

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **December 4, 2014**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: United States District Court - Annex
 Courtroom No. 5, 4th Floor
 85 Broad Street
 Charleston, South Carolina 29401

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) does not need to appear at the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT: The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions (including those concerning an appropriate transferee district). Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

- 2 -

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **November 17, 2014**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the District of South Carolina

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

HEARING SESSION ORDER

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on December 4, 2014, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Charleston, South Carolina, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Sarah S. Vance
Chair

Marjorie O. Rendell
Lewis A. Kaplan
Ellen Segal Huvelle

Charles R. Breyer
R. David Proctor
Catherine D. Perry

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION

December 4, 2014 -- Charleston, South Carolina

**SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. *See* Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 2575 – IN RE: FLUIDMASTER, INC., WATER CONNECTOR COMPONENTS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Fluidmaster, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

STEVEN RENSEL, ET AL. v. FLUIDMASTER, INC., C.A. No. 8:14-00648

Northern District of Illinois

SULLIVAN, ET AL. v. FLUIDMASTER, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-05696

District of New Hampshire

HARDWICK v. FLUIDMASTER, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-00363

Western District of Pennsylvania

HUNGERMAN, ET AL. v. FLUIDMASTER, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-00994

**MDL No. 2576 - IN RE: CYMBALTA (DULOXETINE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

District of Arizona

SEAGROVES, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:13-01183

Central District of California

ERIN HEXUM, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:13-02701

CLAUDIA HERRERA, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:13-02702

DEBORAH CAPORALE, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,
C.A. No. 5:14-01662

ANITA HOLLOWELL, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:14-01663

PEGGY BARRETT, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:14-01675

KERRY O'SHEA, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:14-01274

Eastern District of California

CHESHER v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:14-01265

WOODRUFF, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:14-01890

Southern District of California

WHEELER, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:14-01882

District of Colorado

CHENEY, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:14-02249

Middle District of Florida

LAICA-BHOGE, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 6:14-01286

Southern District of Florida

GOLLIN, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 0:14-61810

Northern District of Georgia

COUCH v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-02564

Western District of Louisiana

FAIRBANKS v. ELI LILLY & CO., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-02469

District of Maryland

BOLING, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 8:14-02554

District of Minnesota

MCCABE v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 0:14-03132

Southern District of New York

MCDOWELL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 1:13-03786

Eastern District of North Carolina

WHITWORTH, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:14-00459
WILLIAMS, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 5:14-00460

Middle District of North Carolina

HARRIS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00682

Northern District of Ohio

MAYES v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 4:14-01759

District of Oregon

LOUX, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:14-01287

Western District of Pennsylvania

ROSSERO, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:14-01084

Eastern District of Washington

WAGNER, ET AL. v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 2:14-00270

Western District of Wisconsin

STREETER v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, C.A. No. 3:14-00555

MDL No. 2577 - **IN RE: GAF ELK CROSS TIMBERS DECKING MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Ken Burger to transfer the following actions to the United States
District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

District of Colorado

KAISER v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
C.A. No. 1:14-02229

Northern District of Illinois

ERNST v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION OF AMERICA D/B/A/ GAF
MATERIALS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 3:14-50182

Southern District of Indiana

STIDHAM v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
C.A. No. 2:14-00247

Southern District of Iowa

SHERIDAN v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
C.A. No. 4:14-00325

Eastern District of North Carolina

ROBERTIE, ET AL. v. GAF BUILDING MATERIALS CORP., C.A. No. 7:14-00165

Southern District of Ohio

BURGER v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
C.A. No. 1:14-00513

MDL No. 2578 - **IN RE: JAMES REEDOM LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff James Reedom to transfer the following actions to a single United States district court:

District of Puerto Rico

REEDOM v. COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, C.A. No. 3:13-01619

Northern District of Texas

REEDOM v. COLVIN, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:14-00709

MDL No. 2579 – **IN RE: MAPCO EXPRESS, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff WinSouth Credit Union to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

Northern District of Georgia

FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANK v. MAPCO EXPRESS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:14-00031

Middle District of Tennessee

WINSOUTH CREDIT UNION v. MAPCO EXPRESS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-01573
PHILLIPS v. MAPCO EXPRESS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01710

MDL No. 2580 – **IN RE: OPANA ER ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Wisconsin Masons' Health Care Fund to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Illinois

