# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

# NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION:	July 25, 2013
LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION:	Edward T. Gignoux Federal Courthouse Courtroom No. 1, 2nd Floor 156 Federal Street Portland, Maine 04101

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.** 

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) does not need to appear at the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT: The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions (including those concerning an appropriate transferee district). Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **July 8, 2013.** The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, U.S. District Court for the District of Maine

# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

## **HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on July 25, 2013, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Portland, Maine, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

John G. Heyburn II Chairman

Kathryn H. Vratil Marjorie O. Rendell Lewis A. Kaplan Paul J. Barbadoro Charles R. Breyer Sarah S. Vance

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION July 25, 2013 -- Portland, Maine

## SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT<sup>1</sup>

## MDL No. 2452 - IN RE: INCRETIN MIMETICS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Moses Scott and Rosalie Duhon to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

District of Arizona

Jackie Ruiz v. Merck & Company, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00228 Mary Jo Andrews v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00229

#### Southern District of California

Moses Scott v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02549 Jan Wright v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02553 Guy Riley v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02556 Clara Smith v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02557 Betty Garber v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02560 Heidie Skinner v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02561 Fayette Thomas v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02562 Linda Raines v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02566 Hamid Haqq v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02572 Christopher Borden v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02782

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.

#### Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 5 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 2

MDL No. 2452 (Continued)

Southern District of California (Continued)

Cheryl Ostman v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-02965 Ronald Cacossa v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-03020 Ann Jay v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-03021 James Gilbert, Jr. v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-03026 Joyce Kovelman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-03079 Marie Reed v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00003 Robin Raesky v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00076 Ralph Thibodeaux v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00126 Vickie Lankford v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00381 Barbara Lenyard v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00515 Lucian Baker v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00516 Dorothy Panko v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00632 Rosalie Duhon v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00662 Jeannie M. Donelan v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00664 Wayne Cser v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00665 Jerome Goldwire, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00691 Reita Noe v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00692 Benny Verania v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00693 Alan Burstein, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00695 Marty Ward v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00703 Angelo Consilvio v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00732 Edward Naufel, et al. v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00733 George Haray, et al. v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00734 Marlene Crowell v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00745 John McGerald v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00747 Molly McLin v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00748 James Skazis v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00750 Beverly Mitchell v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00754 Robert Loftus, et al. v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00812 Darrell Stevenson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00817 Regina Sponaugle v. Novo Nordisk Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00818 Angela McMurren v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00821 Francisca Anderson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp. et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00823

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 6 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 3

MDL No. 2452 (Continued)

#### Southern District of California (Continued)

Juanita A. Benton v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00824 Jeanette Herbel v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00833

District of Colorado

Theresa Graham v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00878

District of Kansas

Vernie Young v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02152

Eastern District of Missouri

Dawn Mooney v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00598

Western District of Oklahoma

Roy Wickware, et al. v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00330 Mario Ross v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00332

Middle District of Pennsylvania

Nancy Sylvainus, et al. v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00866

#### Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 7 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 4

## MDL No. 2453 - IN RE: ADDERALL XR (AMPHETAMINE/DEXTROAMPHETAMINE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Allyson Netwall to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Central District of California

Erin Shaffer v. Shire LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02363

Southern District of Florida

Monica Barba, et al. v. Shire US, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-21158

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Allyson Netwall v. Shire U.S., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01669

# MDL No. 2454 - IN RE: FRANCK'S LAB, INC., PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Franck's Lab, Inc., and Franck's Pharmacy, Inc., to transfer certain of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and motion of plaintiffs Sergio Hambav, et al.; Ester Gonzalez, et al.; Ema Arakelian; Natividad Lopez; and Randy Brown to transfer certain of the following actions to the United States District of Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

Cheryl Avakian v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01068 Roy Romero, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01335 Joseph Cotugno, et al. v. Franck's Compounding Lab, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01360 Migdalia Aguilar, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01371 Levon Jingozian v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01468 Sergio Hambav, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02058 Ester Gonzalez, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02058 Ema Arakelian v. Franck's Pharmacy, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02437 Natividad Lopez v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02445 Jim Hermanson, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00432

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 8 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 5

MDL No. 2454 (Continued)

#### District of Colorado

Randy Brown v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00846

## Middle District of Florida

Evanston Insurance Company v. Franck's Lab, Inc. et al., C.A. No. 5:12-00603

#### Northern District of Indiana

Josephine Bienick v. Franck's Lab, Inc., C.A. No.1:12-00197 Eldon Wayne McKinley, et al. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00060 Bernice Tharp v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00061

