# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

#### NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: March 26, 2020

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Estes Kefauver Federal Building and

United States Courthouse Courtroom A859, 8th Floor

801 Broadway

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.** 

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

#### ORAL ARGUMENT:

• The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

• The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **March 2, 2020.** The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

/John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee

# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

#### HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on March 26, 2020, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Nashville, Tennessee, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

aren K. Caldwell

Chair

Ellen Segal Huvelle R. David Proctor
Catherine D. Perry Nathaniel M. Gorton
Matthew F. Kennelly David C. Norton

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION March 26, 2020 -- Nashville, Tennessee

# SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

# MDL No. 2929 - IN RE: PREVAGEN PRODUCTS MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION (NO. II)

Motion of defendants Quincy Bioscience Holding Company, Inc.; Quincy Bioscience, LLC; Prevagen, Inc. d/b/a Sugar River Supplements; Quincy Bioscience Manufacturing, LLC; Mark Underwood; and Michael Beaman to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

# Southern District of Florida

COLLINS v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE, LLC, C.A. No. 1:19-22864

#### Southern District of New York

SPATH v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE HOLDING COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-03521

VANDERWERFF v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE HOLDING COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-07582

KARATHANOS v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE HOLDING COMPANY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–08023

# Western District of Texas

ENGERT, ET AL. v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE, LLC, C.A. No. 1:19-00183

# MDL No. 2930 - IN RE: ENTRESTO (SACUBITRIL/VALSARTAN) PATENT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware:

# District of Delaware

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–01979

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION v. ALEMBIC PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–02021

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–02053

# Northern District of West Virginia

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-00201

# MDL No. 2931 - IN RE: DELTA DENTAL ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Robert S. Dolgow D.D.S., P.A.; B. Kyle Benton, D.D.S. P.A.; Kaufman & Kaufman Smile Design Studio LLC; Legacy Dental Associates P.C.; Dr. Rick Lindley, DDS, FICD; Dr. Steven P. Dultz DMD; Simon and Simon, PC.; Tooth Town Pediatric Dentistry, PLLC; Mary M. Fisher, DDS, P.C.; Bemus Point Dental, LLC; Rittenhouse Smiles, P.C.; Timothy C. Verharen, D.D.S.; and Drs. DelMonico and Trocchio, Ltd., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# Southern District of Florida

OBENG v. DELTA DENTAL PLANS ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-25072

### Northern District of Illinois

IN RE DELTA DENTAL ANTITRUST LITIGATION, C.A. No. 1:19–06734 B. KYLE BENTON, P.A. v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–06739

KAUFMAN & KAUFMAN SMILE DESIGN STUDIO LLC v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-06743

LEGACY DENTAL ASSOCIATES, P.C. v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–06744

- DR. RICK LINDLEY, DDS, FICD v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–06747
- DULTZ v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–06758 SIMON AND SIMON, PC v. DELTA DENTAL PLANS ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–06776
- MARY M. FISHER, DDS, P.C. v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-07090
- TOOTH TOWN PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY, PLLC v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–07279
- BEMUS POINT DENTAL, LLC v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-07362
- RITTENHOUSE SMILES, P.C. v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-07395
- AMERICAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION, ET AL. v. DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–07808

### Southern District of Mississippi

DICKEY v. DELTA DENTAL PLANS ASSOCIATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:19-00910

# MDL No. 2932 - IN RE: WELLS FARGO MORTGAGE MODIFICATION LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Monty Coordes, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington:

### Northern District of California

HERNANDEZ v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., C.A. No. 3:18-07354

#### Eastern District of Kentucky

WEST, ET AL. v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., C.A. No. 5:19-00286

# District of New Jersey

VAN BRUNT v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., C.A. No. 3:19-00170 DUNCAN v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., C.A. No. 3:19-00172

# Southern District of New York

LIGUORI, JR., ET AL. v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., C.A. No. 7:19-10677