WISCONSIN MASONS' HEALTH CARE FUND v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-04651
VALUE DRUG COMPANY v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-05416
PENNSYLVANIA EMPLOYEES BENEFIT TRUST FUND v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-06171

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

ROCHESTER DRUG CO-OPERATIVE, INC. v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-03185
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, MIAMI LODGE 20, INSURANCE TRUST FUND v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-03190
MASSACHUSETTS BRICKLAYERS & MASONS HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND v. ENDO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-04355

MDL No. 2581 – **IN RE: CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Taylor Publishing Company; Integracolor; Imagine! Print Solutions, Inc.; Performance Companies, LP; PrintPlace.com; Cockrell Enovation, Inc.; Cockrell Printing Co.; ONeil Data Systems, Inc.; TST/Impreso, Inc.; Primary Color Systems Corporation; Professional Printers Inc.; and Indexx Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Northern District of Alabama

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. EBSCO INDUSTRIES, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-00812

Middle District of Florida

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. CENTRAL FLORIDA PRESS, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:14-00679

Southern District of Florida

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. REX THREE, INC., C.A. No. 0:14-60992
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. ORIGINAL IMPRESSIONS, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-21498
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. SOLO PRINTING, C.A. No. 1:14-21499
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. FRANKLIN-DODD COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-21518
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. SOUTHEASTERN PRINTING COMPANY, INC.,
C.A. No. 2:14-14175
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. WORLDWIDE TICKETS AND LABELS, INC.,
C.A. No. 9:14-80556

Northern District of Georgia

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. PRIMARY COLOR SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
C.A. No. 1:13-02169
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. WALTON PRESS, INC., C.A. No. 1:13-02174
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. GEO GRAPHICS INC., C.A. No. 1:14-01394

Western District of Kentucky

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. V. G. REED AND SONS, INC., C.A. No. 3:14-00364

District of South Carolina

TP INNOVATIONS LLC v. PROFESSIONAL PRINTERS, INC., C.A. No. 3:14-01733
CTP INNOVATIONS LLC v. INDEXX, INC., C.A. No. 6:14-01770

Middle District of Tennessee

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. AMERICAN PRINTING COMPANY, INC.,
C.A. No. 3:13-00582
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. MPI LABEL SYSTEMS, C.A. No. 3:13-00583
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. JET PRINTING, LLC, C.A. No. 3:13-00585

Eastern District of Texas

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. INTEGRACOLOR, C.A. No. 2:13-00484
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. PERFORMANCE COMPANIES, LP,
C.A. No. 2:13-00485
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. TST/IMPRESO, INC., C.A. No. 2:13-00489
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. IMAGINE! PRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.,
C.A. No. 2:13-00490
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. PRINTPLACE.COM, C.A. No. 2:13-00492
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. O'NEIL DATA SYSTEMS, INC., C.A. No. 2:13-00495
CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. COCKRELL ENOVATION, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:13-00496

Northern District of Texas

TAYLOR PUBLISHING COMPANY v. CTP INNOVATIONS LLC,
C.A. No. 3:13-02222

**MDL No. 2582 – IN RE: OXYELITE PRO AND JACK3D PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION (NO. II)**

Motion of defendant USPlabs, LLC, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California or, alternatively, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Central District of California

JAIME FRANCO v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-00592

Northern District of California

VISTA v. USPLABS LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:14-00378

Southern District of Florida

RIZZO v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-20421

District of Hawaii

VAN HOUTEN v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:13-00635
WAIKIKI v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:13-00639
AKAU v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00029
IGAFO v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00030
ISHIHARA v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00031
MATTSON v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00032
CARLISLE, ET AL. v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00363
DAVIDSON, ET AL. v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00364
OFISA, ET AL. v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00365
PANTOHAN, ET AL. v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00366
PAVAO, ET AL. v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00367

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

BATTUELLO v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:13-04101

Western District of Texas

SPARLING, ET AL. v. DOYLE, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:13-00323
OGBONNA v. USPLABS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:13-00347

MDL No. 2583 – **IN RE: THE HOME DEPOT, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY
BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion, as amended, of plaintiffs John Solak, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

Southern District of California

KHALAF, ET AL. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., C.A. No. 3:14-02175

