

District of New Jersey

MALSKOFF, ET AL. v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-04451

Southern District of Texas

LODOWSKI v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00907

MDL No. 2844 – **IN RE: FLORIDA, PUERTO RICO, AND U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS 2016 AND 2017 HURRICANE SEASONS FLOOD CLAIMS LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Marcia Samuelson, et al., and Felix Guardiola,, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Middle District of Florida

NETKA v. FIRST COMMUNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:18-00180  
ACKER, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 5:17-00439  
BATISTA, ET AL. v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02081  
GUARDIOLA, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY OF  
FLORIDA, C.A. No. 8:17-02208  
AUNER, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 8:17-02209



GUARDIOLA, ET AL. v. AMERICAN STRATEGIC INSURANCE,  
C.A. No. 8:17-02211  
WASSEN, ET AL. v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02213  
SAMUELSON, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 8:17-02214  
SHELDON, ET AL. v. TOWER HILL PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 8:17-02215  
CONNERS v. TOWER HILL PRIME INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:17-02937

Northern District of Florida

CEDAR KEY MARINA II INC. v. WRIGHT NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE  
COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00236  
PATE v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:17-00238

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

MDL No. 2047 – **IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Andre Amorin, et al., and defendants Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Eastern District of Louisiana

AMORIN, ET AL. v. TAISHAN GYPSUM CO., LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:11-01672  
(S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 1:11-22408)

MDL No. 2158 – **IN RE: ZIMMER DUROM HIP CUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs David Foscue, et al., for remand, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

District of New Jersey

FOSCUE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-07491 (W.D. Arkansas,  
C.A. No. 1:12-01083)

MDL No. 2187 – **IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Noetic Specialty Insurance Company to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Eastern District of Virginia

NOETIC SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY v. MEDTRONIC PLC,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00139

MDL No. 2244 – **IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of relators Dr. Antoni Nargol and Dr. David Langton to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. v. DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-10896

MDL No. 2428 – **IN RE: FRESENIUS GRANUFLO/NATURALYTE DIALYSATE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Josephine Gallardo Hernandez, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Southern District of Texas

GALLARDO HERNANDEZ, ET AL. v. KIDNEY SPECIALISTS OF SOUTH TEXAS,  
P.A., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00056

MDL No. 2543 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS LLC IGNITION SWITCH LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Richard Ronquillo, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Western District of Texas

RONQUILLO, ET AL. v. BRAVO SOUTHWEST, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00016

MDL No. 2575 – **IN RE: FLUIDMASTER, INC., WATER CONNECTOR COMPONENTS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendant Merrimack Mutual Fire Insurance Company to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

District of Massachusetts

FLUIDMASTER, INC. v. MERRIMACK MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,  
C.A. No. 1:18-10260

MDL No. 2599 – **IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff William Hogan, Jr., and defendant Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Middle District of Florida

HOGAN v. GOMEZ, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00134

Northern District of Georgia

MAESTRI v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01070

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

FRYE v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00940

Eastern District of Missouri

GAVIN, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00212

REISING, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00380

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

STORM, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01049

MDL No. 2741 – **IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Ralph A. Applegate transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Southern District of Ohio

APPLEGATE v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:18-00045

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING  
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Francis Gregg Proudfoot, II, to transfer of the *Proudfoot* action to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland and motions of plaintiffs Michael Tipsord, et al., to transfer the *Tipsord* action and defendant Smith & Nephew, Inc., to transfer the *Lafountain*, *McAnneny*, *Bucalo*, and *Shuker* actions to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland:

District of Connecticut

LAFOUNTAIN v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01598  
MCANNENY v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-00012  
PROUDFOOT v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 3:17-01106

Central District of Illinois

TIPSORD, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:16-01339

Northern District of Illinois

BUCALO, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., C.A. No. 1:17-06911

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

SHUKER, ET AL. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:13-06158

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Deborah Walton and Cedric Forrest to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of Indiana

WALTON v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00225

Southern District of Texas

FORREST v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 4:18-00817

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Mylan Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

District of Delaware

STATE OF DELAWARE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00383

Middle District of Florida

THE COUNTY OF OSCEOLA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:18-00164

Eastern District of Kentucky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00010

Eastern District of Louisiana

ST. BERNARD PARISH GOVERNMENT v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-02717

District of Maryland

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00519

District of Montana

STATE OF MONTANA v. PURDUE PHARMA, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00033

Eastern District of Oklahoma

THE CHEROKEE NATION v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:18-00056

Eastern District of Texas

COUNTY OF VAN ZANDT v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:18-00064

Southern District of Texas

COUNTY OF HARRIS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00490

Southern District of West Virginia

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLAY COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00413

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.


- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.



# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



July 26, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY CUSTOMER  
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION**

Antranik Mekerdijian v. Saks Fifth Avenue LLC, et al., )  
S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:18-05844 ) MDL No. 2847  
(Formerly C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:18-02649) )

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED JUNE 15, 2018**

This action (*Mekerdijian*), bearing the Central District of California civil action number of 2:18-02649, was included in plaintiff Latusha Vains' motion to transfer several actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The matter is scheduled to be heard at the Panel's July 26, 2018, hearing in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

The Panel has now been advised that this action was transferred from the Central District of California to the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), by the Honorable John F. Walker in an order filed on June 26, 2018. Coincident to this transfer, *Mekerdijian* was assigned a new Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:18-05844.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all documents filed with the Panel's CM/ECF system under C.A. No. 2:18-02649 will be re-designated as C.A. No. 1:18-05844.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Hearing Order and Schedule filed on June 15, 2018, is likewise amended to reflect the new Southern District of New York civil action number of 1:18-05844.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED JUNE 15, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on June 15, 2018, are amended to include the following additional civil actions on Section A of the Schedule for the hearing session on July 26, 2018, in Santa Fe, New Mexico:

**MDL No. 2848      IN RE: ZOSTAVAX (ZOSTER VACCINE LIVE) PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida or the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Middle District of Florida

ERICKSON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-0562  
PILLITTERI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-0037

FOR THE PANEL:

  
Jeffrey N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **July 26, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Santiago E. Campos  
United States Courthouse  
Aspen Courtroom, 2nd Floor  
106 South Federal Place  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **July 9, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on July 26, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
July 26, 2018 -- Santa Fe, New Mexico

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2845 – IN RE: REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC PATENT  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado:

Central District of California

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. HULU, LLC, C.A. No. 2:17-07611

District of Colorado

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SLING TV LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-02097

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. POLYCOM, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-02692

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. APPLE INC., C.A. No. 1:17-02869

District of Delaware

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. BRIGHTCOVE, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01519

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. HAIVISION NETWORK VIDEO  
INC., C.A. No. 1:17-01520

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. NETFLIX, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01692

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01693

District of Massachusetts

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-10355

Eastern District of Texas

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00549

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00591

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,  
LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00113

MDL No. 2846 – **IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA  
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Stephen Silber, et al.; Gladys Broyles; Marianna Coussas; Tyler Lyon; Michael Heili; Phyllis Manuel; Gregory Rowe; Wayne Ogle; Thomas Spencer; Steven Zemko; Gerald Lane; Pauline R. Currey; Kelli Abshire, et al.; Josephene Williams; and Shane Wade to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri:

District of Arizona

BOURLOKAS v. DAVOL INCORPORATED, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00615