Eastern District of Louisiana

Ruth Smith v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No.2:12-02398 Michele Laventhal v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-02608 James Johnson, Jr. v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-02738 Susan Kappelman, et al. v. Wells Pharmacy Network, L.L.C., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-02838

District of Nevada

Howard McMaster v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00100 Brenda Hess v. Franck's Lab, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00121

#### MDL No. 2455 - IN RE: STERICYCLE, INC., STERI-SAFE CONTRACT LITIGATION

Motion of defendant Stericycle, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Southern District of California

Sassan Alavi, M.D. v. Stericycle, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00880

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 9 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 6

MDL No. 2455 (Continued)

#### Northern District of Illinois

Lyndon Veterinary Clinic, PLLC v. Stericycle, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-02499 Harry C. Midgley, III v. Stericycle, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-03448

Western District of Pennsylvania

Cochranton Veterinary Hospital v. Stericycle, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00071

# MDL No. 2456 - IN RE: KASHI COMPANY MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Nadine Saubers to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Southern District of California

Nadine Saubers v. Kashi Company, C.A. No. 3:13-00899 Denelda Norwood v. Kashi Company, C.A. No. 3:13-00956 Jeanne Burns v. Kashi Company, C.A. No. 3:13-00959

District of New Jersey

Wendy Perel, et al. v. Kashi Company, C.A. No. 2:13-02369

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 10 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 7

# MDL No. 2457 - IN RE: OIL SPILL BY THE OIL RIG "DEEPWATER HORIZON" IN THE GULF OF MEXICO, ON APRIL 20, 2010 (NO. II)

Motion of plaintiffs Joe Winkeler and Charles Robin, Sr., et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi:

Southern District of Alabama

Heritage First Bancshares, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00203
Beaufort Engineering Services, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00204

Northern District of Florida

Ozean, LLC v. BP PLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00247 Ozean Marine LLC v. BP PLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00248 Joe Winkeler v. BP PLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00249

Eastern District of Louisiana

Jensen Beach Marketing, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01439
Charles Robin, Sr., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01648
Pineville Gardens, LLC, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01665
Micky Loomis, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01687
The Stationer, Ltd., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01727
St. Tammany Parish Library v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01729
Fire Protection Services, Inc. v. BP PLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01758

#### Middle District of Louisiana

City of Baton Rouge v. BP America Production Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00252

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 11 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 8

MDL No. 2457 (Continued)

#### Southern District of Mississippi

Bonnie Johnson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00137 John Michaels v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00139 Cherish Passeno v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00141 Charles Sclafini, Jr. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00142 John Sepe v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00143

# MDL No. 2458 - IN RE: EFFEXOR (VENLAFAXINE HYDROCHLORIDE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Glenn Boyer, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Central District of California

Danyah Taha, et al. v. Pfizer Inc et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02577 I.L. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02578 D.R., et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-00591

Eastern District of California

A.S. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00524 Amanda Hatherley v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00719

Southern District of California

A.H., et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00879

Northern District of Illinois

Tonya Sitkowski, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:12-08326

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 12 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 9

MDL No. 2458 (Continued)

#### Northern District of Mississippi

Billie Sue Miles v. Wyeth, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-00041

Northen District of Ohio

Melissa Beatty v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00677

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Glenn Boyer, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-00739
Quintin Decker, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-02052
Lilypearl Victory Demastus, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-05057
Alivia Adamczyk, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-05058
Kevin Johnson, et al. v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-06366

## MDL No. 2459 - IN RE: LIPITOR (ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Evalina Smalls, Waltraud Gina Kane, and Susan Marie Turner to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

Southern District of Illinois

Kimberly Hines v. Pfizer Inc., C.A. No. 3:13-00404

District of South Carolina

Evalina Smalls v. Pfizer Inc., C.A. No. 2:13-00796 Waltraud Gina Kane v. Pfizer Inc., C.A. No. 2:13-01012 Susan Marie Turner v. Pfizer Inc., C.A. No. 2:13-01108

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 13 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 10

MDL No. 2459 (Continued)

#### Eastern District of Virginia

Patricia Colbert v. Pfizer Inc., C.A. No. 2:13-00178

## MDL No. 2460 - IN RE: NIASPAN ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion, as amended, of defendants Abbott Laboratories; AbbVie Inc.; AbbVie Respiratory LLC; and Kos Pharmaceuticals, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