# Southern District of Ohio

RYDER, ET AL. v. WELLS FARGO BANK N.A., C.A. No. 1:19-00638

Western District of Pennsylvania

DORE v. WELLS FARGO BANK, C.A. No. 2:19-01601

Eastern District of Washington

COORDES, ET AL. v. WELLS FARGO BANK NA, C.A. No. 2:19-00052

# MDL No. 2933 - IN RE: TRANSUNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, INC., FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA) LITIGATION

Motion of defendants TransUnion Rental Screening Solutions, Inc., and Trans Union LLC to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

# Central District of California

LEWIS v. TRANSUNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, INC., C.A. No. 2:20-00531 ROBINSON v. TRANSUNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, INC., C.A. No. 8:19-01994

# Northern District of Georgia

HALL v. TRANSUNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, INC., C.A. No. 1:18-05141

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

MCINTYRE v. TRANSUNION, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-03865

#### Eastern District of Virginia

FRANCIS v. TRANSUNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, LLC, C.A. No. 1:19–01185
HECTOR v. TRANS UNION RENTAL SCREENING SOLUTIONS, INC., C.A. No. 3:19–00790

#### MDL No. 2934 - IN RE: JOEL SNIDER LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Joel Snider to transfer the following actions to a single United States district court:

# Middle District of Pennsylvania

SNIDER v. PENNSYLVANIA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:15-00951 SNIDER v. MCKEEHAN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:18-00801

# Western District of Pennsylvania

SNIDER v. WITTIG, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00703 SNIDER v. GILMORE, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:18-00735

# MDL No. 2935 - IN RE: ALEXSAM, INC., ('608 & '787) PATENT AND CONTRACT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff AlexSam, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas:

# Northern District of California

ALEXSAM, INC. v. WAGEWORKS, INC., C.A. No. 3:19-04538

#### District of Connecticut

ALEXSAM, INC. v. AETNA INC., C.A. No. 3:19-01025

#### Eastern District of New York

ALEXSAM, INC. v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED, C.A. No. 1:15-02799

# Eastern District of Texas

ALEXSAM, INC. v. SIMON PROPERTY GROUP (TEXAS), L.P., C.A. No. 2:19-00331

#### District of Utah

ALEXSAM v. HEALTHEQUITY, C.A. No. 2:19-00445

# SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

# MDL No. 2244 - IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Edward Vroman to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

### District of Massachusetts

VROMAN v. DJD MEDICAL, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-12314

#### MDL No. 2591 - IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Syngenta Corporation; Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC; and Syngenta Seeds, LLC, to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

# District of Minnesota

HEARTLAND CORN PRODUCTS v. SYNGENTA SEEDS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:19-03060

# MDL No. 2606 - IN RE: BENICAR (OLMESARTAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Daiichi Sanyko, Inc., and Daiichi Sanyko US Holdings, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# Western District of Oklahoma

HANDLEY, ET AL. v. DAIICHI SANKYO, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:20-00067

# MDL No. 2627 - IN RE: LUMBER LIQUIDATORS CHINESE-MANUFACTURED FLOORING PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Omran Chaudhary, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia:

# Eastern District of New York

CHAUDHARY, ET AL. v. LUMBER LIQUIDATOR, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–05812

# MDL No. 2734 - IN RE: ABILIFY (ARIPIPRAZOLE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Robert Charles Estelle to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

#### District of Minnesota

ESTELLE v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:20-00354

# MDL No. 2738 - IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Tammy Weaver, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# Northern District of Georgia

WEAVER, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:20-00261

### MDL No. 2804 - IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants The Iowa Clinic, P.C.; Thomas Hansen, M.D.; and Pier Osweiler, ARNP, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio and motion of plaintiff Ronald Bass, Sr., to transfer the *Bass* action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

#### Central District of California

CITY OF FULLERTON, ET AL. v. CEPHALON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:19-02235

Southern District of Iowa

DEPENNING, ET AL. v. THE IOWA CLINIC, P.C., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-00386