Southern District of Florida

RIVERON, ET AL. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., C.A. No. 9:14-81175

Northern District of Georgia

SOLAK, ET AL. v. THE HOME DEPOT, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-02856
MAZEROLLE v. THE HOME DEPOT, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-02887
MURPHY v. HOME DEPOT, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-02909
FIRST CHOICE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION v. THE HOME DEPOT, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:14-02975

Northern District of Illinois

O'BRIEN v. HOME DEPOT, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-06975
DOLEMBA v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., C.A. No. 1:14-07220

Southern District of Illinois

MARKO, ET AL. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., C.A. No. 3:14-00981

Eastern District of Missouri

HARTMAN v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., C.A. No. 4:14-01545

Eastern District of New York

O'BRIEN, ET AL. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-05301

MDL No. 2584 – **IN RE: PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS
ACT (FLSA) AND WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Payless Shoesource, Inc.; Collective Brands, Inc.; Collective Brand Services, Inc.; Payless Shoesource, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan; and Payless Shoesource, Inc., as Plan Administrator to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of New York:

District of Connecticut

SHALLIN, ET AL. v. PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00335

Western District of New York

MANIGAULT, ET AL. v. PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-00664

MDL No. 2585 – **IN RE: ROBERT REEDOM LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Robert Reedom to transfer the following actions to a single United States district court:

District of New Jersey

REEDOM v. CRAPPELL, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-05674

District of Virgin Islands

REEDOM v. CRAPPELL, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:13-00095

MDL No. 2586 – **IN RE: SUPERVALU, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY
BREACH LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Supervalu, Inc. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Idaho:

Southern District of Illinois

MCPEAK, ET AL. v. SUPERVALU, INC., C.A. No. 3:14-00899

District of Minnesota

HANFF, ET AL. v. SUPERVALU INC., C.A. No. 0:14-03252

MDL No. 2587 – **IN RE: INTRAMTA SWITCHED ACCESS CHARGES LITIGATION**

Motion of Century Link Affiliates to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

District of Delaware

MCI COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. AT&T COMMUNICATIONS
OF TEXAS LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01138

Northern District of Florida

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LP v. EMBARQ FLORIDA, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:14-00230

Southern District of Florida

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTURYLINK
COMMUNICATIONS, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-23305

Northern District of Georgia

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. BELLSOUTH
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01657
MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. ACN COMMUNICATION
SERVICES, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-02878

Central District of Illinois

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, ET AL. v. ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-02212

Southern District of Indiana

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE
COMPANY INCORPORATED, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01006

Southern District of Iowa

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTRAL SCOTT
TELEPHONE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00101

District of Kansas

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LP v. UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF KANSAS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-02213

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. BLUE VALLEY
TELE-COMMUNICATIONS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-02448

Western District of Louisiana

CENTURYTEL OF CHATHAM LLC, ET AL. v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS
CO. L P, C.A. No. 3:09-01951

Western District of Michigan

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. ACE TELEPHONE
COMPANY OF MICHIGAN, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00937

District of Minnesota

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. QWEST CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 0:14-01387

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. QWEST CORPORATION,
ET AL., C.A. No. 0:14-03385

Western District of Missouri

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTURYTEL OF
MISSOURI, LLC (BELLE-HERMAN), ET AL., C.A. No. 5:14-06099

District of New Jersey

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF NEW JERSEY, C.A. No. 1:14-03147

Eastern District of North Carolina

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. CAROLINA TELEPHONE AND
TELEGRAPH COMPANY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:14-00317
MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. ATLANTIC TELEPHONE
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 7:14-00188

Northern District of Ohio

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. v. UNITED TELEPHONE
COMPANY OF OHIO, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01380
MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTURYTEL OF
OHIO, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01977

Middle District of Pennsylvania

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. FIBERNET
TELECOMMUNICATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA, LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-01735

Northern District of Texas

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LP v. CENTRAL TELEPHONE
COMPANY OF TEXAS, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01724
MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CAMERON TELEPHONE
COMPANY LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-03210

Western District of Virginia

CI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. BUGGS ISLAND TELEPHONE
CO-OPERATIVE, ET AL., C.A. No. 5:14-00047
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L. P. v. CENTRAL TELEPHONE
COMPANY OF VIRGINIA, ET AL., C.A. No. 7:14-00298