United Food & Commercial Workers Union and Midwest Health Benefits Fund v. AbbVie, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01747
Professional Drug Company, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01792
Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01820
United Food and Commercial Workers Local 1776 & Participating Employers Health and Welfare Fund v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01827
Painters District Council No. 30 Health & Welfare Fund v. AbbVie Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02343
New York Hotel Trades Council & Hotel Assoc. of New York City, Inc. Health Benefits Fund v. AbbVie Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02523
Electrical Workers 242 and 294 Health & Welfare Fund v. AbbVie, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02861

District of Rhode Island

City of Providence, Rhode Island v. AbbVie Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00292

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 14 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 11

## MDL No. 2461 - IN RE: MYKEY TECHNOLOGY INC. PATENT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff MyKey Technology Inc. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### Central District of California

MyKey Technology, Inc. v. Intelligent Computer Solutions, Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-10927 MyKey Technology, Inc. v. CPR Tools Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02302 MyKey Technology, Inc. v. CRU Acquisitions Group LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02476

Western District of Washington

MyKey Technology, Inc. v. CRU Acquisitions Group LLC, C.A. No. 3:13-05266

Eastern District of Wisconsin

MyKey Technology, Inc. v. Botchek, C.A. No. 2:13-00302 MyKey Technology, Inc. v. TEFKAT LLC, C.A. No. 2:13-00413

## MDL No. 2462 - IN RE: BRANDYWINE COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

## District of Arizona

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Datum Communications Incorporated, C.A. No. 2:13-00119
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Internet Holdings LLC, C.A. No. 2:13-00121
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Dakota Communications LLC, C.A. No. 4:13-00041

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 15 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 12

#### MDL No. 2462 (Continued)

#### Western District of Arkansas

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. NATCO Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 3:13-03043 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. American Network Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 4:13-04008 Central District of California Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Corporate West Computer Systems Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11008 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Sonic.net Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11011 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Impulse Advanced Communications LLC, C.A. No. 2:12-11013 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. MM Internet Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11015 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Coastal Web Online Corporation, C.A. No. 2:12-11016 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Surfnet Communication Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11017 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. CDS Wireless Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11029 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. LA Bridge Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-11030

## Eastern District of California

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. OM Networks, C.A. No. 2:13-00508

#### Northern District of California

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. AT&T Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:12-02494

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 16 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 13

MDL No. 2462 (Continued)

## District of Colorado

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Precision Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00056

#### District of Delaware

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. InReach Internet, Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-01765
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. MegaPath Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-01767
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Windstream Corporation, C.A. No. 1:12-01769
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Consolidated Communications Holdings Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-01784

Middle District of Florida

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Centurylink, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:12-00286

District of Idaho

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Orbitcom, Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-00643
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Cactus International, Inc., C.A. No. 3:12-00641

Northern District of Illinois

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. DLS Computer Services, Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-10317

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 17 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 14

MDL No. 2462 (Continued)

#### **District of Maine**

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Union River Telephone Company, C.A. No. 1:12-00403
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Coastal Telco Services, Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-00401

## **District of Massachusetts**

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Galaxy Internet Services, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-10068

District of Minnesota

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. HickoryTech Corporation, C.A. No. 0:12-03187

**District of New Hampshire** 

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. G4 Communications Corporation, C.A. No. 1:13-00017

Southern District of Ohio

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. DBSolutions, Inc., C.A. No. 2:13-00278

Northern District of Oklahoma

Brandywine Communication Technologies, LLC v. MBO Data, LLC, C.A. No. 4:13-00045

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 18 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 15

#### MDL No. 2462 (Continued)

## District of Oregon

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Graydog Internet, Inc., C.A. No. 3:12-02332
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. PEAK Internet, LLC, C.A. No. 6:12-02335
Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Stayton Cooperative Telephone Company, C.A. No. 6:12-02336

Middle District of Tennessee

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Loretto Communication Services, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00004

Eastern District of Texas

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. TMN, Inc., C.A. No. 6:12-01006 Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Texas Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 6:12-01008

Southern District of Texas

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Colorado Valley Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 4:13-00772

District of Utah

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Ikano Communications, Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-01214

Eastern District of Wisconsin

Brandywine Communications Technologies, LLC v. Trinet-E Telecommunications Inc., C.A. No. 1:12-01314

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 16

# MDL No. 2463 - IN RE: FRESH DAIRY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION (NO. II)

Motion of defendants National Milk Producers Federation; Dairy Farmers of America, Inc.; Land O'Lakes, Inc.; Dairylea Cooperative Inc.; and Agri-Mark, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