Eastern District of California

SILBER, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00479  
GRESCHNER v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS &  
REHABILITATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:15-01663  
HANSEN v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00495

Southern District of Florida

DAWSON-WEBB v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-62012  
BARBAREE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80243

Central District of Illinois

MCGINNIS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-01255

Northern District of Illinois

COLEMAN v. DAVOL, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01706



Eastern District of Kentucky

MOORE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:17-00132

Western District of Kentucky

WHITEHOUSE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00020

Eastern District of Louisiana

STIPELCOVICH v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-09656

MCMANUS v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-11836

BAPTIST v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12718

ROBERTS, SR., ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13657

SANDERS, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02884

Southern District of Mississippi

DOVE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00003

Eastern District of Missouri

GREENWOOD v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00039

BROYLES v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00513

Western District of Missouri

COUSSAS v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-04015

POWELL v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-05019

LYON v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00039

ELI v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00116

ELLIOT v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00125

HEILI v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00130

MANUEL v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00131

POWELL v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00156

SCHAPELER v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00169

CHRISSAN v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00171

ROWE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-03019

LEE v. C.R. BARD, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-03075

District of New Jersey

OGLE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00819  
BURGESS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01390  
SPENCER v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01692  
GALLOW v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02533  
VOLPE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02602  
ZEMKO v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02742  
NEWLAND, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02915

District of New Mexico

NANCE v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00003

Eastern District of New York

FURLEITER v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01229

Northern District of Ohio

KNAUSS, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00316

Southern District of Ohio

LANE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00164  
CURREY v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00222  
ABSHIRE, ET AL. v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00268

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

WROTEN, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04546  
GORDON v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-04553

District of South Carolina

BROWN v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00580  
CAMPBELL, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00581  
ADAMS v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00582  
BRUGGER, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00228

Middle District of Tennessee

TERRELL v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01575

Eastern District of Texas

WILLIAMS v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00151

Southern District of Texas

BECERRA v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00023

WADE v. DAVOL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01112

MDL No. 2847 – **IN RE: HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY  
BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Latusha Vains to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Central District of California

MEKERDIJIAN v. SAKS FIFTH AVENUE LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-02649

District of Delaware

BEEKMAN v. LORD & TAYLOR, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-00521

Southern District of New York

TAFET, ET AL. v. HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02980

VAINS v. HUDSONS'S BAY COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03366

MDL No. 2848 – **IN RE: ZOSTAVAX (ZOSTER VACCINE LIVE) PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida or the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Middle District of Florida

BELL v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-01320  
MCRAE v. MERCK & CO., INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00483  
BLANCHARD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00038  
JONES, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00144  
ERICKSON, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-01672  
SMITH v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00043  
PATTERSON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00092  
ALFORD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00093  
GRENIER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00407  
MELENDEZ v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00408  
KELLY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00604  
MALBERG v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00034  
BENCIVENGA v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00156  
BOWMAN, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00434  
STEPHENS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00512  
DEKER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00650  
DOLAN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00651  
MELUCCI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00695  
BOCKUS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00715

Northern District of Florida

HIRAM v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00051  
ADAMS v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00155  
SHEPPARD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00200  
GREEN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00007

Southern District of Florida

ENDRESEN-WORTHY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-14095  
SOROKO v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80021

District of Massachusetts

VERGE v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-30036

District of New Jersey

GASPI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12849  
SMART, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-12853  
KIRK v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-13689  
GRACE, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01844  
WARD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03811  
GASPARD v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-11483  
SYKES, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-11657  
SEIGMAN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-12210  
PINKSTAFF, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-12212  
FARRINGTON v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-13496  
TEMPLET, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00457  
WAGGONER, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00987  
BROWN, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02460  
CASSIDY, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02527  
GEISHEKER v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02544

Eastern District of New York

BRAVO, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00687  
BRUMFIELD, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06526  
ZACCANELLI, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-07106  
ALBISANO, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00365  
CLARK, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01592

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

DOTTER, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-04686  
ESTATE OF CARMEN RODRIGUEZ v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00485  
BILLECI, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00486  
BENTLEY v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01122  
DOHERTY, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01415  
MOLOUKI v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01983  
ELMEGREEN v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02044

Western District of Pennsylvania

LEE v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00419

Eastern District of Wisconsin

EVERTS, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00020

MDL No. 2849 – **IN RE: STARBUCKS CORPORATION ACCESS FOR INDIVIDUALS  
WITH DISABILITIES LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Starbucks Corporation to transfer the following actions to the  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of California:

Central District of California

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00717

Eastern District of California

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02489  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02792  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02797  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:16-02820  
JOHNSON v. VALLEY MACK PLAZA CO. L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01125  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-01718  
JOHNSON v. BRIXTON SHERWOOD, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02082  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:17-02521  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 2:18-00395

Northern District of California

JOHNSON v. LOS GATOS GATEWAY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-03495  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:16-06792  
JOHNSON v. BLACKHAWK CENTERCAL, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-02454  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:17-06836  
JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:18-01134  
JOHNSON v. GOODHUE, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:16-00724  
JOHNSON v. SEBANC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00585  
JOHNSON v. WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00972  
JOHNSON v. LET IT FLHO, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01293

JOHNSON v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 5:18-01595  
JOHNSON v. MONTEREY FISH COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-01985

MDL No. 2850 – **IN RE: RAILWAY INDUSTRY EMPLOYEE NO-POACH  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Dustin Theobald to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

District of Maryland

ARCURI, ET AL. v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01191

Western District of Pennsylvania

CARRUTH v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00469  
THEOBALD v. KNORR-BREMSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00526

MDL No. 2851 – **IN RE: GREAT PLAINS LENDING, LLC, LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Great Plains Lending, LLC, to transfer certain claims in the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Northern District of California

BRICE, ET AL. v. REES, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-01200

Middle District of North Carolina

GRANGER, ET AL. v. GREAT PLAINS LENDING, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00112

Eastern District of Virginia

GIBBS, ET AL. v. PLAIN GREEN, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:17-00495

MDL No. 2852 – **IN RE: GIANT EAGLE, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT  
(FLSA) LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Giant Eagle, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

Southern District of Indiana

FITCH v. GIANT EAGLE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01236

Western District of Pennsylvania

JONES v. GIANT EAGLE, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-00282

MDL No. 2853 – **IN RE: SAMSUNG PLASMA TELEVISION PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; Best Buy Stores, L.P.; Sears Holding Management; and Sears Roebuck and Co. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

Northern District of California

BRONSON v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02300

Northern District of Florida

HOWE v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 1:16-00386

Northern District of Illinois

WARE, ET AL. v. BEST BUY STORES, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00886

District of Utah

MCCALLON v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00114



MDL No. 2854 – **IN RE: EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee or the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

Northern District of Alabama

BASCOMB, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00064

Eastern District of Arkansas

BARNETTE, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00004

Northern District of Florida

MARSHALL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00048

Northern District of Georgia

RYTE, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00186

Western District of Kentucky

BELL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00011

Eastern District of Louisiana

CARTER, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00156

Eastern District of Missouri

BARBER, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00010

Northern District of Mississippi

CAMPBELL, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00011

Western District of North Carolina

ALLEN, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00028

Northern District of Oklahoma

NICKS, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00030

District of South Carolina

BRANDON, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00128

Middle District of Tennessee

ACKLIN, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00028

Southern District of Texas

ARROYO, ET AL. v. EXPRESS COURIER INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-00010