Eastern District of Washington

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTURYTEL OF
COWICHE INC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-03131

Western District of Washington

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. CENTURYTEL OF INTER-ISLAND, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-01378

Western District of Wisconsin

MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. v. AMELIA TELEPHONE CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00605

MDL No. 2588 – **IN RE: WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., GREEK YOGURT MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Tracey Knox, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Central District of California

CHAS JACKSON, ET AL. v. WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-06705

Middle District of Florida

MARKLEY v. WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., C.A. No. 8:14-01892

District of Massachusetts

KNOX, ET AL. v. WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-13185

Southern District of New York

GRODNICK, ET AL. v. WHOLE FOODS MARKET INC., C.A. No. 1:14-07035

MDL No. 2589 – **IN RE: BARCLAYS LIQUIDITY CROSS AND HIGH FREQUENCY TRADING LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Barclays PLC and Barclays Capital Inc. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Central District of California

GREAT PACIFIC SECURITIES v. BARCLAYS PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 8:14-01210

Southern District of New York

CITY OF PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND v. BATS GLOBAL MARKETS, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-02811
AMERICAN EUROPEAN INSURANCE COMPANY v. BATS GLOBAL MARKETS,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-03133
HAREL INSURANCE CO, LTD. v. BATS GLOBAL MARKETS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-03608
FLYNN, ET AL. v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-04321

MDL No. 2590 – **IN RE: NAVISTAR MAXXFORCE ENGINES MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of defendants Navistar International Corporation and Navistar, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Southern District of Florida

PRIORITY TOWING, INC. v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 9:14-81202

District of Idaho

FARM FRESH MARKETING, INC. v. NAVISTAR INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00558

Northern District of Illinois

PAR 4 TRANSPORT, LLC v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-05151
DENIS GRAY TRUCKING, INC., ET AL. v. NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:14-05249
B&T EXPRESS, INC., ET AL. v. NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:14-05841
PIONEER TRANSPORTATION, LTD., ET AL. v. NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-07531
ANTIOCH BUILDING MATERIALS, CO., ET AL. v. NAVISTAR, INC.,
C.A. No. 1:14-07602
FOERST v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-07680

District of Maryland

WESTERN MARYLAND TRANSPORT, INC. v. NAVISTAR, INC., ET AL.,
Bky. Adv. No. 0:14-00510

Eastern District of Missouri

GEORGE v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 4:14-00493

Middle District of Pennsylvania

KLINGER v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 1:14-01914

Northern District of Texas

ROSS NEELY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NAVISTAR, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:13-01587
GCKMAT LLC v. NAVISTAR, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:13-01591

Eastern District of Wisconsin

G&G SPECIALIZED CARRIERS LLC v. NAVISTAR, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-01057

MDL No. 2591 - **IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR162 CORN LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Munson Brothers Farm, et al., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Arkansas

STRACENER FARMING COMPANY, ET AL. v. SYNGENTA AG, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:14-00558

Central District of Illinois

TRANS COASTAL SUPPLY COMPANY, INC. v. SYNGENTA AG, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:14-02221
HADDEN FARMS, INC. v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-03302

Northern District of Illinois

MUNSON BROTHERS FARM, ET AL. v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-07806

Southern District of Illinois

BRIGGS, ET AL. v. SYNGENTA SEEDS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01072

Northern District of Iowa

CRONIN, INC., ET AL. v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION ET AL, C.A. No. 5:14-04084

District of Kansas

MOLL v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-02497

Western District of Missouri

CLAAS, ET AL. v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-04267

District of Nebraska

VOLNEK FARMS, INC. v. SYNGENTA CORPORATION, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 8:14-00305

MDL No. 2592 - **IN RE: XARELTO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiffs Mark K. Lemp, et al.; Dorothy Leach; William F. Haney; Stanley Pennell, et al.; Martha McMunn; and Michael Mulrone to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Florida

NICHOLSON v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:14-00173

Southern District of Florida

PACKARD v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 0:14-61448

Southern District of Illinois

LEMP, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00987

HANEY v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-00988

LEACH v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-00989

RUCKER v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-01026

PENNELL, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01040
MCMUNN v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-01042
BIVEN v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-01050
MULRONEY v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-01073