## Northern District of California

Matthew Edwards, et al. v. National Milk Producers Federation, et al., C.A. No. 3:11-04766
Jeffrey Robb, et al. v. National Milk Producers Federation, et al., C.A. No. 3:11-04791
Boys and Girls Club of the East Valley, et al. v. National Milk Producers Federation, et al., C.A. No. 3:11-05253

Southern District of Illinois

Brenda Blakeman v. National Milk Producers Federation, et al., C.A. No. 3:12-01246 First Impressions Salon, Inc. v. National Milk Producers Federation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00454

# MDL No. 2464 - IN RE: HSBC MORTGAGE CORPORATION FORCE-PLACED HAZARD INSURANCE LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs LaQuenta Montanez, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Southern District of Florida

Javier Lopez v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-21104

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

LaQuenta Montanez, et al. v. HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA), et al., C.A. No. 2:11-04074

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 20 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 17

# MDL No. 2465 - IN RE: JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., MORTGAGE CORPORATION FORCE-PLACED HAZARD INSURANCE LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Patricia McNeary-Calloway, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

Patricia McNeary-Calloway, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 3:11-03058

Southern District of Florida

Alfred Herrick, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-21107

Southern District of New York

Edward Isom, Jr. v JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:12-05431

# MDL No. 2466 - IN RE: WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., MORTGAGE CORPORATION FORCE-PLACED HAZARD INSURANCE LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Debra Simpkins, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois or, alternatively, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

Northern District of California

Danny Lane, et al. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., C.A. No. 3:12-04026

Southern District of Florida

Ira M. Fladell, et al. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 0:13-60721

Southern District of Illinois

Debra Simpkins, et al. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-00768

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 21 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 18

MDL No. 2466 (Continued)

## Northern District of Indiana

Judith Hallie v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 2:12-00235

District of New Jersey

Anthony Kite v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-02075

Southern District of New York

Wayne Miller v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 7:13-01541

# MDL No. 2467 - IN RE: BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., MORTGAGE CORPORATION FORCE-PLACED HAZARD INSURANCE LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Christopher Gustafson, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Central District of California

Christopher Gustafson, et al. v. BAC Home Loan Services, LP, et al., C.A. No. 8:11-00915Robin Vitek v. Bank of America, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-00816

Middle District of Florida

Pamela Karp v. Bank of America Corporation, C.A. No. 8:12-01700

Southern District of Florida

Cheryl Hall, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:12-22700

Western District of Wisconsin

Colleen Decambaliza v. QBE Holdings, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00286

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 19

## MDL No. 2468 - IN RE: PILOT FLYING J FUEL REBATE CONTRACT LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Ohio Auto Delivery, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of Alabama

Charles E. Winborn, et al. v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00772 Osborn Transportation, Inc. v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00897

Eastern District of Arkansas

National Trucking Financial Reclamation Services, LLC v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00250

Northern District of Florida

Jerry Floyd v. Pilot Corporation, C.A. No. 3:13-00318

Northern District of Illinois

Edis Trucking, Inc. v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 1:13-03294

Southern District of Mississippi

Bruce Taylor v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00244 Mike Campbell v. Pilot Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00319

Northern District of Ohio

Ohio Auto Delivery, Inc. v. Pilot Travel Centers, LLC, C.A. No. 1:13-01207

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A Portland, Maine

p. 20

# MDL No. 2469 - IN RE: CAPATRITI BRAND OLIVE OIL MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Motion of defendant Kangadis Food Inc., d/b/a The Gourment Factory to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of New Jersey

Frank Toscano v. Kangadis Food Inc., C.A. No. 3:13-03124

Southern District of New York

North American Olive Oil Association v. Kangadis Food Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00868 Joseph Ebin, et al. v. Kangadis Food Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-02311

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 24 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 21

## SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

## MDL No. 875 - IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs Laurie Deuyour, et al., and Jeanene Kuhnau, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

## Central District of California

Laurie Deuyour, et al. v. BF Goodrich Company, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02333

Northern District of California

Jeanene Kuhnau, et al. v. Allied Packing & Supply, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01669

Opposition of defendant Borg-Warner Morse TEC Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Daniel S. Weber v. AC & S Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-92132 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 2:99-02177)

# MDL No. 1760 - IN RE: AREDIA AND ZOMETA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs James Marsh, Robert Traphagen, Rose Mary Meierhofer, Natalie Goldstein, and Scott Carpenter to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of their respective following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Middle District of Tennessee