**MDL No. 2855 - IN RE: ABA LAW SCHOOL ACCREDITATION LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants American Bar Association (ABA), The ABA Council of the Section of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar, and The ABA Accreditation Committee of the Section of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina:

District of Arizona

ARIZONA SUMMIT LAW SCHOOL LLC, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR  
ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01580

Middle District of Florida

FLORIDA COASTAL SCHOOL OF LAW, INC., ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00621

Western District of North Carolina

CHARLOTTE SCHOOL OF LAW, LLC, ET AL. v. AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00256

**MDL No. 2856 - IN RE: LOUISIANA COASTAL ZONE LAND LOSS LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Chevron U.S.A., Inc.; Chevron U.S.A. Holdings Inc.; The Texas Company; The Union Oil Company of California; Chevron Pipe Line Company; ConocoPhillips Company; Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP; The Louisiana Land and Exploration Company LLC; Exxon Mobil Corporation; Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast, Inc.; Atlantic Richfield Company; BP America Production Company; and BP Products North America Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Eastern District of Louisiana

PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. ROZEL OPERATING COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05189  
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. DESTIN OPERATING COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05206  
PARISH OF PLAQUEMINES, ET AL. v. HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05210  
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. ANADARKO E&P ONSHORE, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05213  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. EXCHANGE OIL & GAS CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05215  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. RIVERWOOD PRODUCTION COMPANY, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05217  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. JUNE ENERGY, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05218  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CHEVRON U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05220  
ST. BERNARD PARISH, ET AL. v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05222  
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. CHEVRON U.S.A. HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05224

PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. GREAT SOUTHERN OIL & GAS CO., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05227  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. NORTHCOAST OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05228  
PLAQUEMINES, ET AL. v. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05230  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. LINDER OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05231  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY,  
L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05234  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. GOODRICH PETROLEUM COMPANY, LLC,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05238  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. APACHE OIL CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05240  
JEFFERSON PARISH, ET AL. v. EQUITABLE PETROLEUM CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05242  
JEFFERSON PARISH v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05246  
JEFFERSON PARISH v. CANLAN OIL COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05252  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. TOTAL PETROCHEMICALS & REFINING USA,  
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05256  
JEFFERSON PARISH v. EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05257  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. BEPCO, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05258  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. PALM ENERGY OFFSHORE, L.L.C., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05259  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. HELIS OIL & GAS COMPANY, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05260  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CASKIDS OPERATING COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05262  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH v. HHE ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05263  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. CAMPBELL ENERGY CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05264  
PLAQUEMINES PARISH, ET AL. v. LLOG EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION  
COMPANY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05265

Western District of Louisiana

CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. AUSTER OIL & GAS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00677  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BALLARD EXPLORATION CO., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00678

CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BRAMMER ENGINEERING, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00679  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS CO. LP,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00682  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ALPINE EXPLORATION COMPANIES, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00684  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BAY COQUILLE, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00685  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00686  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00687  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. APACHE CORP. OF DELAWARE, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00688  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. ANADARKO E & P ONSHORE LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00689  
CAMERON PARISH, ET AL. v. BEPCO, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00690  
STUTES, ET AL. v. GULFPORT ENERGY CORP., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:18-00691

MDL No. 2857 – **IN RE: CREDIT SUISSE VELOCITYSHARES DAILY INVERSE VIX  
SHORT TERM EXCHANGE TRADED NOTES SECURITIES  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Credit Suisse AG, Credit Suisse Group AG, David R. Mathers, Tidjane Thiam, and Janus Index & Calculation Services LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Alabama

HALBERT, ET AL. v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00615

Southern District of New York

CHAHAL v. CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02268  
EISENBERG v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02319  
QIU v. CREDIT SUISSE AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04045

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

MDL No. 2391 – **IN RE: BIOMET M2A MAGNUM HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Elaine Gift to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

Northern District of Illinois

GIFT v. BIOMET, INC. D/B/A ZIMMER BIOMET, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-50132

MDL No. 2504 – **IN RE: AMAZON.COM, INC., FULFILLMENT CENTER FAIR  
LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR  
LITIGATION**

Motions of defendants Amazon Fulfillment Services, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; and Golden State FC LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky:

Central District of California

HAGMAN, ET AL. v. AMAZON FULFILLMENT SERVICES, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00024

Eastern District of California

TREVINO v. GOLDEN STATE FC LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00120

MDL No. 2591 – **IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Kenneth P. Kellogg, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

District of Minnesota

KELLOGG, ET AL. v. WATTS GUERRA, LLP, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01082

**MDL No. 2599 – IN RE: TAKATA AIRBAG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Yossi Shimshi, Mitra Bazzal, Abraham Hirschel, and Joshua Kopple to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Central District of California

SHIMSHI v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-02432  
BAZZAL v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02437  
HIRSCHEL, ET AL. v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES U.S.A., INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-02454  
KOPPLE v. TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02460

**MDL No. 2642 – IN RE: FLUOROQUINOLONE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Angela Renee Kester to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Eastern District of Oklahoma

KESTER v. JANSSEN SCIENTIFIC AFFAIRS, C.A. No. 6:18-00041

**MDL No. 2672 – IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Wright Law Aspen, LLP to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

District of Colorado

WRIGHT LAW ASPEN, LLP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00973

MDL No. 2734 – **IN RE: ABILIFY (ARIPRAZOLE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

Central District of California

WYLE, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18–03753

Northern District of California

DAVIS, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18–02584

GREEN, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18–02588

EVANS, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18–02614

District of Nevada

JOHNSON, ET AL. v. BRISTOL–MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18–00143

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

CABEZA v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02091

LEACH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02095

LYMAN III v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02123

TORRES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02126

GOMES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02130

SCHULTZ v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02206

COHN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–02262



JONES v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02281  
O'HALLORAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02410

Southern District of Illinois

MIHALICH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC., C.A. No. 3:18-01027

Eastern District of New York

JIMINEZ v. DUANE READE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02152

MDL No. 2782 – **IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA  
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs William Perry Newhouse, et al., and defendants Johnson & Johnson; Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.; and Ethicon, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of West Virginia

NEWHOUSE, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02735

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Jose D. Iraheta and Valerie D. Morgan to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Western District of Louisiana

IRAHETA v. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:17-01363

Eastern District of Virginia

MORGAN v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 3:18-00173

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants Paul Madison; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Aurolife Pharma LLC; Cross Lanes Family Pharmacy, Inc.; MRNB, Inc.; and American Pain Society, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Southern District of Alabama

AMERICAN RESOURCES INSURANCE COMPANY, INC. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00145

Central District of California

MASOUD BAMDAD, M.D. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-03662  
BURNS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00745

Northern District of California

ROBINSON RANCHERIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02525  
HOPLAND BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02528  
SCOTTS VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02529  
ROUND VALLEY INDIAN TRIBES, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02530  
REDWOOD VALLEY OR LITTLE RIVER BAND OF POMO INDIANS OF THE  
REDWOOD VALLEY RANCHERIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02531  
GUIDIVILLE RANCHERIA OF CALIFORNIA v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02532  
COYOTE VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02533  
CONSOLIDATED TRIBAL HEALTH PROJECT, INC. v. MCKESSON  
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02534  
CENTER POINT, INC. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02535  
BIG VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS OF THE BIG VALLEY RANCHERIA v.  
MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02536