Northern District of Mississippi

CITY OF HOLLY SPRINGS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:19–00287

# Southern District of Mississippi

SINGING RIVER HEALTH SYSTEM, ET AL. v. GRACE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–00937

# Eastern District of Missouri

CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-03169 PIKE COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-03170 RAY COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-03300 VERNON COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-03302 LAWRENCE COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 4:20-00076

HENRY COUNTY, MISSOURI v. ALLERGAN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:20-00077

#### District of New Jersey

BASS v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-19709

#### District of New Mexico

CITY OF SANTA FE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–01105 CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19–01168

# District of Nevada

CITY OF HENDERSON v. WALGREENS BOOTS ALLIANCE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19–02145

# Eastern District of Oklahoma

COAL COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS v. PURDUE PHARMA, LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:19–00405

# Western District of Oklahoma

JACKSON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:19-01108

LINCOLN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:19-01109

WOODWARD COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:19-01110

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF NOBLE COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:19–01127

### Southern District of Texas

DALLAS COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT - PARKLAND MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, ET AL. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19-04834

# Eastern District of Virginia

ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY v. MALLINCKRODT, PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:20-00042

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY, v. MALLINCKRODT, PLC, ET AL.,

C.A. No. 2:20-00043

KING AND QUEEN COUNTY, v. MALLINCKRODT, PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:20–00037

# Western District of Virginia

CITY OF BUENA VISTA, VIRGINIA v. MALLINCKRODT PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:20-00005

#### MDL No. 2833 - IN RE: FEDLOAN STUDENT LOAN SERVICING LITIGATION

Motion of Plaintiff's Co-Lead Counsel and Executive Committee Members in MDL No. 2833 to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

#### District of District of Columbia

WEINGARTEN, ET AL. v. DEVOS, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-02056

# District of Utah

CHRISTENSEN, ET AL. v. DEVOS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-00509

# MDL No. 2873 - IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Thomas F. Kovach to transfer of the *Kovach* action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina and motion of defendants E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company and The Chemours Company to transfer the eight actions pending in United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

### Eastern District of New York

WATER AUTHORITY OF WESTERN NASSAU COUNTY v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04608

PORT WASHINGTON WATER DISTRICT v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04609

INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MINEOLA v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04610

CARLE PLACE WATER DISTRICT v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04611

INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF GARDEN CITY v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04612

ROSLYN WATER DISTRICT v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-04613 WATER AUTHORITY OF GREAT NECK NORTH v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19-06613

GARDEN CITY PARK FIRE AND WATER DISTRICT v. THE 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:19–06615

# Southern District of New York

KOVACH v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL., C.A. No. 7:19-07065

# MDL No. 2875 - IN RE: VALSARTAN, LOSARTAN, AND IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiff Rashida Bokhari and defendant Legacy Pharmaceutical Packaging, LLC to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

### Northern District of California

BOKHARI v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:19–08045

Eastern District of Michigan

GARRISON v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:19-12536

# MDL No. 2885 - IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS EARPLUG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Christopher Graves to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida:

# District of Minnesota

GRAVES v. 3M COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:19-03094

# MDL No. 2913 - IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Tammy Lewis and Tyler Eisenhauer and defendants Evolv, LLC, and Axiocore Corporation d/b/a Yogi E Liquid to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

### Northern District of Illinois

LEWIS v. JUUL LABS, INC., C.A. No. 1:19-07787

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

EISENHAUER v. JUUL LABS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:20-00343

Western District of Texas

LINDSTROM v. JUUL LABS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:20-00057

#### RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

- (a) <u>Schedule</u>. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.
- (b) <u>Oral Argument Statement</u>. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard" and shall be limited to 2 pages.
  - (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.
- (c) <u>Hearing Session</u>. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
  - (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
  - (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

- (d) <u>Notification of Oral Argument</u>. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.
  - (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
  - (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.
- (e) <u>Duty to Confer</u>. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.1
- (f) <u>Time Limit for Oral Argument</u>. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.