James Marsh v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-00503 (E.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-01086)

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 25 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 22

MDL No. 1760 (Continued)

Middle District of Tennessee (Continued)

Robert Traphagen v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-00744 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-04112)
Rose Mary Meierhofer v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-00816 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-04462)
Goldstein v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-00863 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-05512)
Scott Carpenter v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-00860 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-05516)

# MDL No. 1871 - IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff State of Maryland to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

**District of Maryland** 

State of Maryland v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC, C.A. No. 1:13-00976

#### MDL No. 1964 - IN RE: NUVARING PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Nikole Grove, et al.; Amy Wiltsey, et al.; and Juanita Burton to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri:

Northern District of California

Nikole Grove, et al. v. Organon USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-02138 Amy Wiltsey, et al. v. Organon USA Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-02144 Juanita Burton v. Organon USA Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-01535 Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 23

# MDL No. 2042 - IN RE: REFRIGERANT COMPRESSORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Embraco North America, Inc.; Whirlpool S.A.; and Whirlpool Corporation to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

# Western District of Kentucky

General Electric Company v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00213

# MDL No. 2099 - IN RE: STANFORD ENTITIES SECURITIES LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Troy Lillie, et al., and defendant State of Louisiana Office of Financial Institutions to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

## Middle District of Louisiana

Troy Lillie, et al. v. Stanford Trust Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00150

# MDL No. 2119 - IN RE: MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS (MERS) LITIGATION

Motion of Todd Williams to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona:

## Northern District of Georgia

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company National Association v. Todd Williams, C.A. No. 1:13-00901

## Southern District of New York

Todd Williams v. GMAC Mortgage LLC, Bky. Adv. No. 1:13-01309

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 24

# MDL No. 2151 - IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of defendants Toyota Motor North America, Inc.; Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.; Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.; and Toyota Motor Corporation to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

## District of Colorado

Annette Henson, et al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00694

# MDL No. 2179 - IN RE: OIL SPILL BY THE OIL RIG "DEEPWATER HORIZON" IN THE GULF OF MEXICO, ON APRIL 20, 2010

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

## Southern District of Alabama

King Seafood, Inc. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00196
Bert P. Noojin v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-00197
Beaufort Engineering Services, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00204
City of Foley Utilities Board v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00206
The Cooperative District of the City of Spanish Fort, Alabama v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00209
Mobile County Board of Health v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00210
The City of Spanish Fort, Alabama v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00220
MRI, LLC, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00230

Wolf Bay, LLC v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00230 Wolf Bay, LLC v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00231

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 28 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 25

#### MDL No. 2179 (Continued)

#### Middle District of Florida

TD Auditing, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01007 City of Treasure Island, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01008 City of St. Pete Beach, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01009 Tampa Bay Water v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01012 Tampa Sports Authority v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01013 City of St. Petersburg, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01014 City of St. Pete Beach, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01045 City of Treasure Island, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01046 City of St. Petersburg, Florida v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01049 Tampa Sports Authority v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01056 Tampa Bay Water v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01057 Sunshine Enterprises of Jacksonville, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01070 Central Financial Holdings, Inc. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:13-01071 Northern District of Florida

Heath Rushing, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00243
Royster Construction Company, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration and Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00245
Lee Winkeler v. BP BLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:12,00240

Joe Winkeler v. BP PLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00249

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 29 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 26

#### MDL No. 2179 (Continued)

#### Southern District of Florida

Robert V. Taylor, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-10078
Anderson Outdoor Advertising, Inc., et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-10087
Robert Kitrick, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-10091
Robert D. Fuller v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-10094

Middle District of Louisiana

City of Baton Rouge v. BP America Production, Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00252

Southern District of Mississippi

Gautier Family Sports Resort and Marina, L.L.C. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00149 Mary Walker Real Property Co., L.L.C. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00150 CC's Bait Shop, L.L.C. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00151 Concept Properties, LLC v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00152 City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00153 Jackson County, Mississippi v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00154 City of Ocean Springs, Mississippi v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00155 City of Moss Point, Mississippi v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00156 City of Gautier, Mississippi v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00157 Diamondhead Casino Corporation, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00187

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 30 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 27

MDL No. 2179 (Continued)

#### Eastern District of Texas

Humble Lodging, LLC, et al. v. BP America Production, Company, et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00248City of South Padre Island v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00249

## MDL No. 2197 - IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff James M. Benson to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

District of Nevada

James M. Benson v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00713

# MDL No. 2244 - IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Carrie Veronica Jones, Emanuel Fanning, and Heide Hamelberg to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Central District of California