BIG SANDY RANCHERIA OF WESTERN MONO INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02537  
CHU v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-02576

Northern District of Illinois

RIVERS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03116

Northern District of Indiana

CITY OF GARY, INDIANA v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00117

Eastern District of Kentucky

ATTORNEY GENERAL v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 7:18-00050

Western District of Kentucky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY v. CARDINAL HEALTH 5, LLC, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00184

District of Massachusetts

GRACE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10857

Northern District of Mississippi

NORTH MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL CENTER, INC., ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:18-00078

District of New Jersey

COUNTY OF HUDSON v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-09029  
SARDELLA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08706

Southern District of New York

KLODZINSKI v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03927

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

THE CITY OF NEW CASTLE, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-01472

Southern District of West Virginia

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF MINGO COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00476  
BURTON, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00478  
CITY OF HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA, ET AL. v. EXPRESS SCRIPTS  
HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00580

Western District of Wisconsin

LAC COURTE OREILLES BAND OF LAKE SUPERIOR CHIPPEWA INDIANS v.  
MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00286

MDL No. 2827 – **IN RE: APPLE INC. DEVICE PERFORMANCE LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carter Donahoe to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Ohio

DONAHOE v. APPLE, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-00763

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



September 27, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to include the following multicircuit petition for review on Section B of the Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California:

**MCP No. 154            IN RE: NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD; STATION  
GVR ACQUISITION, LLC D/B/A GREEN VALLEY RANCH  
RESORT SPA CASINO AND INTERNATIONAL UNION OF  
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 501, AFL-CIO; 366 NLRB NO.  
175, ISSUED ON AUGUST 27, 2018.**

Opposition of International Union of Operating Engineers Local 501, AFL-CIO, to the Notice of Multicircuit Petitions for Review filed by the National Labor Relations Board.

**SCHEDULE OF PETITIONS**

| <b><u>CIRCUIT</u></b> | <b><u>NO.</u></b> | <b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| D.C. Circuit,         | No. 18-1236       | Station GVR Acquisition, LLC d/b/a/ Green Valley Ranch Resort Spa Casino v. NLRB |
| Ninth Circuit,        | No. 18-72434      | International Union of Operating Engineers Local 50, AFL-CIO v. NLRB             |

FOR THE PANEL:

  
Jeffery N. Lathi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California, filed by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to update the following matter on Section A of the Schedule.

MDL No. 2865 - **IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE  
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND  
SCHEME LITIGATION:**

Strike the following from District of New Jersey:

~~SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET. AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08876~~

and insert the following under District of Utah:

SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET. AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00678

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the Panel



**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule filed by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to include the following multicircuit petition for review on on Section B of the Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California:

**MCP No. 153            IN RE: FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,  
ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC, 164 FERC 61, 100, ISSUED  
ON AUGUST 10, 2018**

Motion of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, to reconsider the Panel's order consolidating judicial review of this matter in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

**SCHEDULE OF PETITIONS**

**CIRCUIT NO.**

D.C. Circuit, No. 18-1224  
Fourth Circuit, No. 18-1956

**CASE CAPTION**

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC v. FERC  
Appalachian Voices, et al. v. FERC

FOR THE PANEL:

  
Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED AUGUST 16, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule for the hearing session on September 27, 2018, in San Francisco, California, filed by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on August 16, 2018, are amended to update the following matter on Section A of the Schedule.

**MDL No. 2865 - IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE  
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND  
SCHEME LITIGATION:**

Strike the following from

District of Connecticut:

~~SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00757  
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00758  
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00877  
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00984~~

and insert the following under

Southern District of New York

SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7828  
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7829  
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7827  
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-7824

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **September 27, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Phillip Burton United States Courthouse  
Ceremonial Courtroom, 19th Floor  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **September 10, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on September 27, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in San Francisco, California, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
September 27, 2018 -- San Francisco, California

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2858 – IN RE: JUMPSPORT, INC., ('845 & '207) PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants WalMart, Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores East, LP; Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC; Wal-Mart.com USA, LLC; Sam's East, Inc.; Sam's West, Inc.; Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc.; American Sports Licensing, LLC; Dick's Merchandising & Supply Chain, Inc.; Amazon.com; Amazon.com LLC; Academy, Ltd.; BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc.; J. C. Penney Company, Inc.; J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc.; J. C. Penney Purchasing Corporation; and JCP Logistics, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas:

Northern District of Georgia

JUMPSPORT, INC. v. J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01598  
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. BJ'S WHOLESALE CLUB, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-01689

Eastern District of Texas

JUMPSPORT, INC. v. ACADEMY, LTD DBA ACADEMY SPORTS & OUTDOORS,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00414  
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. WAL-MART STORES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-00645  
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. DICK'S SPORTING GOODS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 6:17-00663  
JUMPSPORT, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:17-00666

MDL No. 2859 – **IN RE: ZIMMER M/LTAPER HIP PROSTHESIS OR M/L TAPER HIP PROSTHESIS WITH KINECTIV TECHNOLOGY AND VERSYS FEMORAL HEAD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Elizabeth Hackett, et al., and David Pastor to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

District of Alaska

HICKEY, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:16-00045  
DERIFIELD, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00021

Northern District of California

ROBERTS v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-03564

Middle District of Florida

HEINEMAN v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:17-02420

District of Maine

MILLER, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00265  
LLOYD, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-00352  
MYRICK v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00480  
WALDEIER v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00004  
PRIDE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00108  
GRAHAM-FORTIN v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00204

Eastern District of Michigan

PASTOR v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-11461

District of Minnesota

HOLLENKAMP, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01304  
LAUKKA, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01305  
METZGER, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01310  
NESS, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01326  
HARMS, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01378  
HACKETT, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01407

Eastern District of New York

VIANIA v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-01641

Southern District of New York

SHAW v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-02119

LUCKASAVAGE, ET AL. v. ZIMMER, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-07451

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

ADAMS v. ZIMMER US, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-00621

Eastern District of Wisconsin

LIESCH, ET AL. v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01036

MDL No. 2860 – **IN RE: MANETIRONY CLERVRAIN LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Manetirony Clervrain to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia:

Eastern District of California

CLERVRAIN v. MITCHELL, C.A. No. 2:18-01716

Southern District of Georgia

CLERVRAIN v. STONE, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00028

CLERVRAIN v. JOHNS, C.A. No. 5:18-00038

District of Kansas

CLERVRAIN v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-03194

CLERVRAIN v. SESSIONS, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-03039

Southern District of Mississippi

CLERVRAIN v. JULIAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00051



Western District of Pennsylvania

CLERVRAIN v. KUTA, C.A. No. 3:18-00083

Eastern District of Texas

CLERVRAIN v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00313

CLERVRAIN v. CHAPA, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00452

CLERVRAIN v. MELLADY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00058

CLERVRAIN v. SAMUEL, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00059

CLERVRAIN v. MELLENDICK, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00060

CLERVRAIN v. KELLER, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00061

MDL No. 2861 – **IN RE: CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Armen Abgaryan and defendant Custard Insurance Adjusters, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