Carrie Veronica Jones v. DePuy Orthopadeics, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-03178 Emanuel Fanning v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-03179 Heide Hamelberg v. DePuy Orthopadeics, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-03180 Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 28

# MDL No. 2262 - IN RE: LIBOR-BASED FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff CEMA Joint Venture to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of Ohio

CEMA Joint Venture v. RBS Citizens, N.A., et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00904

# MDL No. 2265 - IN RE: COUNTRYWIDE FINANCIAL CORP. MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs The Prudential Life Insurance Company, Ltd., et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

District of New Jersey

The Prudential Life Insurance Company, Ltd., et al. v. Countrywide Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-01992

# MDL No. 2272 - IN RE: ZIMMER NEXGEN KNEE IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of defendants Zimmer, Inc.; Zimmer Holdings, Inc.; and Zimmer Surgical, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Sandra Stevenson v. Zimmer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00317

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 29

# MDL No. 2327 - IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Coleen M. Perry, et al.; Kelly Strantz, et al.; and Mary Schillachi to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Eastern District of California

Coleen M. Perry, et al. v Hung T. Luu, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00729

Eastern District of Missouri

Kelly Strantz, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00802

Western District of Missouri

Mary Schillachi v. Christopher Roberts, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-05063

# MDL No. 2333 - IN RE: MI WINDOWS AND DOORS, INC., PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of defendant MI Windows and Doors, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

District of Minnesota

Jamie Reed, et al. v. MI Windows and Doors Inc., C.A. No. 0:13-00781

# MDL No. 2371 - IN RE: UNIFIED MESSAGING SOLUTIONS LLC PATENT LITIGATION

Opposition of defendant BOKF, NA to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Texas

Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. BOKF, NA, C.A. No. 3:13-00181

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 30

# MDL No. 2387 - IN RE: COLOPLAST CORP. PELVIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of defendants RTI Biologics, Inc., and RTI Donor Services, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

## District of Minnesota

Lynette Klauck, et al. v. Analytic Biosurgical Solutions, et al., C.A. No. 0:13-00943

# MDL No. 2413 - IN RE: FRITO-LAY NORTH AMERICA, INC., "ALL NATURAL" LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Stayce Deaton and Allan Mooney to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

#### Western District of Arkansas

Stayce Deaton v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:12-01029

#### District of Minnesota

Allan Mooney v. Frito-Lay, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:13-00648

# MDL No. 2418 - IN RE: PLAVIX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)

Oppositions of plaintiffs Randall K. Ritchey; George W. Davis et al.; Dale Guinn, et al.; Jose Marrero; Robert L. Green; Kenneth R. Howell, et al.; Franklin Addison, et al.; Dorothy G. Gibson; and Sheila Austin to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of California

Randall K. Ritchey v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01461 George W. Davis et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01462 Dale Guinn, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01487

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 34 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine p. 31

MDL No. 2418 (Continued)

#### Northern District of California (Continued)

Jose Marrero v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01488 Robert L. Green v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01489 Kenneth R. Howell, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-01516 Franklin Addison, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, C.A. No. 3:13-02166 Dorothy G. Gibson v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-01416

Eastern District of Louisiana

Sheila Austin v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00566

# MDL No. 2428 - IN RE: FRESENIUS GRANUFLO/NATURALYTE DIALYSATE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Aurora Nunez, et al., and Robert Lee Spears, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Central District of California

Aurora Nunez, et al. v. Fresenius USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:13-02729

Eastern District of Missouri

Robert Lee Spears, et al. v. Fresenius Medical Care North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:13-00855

## Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 35 of 37

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B Portland, Maine

p. 32

## MDL No. 2434 - IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of defendant Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of California

Nicole Baker v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., C.A. No. 3:13-00490

Western District of Kentucky

Danika Vanden Bosch, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., C.A. No. 3:13-00431

Eastern District of Louisiana

Brianna Steele v. Bayer HealthCare, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:13-00528

Southern District of Mississippi

Britney G. Austin v. Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00028

# Case MDL No. 875 Document 9418 Filed 06/12/13 Page 36 of 37

## RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) <u>Schedule</u>. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) <u>Oral Argument Statement</u>. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard" and shall be limited to 2 pages.

(i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) <u>Hearing Session</u>. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) <u>Notification of Oral Argument</u>. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

- 2 -

(e) <u>Duty to Confer</u>. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) <u>Time Limit for Oral Argument</u>. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.