ABGARYAN v. CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC., C.A. No. 8:17-01849

Northern District of California

WADLER, ET AL. v. CUSTARD INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, INC.,

C.A. No. 3:17-05840

MDL No. 2862 – **IN RE: DIISOCYANATES ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Utah Foam Products, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

Eastern District of Michigan

ISAAC INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BASF CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-12089

District of New Jersey

C.U.E., INC. v. BASF AG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-11439

Western District of Pennsylvania

UTAH FOAM PRODUCTS, INC. v. BAYER A.G., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00858

MDL No. 2863 – **IN RE: [24]7.AI, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Michael Ford, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Central District of California

PICA v. DELTA AIR LINES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02876

Northern District of California

FORD, ET AL. v. 24/7, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-02770

Northern District of Georgia

MCGARRY v. DELTA AIR LINES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02794

MDL No. 2864 – **IN RE: ROUTE 91 HARVEST FESTIVAL SHOOTINGS IN LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, ON OCTOBER 1, 2017**

Motion of MGM Resorts International; Mandalay Bay, LLC; Mandalay Resort Group; MGM Resorts Venue Management, LLC; and MGM Resorts Festival Grounds, LLC, to transfer the following actions to a single United States District Court:

District of Alaska

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. CORBIN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00168

District of Arizona

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. BOOTH, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-02250

Central District of California

RAMIREZ, ET AL. v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-05564  
PEREDA v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05570  
MAGGIORE v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05640  
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. AASE, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-06113  
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ABNER, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-06197

Southern District of Florida

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. BRASFIELD, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-22883

District of Nevada

SHEPPARD, ET AL. v. MANDALAY BAY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01120  
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ACOSTA, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-01288

Southern District of New York

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. SOCCI, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-06451

Southern District of Texas

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. ARCHAMBEAULT, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-02465

District of Utah

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, ET AL. v. EARDLEY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00567

MDL No. 2865 – **IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE  
KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKAT) TAX REFUND SCHEME  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff SKAT to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Connecticut

SKAT v. AEROVANE LOGISTICS LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00757  
SKAT v. RANDOM HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00758  
SKAT v. EDGEPOINT CAPITAL LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00877  
SKAT v. FEDERATED LOGISTICS LLC 401K, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00983  
SKAT v. HEADSAIL MANUFACTURING LLC ROTH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00984  
SKAT v. TVETER LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00985  
SKAT v. VALERIUS LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00987

Southern District of Florida

SKAT v. THE FWC CAPITAL LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-21801  
SKAT v. THE RDL CONSULTING GROUP LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-22070

Northern District of Illinois

SKAT v. COLE ENTERPRISES USA RETIREMENT PLAN & TRUST, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-03206  
SKAT v. BLUE OCEAN EQUITY LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-03207  
SKAT v. KK LAW FIRM RETIREMENT PLAN TRUST, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-04082

Eastern District of Kentucky

SKAT v. SRH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00395  
SKAT v. MGH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00396  
SKAT v. JSH FARMS 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00397  
SKAT v. TRITON FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00398  
SKAT v. KRH FARMS LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00399  
SKAT v. TEW, LP RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00400

SKAT v. TEW ENTERPRISES, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00401  
SKAT v. INDUSTRIAL PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00402  
SKAT v. CASTING PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00403  
SKAT v. SV HOLDINGS, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00404  
SKAT v. CENTRAL TECHNOLOGIES PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00405  
SKAT v. BLUEGRASS RETIREMENT GROUP TRUST, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-00406  
SKAT v. BLUEGRASS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC RETIREMENT PLAN,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00407  
SKAT v. AUTOPARTS PENSIONS GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00408  
SKAT v. MSJJ RETIREMENT GROUP TRUST, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00409

District of Massachusetts

SKAT v. THE 78 YORKTOWN PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10890  
SKAT v. THE CAMBRIDGE TOWN LINE PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-10891  
SKAT v. THE DIAMOND SCOTT CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-10892  
SKAT v. THE HOTEL FROMANCE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10893  
SKAT v. THE MOUNTAIN AIR LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10894  
SKAT v. THE SKSL LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10895  
SKAT v. THE SNOW HILL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10896  
SKAT v. THE WESTRIDGE AVE LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10897  
SKAT v. THE SHAPIRO BLUE MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-11008  
SKAT v. THE PATRICK PARTNERS CONGLOMERATE PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-11011  
SKAT v. CSCC CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-11012

District of New Jersey

SKAT v. AMERICAN INVESTMENT GROUP OF NEW YORK, L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08876  
SKAT v. THE CARDINAL CONSULTING PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08878  
SKAT v. THE EGRET ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08880  
SKAT v. THE CROW ASSOCIATES PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08881  
SKAT v. THE HERON ADVISORS PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08882

SKAT v. THE HOBOKEN ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08883  
SKAT v. THE JAYFRAN BLUE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08884  
SKAT v. THE JT HEALTH CONSULTING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08885  
SKAT v. THE LAKEVIEW ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08886  
SKAT v. THE OSPREY ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-08887  
SKAT v. THE SANDPIPER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08888  
SKAT v. THE ZEN TRAINING LLC 401(K) PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-08889  
SKAT v. THE EVERYTHING CLEAN LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-09439  
SKAT v. THE JUMP GROUP LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09442  
SKAT v. THE OAKS GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09444  
SKAT v. THE WAVE MAVEN LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-09445  
SKAT v. THE BALMORAL MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10098  
SKAT v. THE BEECH TREE PARTNERS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10108  
SKAT v. THE BLACKBIRD 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10109  
SKAT v. THE CHAMBERS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10110  
SKAT v. FIFTYEIGHTSIXTY LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10111  
SKAT v. THE HAWK GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10112  
SKAT v. THE HIBISCUS PARTNERS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10113  
SKAT v. THE MAPLE ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10114  
SKAT v. ONEZEROFIVE LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10115  
SKAT v. THE ROBIN DANIEL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10116  
SKAT v. THE SEA BRIGHT ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10117  
SKAT v. THE TAG REALTY ADVISORS LLC 401K, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10118  
SKAT v. THE THROCKMORTON REALTY ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10119  
SKAT v. ACORN CAPITAL CORPORATION EMPLOYEE PROFIT SHARING  
PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10464  
SKAT v. CAMBRIDGE WAY LLC 401K PROFIT SHARING PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-10465  
SKAT v. THE DINK14 LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10466  
SKAT v. JML CAPITAL LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10468  
SKAT v. NATOLI MANAGEMENT PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10469  
SKAT v. NOVA FONTA TRADING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10470  
SKAT v. THE SKYBAX LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10592

Southern District of New York

IN RE SKAT TAX REFUND SCHEME LITIGATION, C.A. No. 1:18-04047  
SKAT v. THE DMR PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04049  
SKAT v. THE HOUSTON ROCCO LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04050  
SKAT v. THE PROPER PACIFIC LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04051  
SKAT v. THE LBR CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04052  
SKAT v. THE ATLANTIC DHR 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04430  
SKAT v. THE STOR CAPITAL CONSULTING LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-04434  
SKAT v. THE BUSBY BLACK 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04522  
SKAT v. THE CANADA ROCK LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04531  
SKAT v. THE ISDB PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04536  
SKAT v. THE MONIN AMPER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04538  
SKAT v. THE NYC STANISMORE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04541  
SKAT v. THE TEXAS ROCCO LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04543  
SKAT v. SANFORD VILLA PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04767  
SKAT v. THE ASTON ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04770  
SKAT v. THE SECTOR 230 LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04771  
SKAT v. RAUBRITTER LLC PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04833  
SKAT v. THE M2F WELLNESS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04890  
SKAT v. THE MPQ HOLDINGS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04892  
SKAT v. STERLING ALPHA LLC 401K PROFIT SHARING PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-04894  
SKAT v. THE TKKJ LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04896  
SKAT v. NYCATX LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04898  
SKAT v. SANDER GERBER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04899  
SKAT v. ACKVIEW SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04900  
SKAT v. THE DOSMON BLY PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05045  
SKAT v. THE GOLDSTEIN LAW GROUP PC 401(K) PROFIT SHARING PLAN,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05053  
SKAT v. THE INDIA BOMBAY LLC 401K PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05057  
SKAT v. THE ARIA PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05147  
SKAT v. THE BELFORTE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05150  
SKAT v. THE BRAVOS ADVISORS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05151  
SKAT v. THE COSTELLO ADVISORS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05158  
SKAT v. THE ESKIN PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05164  
SKAT v. THE FIELDCREST PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05180  
SKAT v. THE WESTPORT ADVISORS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05183

SKAT v. THE KODIAK CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05185  
SKAT v. THE KYBER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05186  
SKAT v. THE LERICI CAPITAL PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05188  
SKAT v. THE LUDLOW HOLDINGS 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05189  
SKAT v. THE REGOLETH PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05190  
SKAT v. THE SABA CAPITAL LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05192  
SKAT v. THE WEST RIVER PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05193  
SKAT v. THE STARK PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05194  
SKAT v. THE PETKOV PARTNERS PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05299  
SKAT v. THE PETKOV MANAGEMENT LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05300  
SKAT v. THE SVP 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05305  
SKAT v. THE KRABI HOLDINGS LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05307  
SKAT v. THE SPKK LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05308  
SKAT v. THE KASV GROUP PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05309  
SKAT v. DEL MAR ASSET MANAGEMENT SAVING & RETIREMENT PLAN,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-05374

Southern District of Ohio

SKAT v. THE BELLA CONSULTANTS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00309  
SKAT v. THE MUELLER INVESTMENTS PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00310  
SKAT v. THE GREEN GROUP SITE PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00311  
SKAT v. THE SINCLAIR PENSION PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00351

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

SKAT v. NEWSONG FELLOWSHIP CHURCH 401K PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:18-02459

Southern District of Texas

CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
BLACKRAIN PEGASUS LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01889  
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
GYOS 23 LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01895  
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
THE OAK TREE ONE 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01897  
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
THE JOANNE E. BRADLEY SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01898



CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
DELGADO FOX LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01899  
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK v.  
PEGASUS FOX 23 LLC SOLO 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01900

District of Utah

SKAT v. DW CONSTRUCTION, INC. RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00452  
SKAT v. KAMCO INVESTMENTS, INC. PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00454  
SKAT v. KAMCO LP PROFIT SHARING PENSION PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00455  
SKAT v. LINDEN ASSOCIATES DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00456  
SKAT v. MOIRA ASSOCIATES LLC 401K PLAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00458  
SKAT v. RIVERSIDE ASSOCIATES DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00460

**MDL No. 2866 – IN RE: LUCA INTERNATIONAL GROUP SECURITIES LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Lei (Lily) Lei to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION v. LUCA INTERNATIONAL  
GROUP, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:15-03101

Southern District of Texas

RANDY WILLIAMS, TRUSTEE OF THE LUCA LIQUIDATING TRUST v. LEI  
(LILY) LEI, ET AL., Bky. Advy. No. 4:17-03375

**MDL No. 2867 – IN RE: LOCAL TV ADVERTISING ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Clay, Massey & Associates, P.C. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Illinois

CLAY, MASSEY & ASSOCIATES, P.C. v. GRAY TELEVISION, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05197

District of Maryland

LAW OFFICES OF PETER MILLER, P.A. v. SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP,  
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02316

MDL No. 2868 – **IN RE: LINEAR GADOLINIUM-BASED CONTRAST AGENTS  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Hilary Davis; Srihari Munnuru; Susan Fischer; Kathleen Geisse, Ph.D., et al.; Patricia Young; Beth Winkler; Gena Norris, et al.; Debra Javens; Marcia Sabol; Nikki Esserman; Gail Montani; Stephen Goodell; Denise McGrath; Marcin Zelazny; Lori Combs; Sean Miller; and Dawn Walton to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Arizona

DAVIS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01157  
MUNNURU v. GUERBET LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01159  
FISCHER v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01778

Northern District of California

GEISSE, ET AL. v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:17-07026  
YOUNG v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00811  
WINKLER v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-03077  
LEWIS v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-04146

District of Delaware

JAVENS v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01030

Middle District of Florida

SABOL v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 8:18-00850

Southern District of Florida

ESSERMAN v. BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-21396  
MONTANI v. BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-10054

District of Kansas

GERRITY, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02245

District of Massachusetts

GOODELL v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-10694  
VIRUET v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-11611

Eastern District of New York

MCGRATH v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-02134

Southern District of New York

ZELAZNY v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-03246

Northern District of Ohio

COMBS v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00802

Southern District of Ohio

WHITE v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-00212  
MILLER v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00113

District of Oregon

WALTON v. GE HEALTHCARE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00605

Southern District of Texas

NORRIS, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-02762

MDL No. 2869 – **IN RE: COMPLYRIGHT CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Robert Bohannon, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Southern District of Florida

BOHANNON, ET AL. v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-61730  
ROBERTS v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 0:18-61836

Northern District of Illinois

WINSTEAD v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-04990

District of Nevada

MORELLO v. COMPLYRIGHT, INC., C.A. No. 2:18-01480

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

MDL No. 2591 – **IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Kenneth P. Kellogg, et al., for reconsideration of the Panel’s ruling transferring the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

District of Minnesota

KELLOGG, ET AL. v. WATTS GUERRA, LLP, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-01082

MDL No. 2670 – **IN RE: PACKAGED SEAFOOD PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Northern District of Ohio

REO v. BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-01415

REO v. CHICKEN OF THE SEA INTERNATIONAL, C.A. No. 1:18-01477

REO v. STARKIST CO., C.A. No. 1:18-01518

MDL No. 2672 – **IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Castellucci to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Eastern District of Virginia

CASTELLUCCI v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-00863

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Thomas Rafferty and Cynthia Gibson, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Illinois

RAFFERTY v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-04199

Eastern District of Missouri

GIBSON, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01100

MDL No. 2775 – **IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING  
(BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Lori Spellman to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland:

District of Arizona

SPELLMAN v. SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED, C.A. No. 3:16-08080

MDL No. 2792 – **IN RE: SAMSUNG TOP-LOAD WASHING MACHINE MARKETING,  
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Colleen Kennedy, et al., and Mitchell Orenstein, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

District of New Jersey

KENNEDY, ET AL. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
C.A. No. 2:14-04987

ORENSTEIN v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 2:15-04054

**MDL No. 2795 – IN RE: CENTURYLINK SALES PRACTICES AND SECURITIES  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Neil TS Flanders; Tim Ault; and Michael Barbee, et al., and defendants CenturyLink, Inc.; Glen F. Post III; David D. Cole; Sunit S. Patel; Jeffrey K. Storey; Virginia Boulet; Peter C. Brown; Steven T. Clontz; T. Michael Glenn; W. Bruce Hanks; Mary L. Landrieu; Harvey P. Perry; Laurie A. Siegel; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; Martha H. Bejar; Michael J. Roberts; and Kevin P. Chilton to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Western District of Louisiana

FLANDERS v. POST, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00753  
AULT v. POST, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00755  
BARBREE, ET AL. v. BEJAR, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00870

**MDL No. 2800 – IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia and opposition of defendant Equifax Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the *Casper* action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina:

Central District of California

KEROBYAN v. EQUIFAX INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-05401  
ANDRUSS, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00943  
ABDELRAZZAQ, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00945  
ANDERSON, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00950  
BREVEARD v. EQUIFAX INC., C.A. No. 8:18-00952  
ACHERONTI, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00955  
BELL, ET AL. v. EQUIFAX, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00956  
BERRY v. EQUIFAX INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-00957

Northern District of Georgia

CASPER v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-1511 (M.D. North Carolina,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01004)

Southern District of Illinois

WEDDINGTON v. EQUIFAX CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES, INC.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-01380

District of New Jersey

POTENTE v. EQUIFAX INFO. SERVICES, LLC, C.A. No. 2:18-10489

Eastern District of New York

LEE v. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC, C.A. No. 1:18-03133

Southern District of Texas

COWHERD v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 4:18-02230

**MDL No. 2804 – IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of certain plaintiffs and defendants Curtis V. Cooper Primary Health Care, Inc.; Pembroke Pharmacy, Inc.; and Willie C. Conley, Jr., RPH to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Middle District of Florida

CITY OF JACKSONVILLE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-00751

Northern District of Florida

LEVY COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00100

Southern District of Florida

PALM BEACH COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 9:18-80749

Southern District of Georgia

BOLTON, ET AL. v. BYNES, JR, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00136

District of New Jersey

THE BOROUGH OF RIDGEFIELD, v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-10842  
MONMOUTH COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-10901

District of South Carolina

COUNTY OF ANDERSON v. RITE AID OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 8:18-01947



Western District of Wisconsin

RED CLIFF BAND OF LAKE SUPERIOR CHIPPEWA INDIANS v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00380

MDL No. 2841 – **IN RE: MONAT HAIR CARE PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Monat Global Corp., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Nevada

MONAT GLOBAL CORP. v. MILLER, C.A. No. 2:18-00324

MDL No. 2843 – **IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff People of the State of Illinois, ex rel. Kimberly M. Foxx to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of Illinois

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, EX REL. KIMBERLY M. FOXX v. FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-02667

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.


(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

# Hearing Session Order & Amendments



November 29, 2018

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE HEARING SESSION ORDER  
AND ATTACHED SCHEDULE FILED OCTOBER 11, 2018**

IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and attached Schedule for the hearing session on November 29, 2018, in New York, New York, filed by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on October 11, 2018, are amended to update the following matter on Section A of the Schedule.

MDL No. 2874 - **IN RE: RAH Color Technologies LLC Patent Litigation:**

Strike the following from Northern District of Illinois:

~~RAH Color Technologies LLC v. Xerox Corporation, C.A. No. 1:17-06813~~

and insert the following under Western District of New York:

RAH Color Technologies LLC v. Xerox Corporation, C.A. No. 6:18-06746

FOR THE PANEL:

  
Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)**

Hoffeditz, et al. v. AM General, LLC, et al., )  
E.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:09-70103 ) MDL No. 875  
(D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:09-00257) )


**ORDER LIFTING STAY OF CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER  
AND VACATING THE NOVEMBER 29, 2018, HEARING SESSION ORDER**

A conditional remand order was filed in this action on September 10, 2018. Prior to expiration of that order's 7-day stay of transmittal, defendants Ford Motor Company and ArvinMeritor, Inc., filed notices of opposition to the proposed remand and subsequent motions and brief to vacate the conditional remand order. The Panel has now been advised that defendants wish to withdraw their oppositions to remand.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the stay of the Panel's conditional remand order filed on September 10, 2018, is LIFTED insofar as it relates to this action. The action is remanded to the District of New Jersey.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Hearing Session Order and the attached Schedule filed on October 11, 2018, are VACATED insofar as they relate to this matter.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **November 29, 2018**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Daniel Patrick Moynihan  
United States Courthouse  
Ceremonial Courtroom No. 9C, 9th Floor  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:

- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **November 9, 2018**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the Southern District of New York

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on November 29, 2018, the Panel will convene a hearing session in New York, New York, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Sarah S. Vance  
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
R. David Proctor

Charles R. Breyer  
Ellen Segal Huvelle  
Catherine D. Perry



SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
November 29, 2018 -- New York, New York

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2870 – IN RE: PATRIOT NATIONAL, INC., SECURITIES LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Aric McIntire, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

Southern District of Florida

MCINTIRE, ET AL. v. MARIANO, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-60075  
KANIKI v. MARIANO, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:18-62097

Southern District of New York

GINGELLO v. PATRIOT NATIONAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01866  
KAYCE v. PATRIOT NATIONAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-07164

**MDL No. 2871 – IN RE: DRY BEAN REVENUE PROTECTION CROP INSURANCE LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Ackerman & Son LLC, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

Eastern District of Michigan

ACKERMAN, ET AL. v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-11779

District of Minnesota

ELBERT, ET AL. v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 0:18-01574

MDL No. 2872 – **IN RE: INFANTS BORN OPIOID-DEPENDENT PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Ohio

REES, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45252  
WOOD v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45264  
SALMONS, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45268  
AMBROSIO, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45375  
FLANAGAN, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45405  
HUNT v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-45681

Southern District of West Virginia

MOORE, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01231

MDL No. 2873 – **IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motions of defendants Tyco Fire Products LP; Chemguard, Inc.; and 3M Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Alabama

WEST MORGAN-EAST LAWRENCE WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY, ET AL.  
v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:15-01750  
TENNESSEE RIVERKEEPER INC. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 5:16-01029  
KING, ET AL. v. WEST MORGAN-EAST LAWRENCE WATER AND SEWER  
AUTHORITY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:17-01833  
ARNOLD v. WEST MORGAN-EAST LAWRENCE WATER AND SEWER  
AUTHORITY, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-01441

District of Colorado

BELL, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-02351  
BELL, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-02352  
DAVIS, ET AL. v. 3M CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-02394

ADAMS, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00705  
BRAUN, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00742  
GORDON, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01065  
SMITH, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01070  
PARKER, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01090  
MANN, JR., ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01091  
BLEICHERT, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01101  
GUTIERRES, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01140  
RODERICK, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01145  
CHISHOLM, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01152  
GOKEY, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01153  
SMITH, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01154  
WOLFE, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01155  
THOMAS, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01156  
THOMPSON, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01157  
KAHLER, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01158  
BARKER, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01161  
HICKS, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01163  
BUTTS, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01164  
HUTCHISON, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01165  
INGEMANSEN, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01167  
RICE, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01190  
HARTLEY, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01191  
HELM, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01192  
STACY, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01193  
CROW, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01196  
PADILLA, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01199  
TAYLOR, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01201  
DILWOOD, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01202  
SHERBAN, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01270  
JOHNSON, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01271  
GUTTENBERG, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01274  
CASTRO, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01278  
OQUENDO, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01281  
GARCIA, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01282  
MCCLOSKEY, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01285  
NISKERN, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01288  
GIBSON, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01294  
HALL, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01298  
KELLY, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01301  
WALKER, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-01302

District of Delaware

ANDERSON, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00769

Northern District of Florida

EMERALD COAST UTILITIES AUTHORITY v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-01445

District of Massachusetts

TOWN OF BARNSTABLE v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:16-12351  
BARNSTABLE COUNTY v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-40002  
CIVITARESE, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-10747  
CITY OF WESTFIELD v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-30027

Western District of Michigan

ZIMMERMAN, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01062

District of Minnesota

CITY OF LAKE ELMO v. 3M COMPANY, C.A. No. 0:16-02557

Eastern District of New York

GREEN, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-02566  
SINGER, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-06962  
SUFFOLK COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:17-06982  
AYO, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00373  
HAMPTON BAYS WATER DISTRICT v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-01996  
SHIPMAN v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02496  
PY, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03225

Northern District of New York

LUCEY v. SAINT-GOBAIN PERFORMANCE PLASTICS CORP., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:17-01054  
WICKENDEN, ET AL. v. SAINT-GOBAIN PERFORMANCE PLASTICS CORP.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01056

ANDRICK, ET AL. v. SAINT-GOBAIN PERFORMANCE PLASTICS CORP.,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:17-01058

Southern District of New York

ADAMO, ET AL. v. THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 7:17-07131

FOGARTY, ET AL. v. THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW  
JERSEY, ET AL., C.A. No. 7:17-07134

MILLER, ET AL. v. THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,  
ET AL., C.A. No. 7:17-07136

CITY OF NEWBURGH v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 7:18-07057

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

BATES, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-04961

GRANDE, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-05380

YOCKEY, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-05553

FEARNLEY, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:16-06416

MENKES, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:17-00573

ZYSK, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02036

GILLEN v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02037

VOELKER, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02038

GENTLES v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02039

SATURNO v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02040

GRANDE v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02041

BURBIDGE, ET AL. v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-02043

EYNON v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03387

Eastern District of Washington

ACKERMAN, ET AL. v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00117

**MDL No. 2874 - IN RE: RAH COLOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Quad/Graphics, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC. v. RAH COLOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
C.A. No. 3:18-01612

RAH COLOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-03277

Northern District of Illinois

RAH COLOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. XEROX CORPORATION,  
C.A. No. 1:17-06813

Eastern District of Wisconsin

RAH COLOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. QUAD/GRAPHICS, INC.,  
C.A. No. 2:18-00087

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

**MDL No. 875 – IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)**

Oppositions of defendants Ford Motor Company and Arvin-Meritor, Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

HOFFEDITZ, ET AL. v. AM GENERAL, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:09–70103  
(D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:09–00257)

**MDL No. 2391 – IN RE: BIOMET M2A MAGNUM HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendants Biomet, Inc.; Biomet Orthopedics, LLC; Biomet Manufacturing, LLC; and Biomet U.S. Reconstruction, LLC, to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Northern District of Indiana

CHADWICK v. BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:12–00614  
(D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:12–03136)  
CARTER v. BIOMET, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:12–00256 (S.D. New York,  
C.A. No. 1:13–01532)  
RICHARDS v. BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14–00612  
(S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:14–00232)

**MDL No. 2437 – IN RE: DOMESTIC DRYWALL ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of Georgia

HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. v. LAFARGE NORTH AMERICA INC.,  
C.A. No. 1:18–02839

MDL No. 2738 – **IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Eleanor Barsh, et al.; Lisa Hittler, et al.; and Barbara Hinton to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Eastern District of Missouri

BARSH, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01464  
HITTLER, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01474  
HINTON v. PTI UNION, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-01602

MDL No. 2741 – **IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendant Monsanto Company to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

District of Arizona

LOPEZ v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:18-00360

District of Maine

POULIN v. MONSANTO COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:18-00318

MDL No. 2742 – **IN RE: SUNEDISON, INC., SECURITIES LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Domenech Zornoza to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Maryland

ZORNOZA v. TERRAFORM GLOBAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:18-02523



MDL No. 2782 – **IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Carlisa Nicholson to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Eastern District of Arkansas

NICHOLSON v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00576

MDL No. 2795 – **IN RE: CENTURYLINK SALES PRACTICES AND SECURITIES LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiff Dennis Palkon and defendants CenturyLink, Inc.; Sunit S. Patel; David D. Cole; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; Jeffrey K. Storey; Laurie A. Siegel; Glen F. Post III; Harvey P. Perry; Mary L. Landrieu; W. Bruce Hanks; Michael Glenn; Steven T. Clontz; Peter C. Brown; Virginia Boulet; Martha H. Bejar; Michael J. Roberts; and Kevin P. Chilton to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Western District of Louisiana

PALKON v. CENTURYLINK, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00998

MDL No. 2800 – **IN RE: EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Davedia Sharmaine Lamar, Christopher J. Bordelon, and Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Central District of California

DAVEDIA SHARMAINE LAMAR v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 5:18-01369

Western District of Louisiana

BORDELON v. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC, C.A. No. 6:18-01137

District of Puerto Rico

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO v. EQUIFAX, INC., C.A. No. 3:18-01424

MDL No. 2804 – **IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants Mark Cieniawski, M.D.; Michael B. Bruehl, M.D.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; and Mylan N.V. to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of California

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-04535

Northern District of Georgia

THE CITY OF ATLANTA v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-03508  
HENRY COUNTY, GEORGIA v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-03899

Northern District of Illinois

VILLAGE OF MELROSE PARK, ET AL. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05288  
CITY OF HARVEY, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-05756

Eastern District of Kentucky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL. v. WALGREENS BOOTS ALLIANCE,  
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00126

District of Maine

CITY OF BANGOR v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00298  
CITY OF PORTLAND v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00282  
CITY OF LEWISTON v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00310

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:16-10947

Eastern District of Missouri

JEFFERSON COUNTY, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 4:18-01477

District of New Jersey

CAMDEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:18-11983

District of New Mexico

ROOSEVELT COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18-00795

Southern District of Ohio

DOYLE v. ACTAVIS LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00719  
MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, ET AL. v.  
CARDINAL HEALTH, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:18-00295

Eastern District of Oklahoma

CHEROKEE NATION v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:18-00236

Northern District of Oklahoma

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PAWNEE COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA, THE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00459  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DELAWARE COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA, THE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00460  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF OSAGE COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA, THE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00461  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF OTTAWA COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA, THE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00466

Western District of Oklahoma

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF GARVIN COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00820  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MCCLAIN COUNTY, STATE OF  
OKLAHOMA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:18-00857

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

DOE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03637

District of South Carolina

LEXINGTON, COUNTY OF v. RITE AID OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 3:18-02357

Southern District of West Virginia

MOORE, ET AL. v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01231

MDL No. 2846 – **IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA  
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Linda Luks to transfer of the following action to the United States  
District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

District of Arizona

LUKS v. DAVOL INCORPORATED, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-01280

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned “Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard” and shall be limited to 2 pages.

(i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party’s position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.