Eastern District of Kentucky

BOLTON, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 0:14-00146

Western District of Kentucky

COX v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:14-00579

Eastern District of Louisiana

BRASWELL v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:14-02258

Eastern District of New York

JEFFCOAT v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-04524
GRIGGS, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-04841
BOYNTON, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-05133
USELTON v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-05728
GREEN, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC,
ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-05871

District of Utah

ARMSTRONG, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT,
ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-00599

District of Vermont

MCGOWAN v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:14-00159

Southern District of West Virginia

DALRYMPLE v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LLC, ET. AL.,
C.A. No. 5:14-25893

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

**MDL No. 1932 – IN RE: FAMILY DOLLAR STORES, INC., WAGE AND HOUR
EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Motions of defendant Family Dollar Stores of Florida, Inc., to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina:

Southern District of Florida

BEDFORD v. FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF FLORIDA, INC., C.A. No. 0:14-61993
SANFORD v. FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF FLORIDA, INC., C.A. No. 0:14-61994
RANDALL v. FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF FLORIDA, INC., C.A. No. 0:14-62285
MCGEE v. FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF FLORIDA, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-14370
MARTONE v. FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF FLORIDA, INC., C.A. No. 2:14-14371

**MDL No. 2187 – IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Kimberly Peterson, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

District of New Mexico

PETERSON, ET AL. v. C.R. BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00723

**MDL No. 2197 – IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., ASR HIP IMPLANT
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Thomas Edwards, Charles Salvaggio, Natalie Brush, and George T. Kruzynski to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Middle District of Florida

EDWARDS v. DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:14-01415
SALVAGGIO v. MARK DEBIASE, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:14-01416
BRUSH v. BAYSIDE ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:14-02163
KRZYNSKI v. BAYSIDE ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 8:14-02165

MDL No. 2286 – **IN RE: MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., TELEPHONE
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ayza Corujo Canter and Charles Ludwig to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Southern District of Florida

CANTER v. MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGMENT, INC., C.A. No. 9:14–81073

Northern District of West Virginia

LUDWIG v. MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., C.A. No. 1:14–00100

MDL No. 2325 – **IN RE: AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., PELVIC REPAIR
SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendant LifeCell Corporation to transfer of the Eastern District of New York *Whaley* action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, and motion of plaintiffs to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the remaining following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Northern District of Alabama

WALDROP v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12–02422

RAINES v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:12–02426
GRANT, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 7:12–02427

Southern District of Alabama

CLAYTON, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12–00443

District of Idaho

BRUCE, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12–00396

KENICK v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12–00398

KNIGHT, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12–00399

LEININGER, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00403
NELSON, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00406
BOOTH, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00408
ELLIOTT, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.
C.A. No. 1:12-00409
LARSON, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00401
BELNAP, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00404
SOUTHWOOD, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00407

Eastern District of Kentucky

FANNIN, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 0:12-00062
O'BRAH, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:12-00046
MURPHY v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:12-00205
SMITH, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 5:12-00223
ROBERTS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:12-00121
COOTS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:12-00134
DAVIS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:12-00139

Western District of Kentucky

FLANARY, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:12-00381
PENNINGTON, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00081

Eastern District of Louisiana

WEISKOPF v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-01842

Western District of Louisiana

DUFOUR v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-01896
FUSELIER v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 6:12-01895

Eastern District of Missouri

BALL, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00232

Eastern District of New York

WHALEY, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:14-04275

Middle District of Tennessee

HENSLEY, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00070
CLARK v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00071
KESTNER v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 3:12-00706

Eastern District of Texas

ELLIS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00413
PEACOCK, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:12-00438

Southern District of Texas

SENDT, ET AL. v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-00202
CASTILLO, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02051
REYES, ET AL. v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02052
GRUBB, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02053
KLOTZ, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02054

CHAUMET v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02055
CRANFIELD, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02058
MONTGOMERY-WOODS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.,
ET AL., C.A. No. 4:12-02062
THACKER v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02063
HANSEN, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 4:12-02076
PEREZ, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 6:12-00032
CAMPBELL, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 7:12-00216
DIAZ v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 7:12-00221

Western District of Texas

FREEMAN, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00610

District of Utah

LINDHARDT, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:12-00141
LEINWEBER v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-00142
COX, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-00161
STIRDIVANT v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:12-00163
BIRD v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00624
BIRD, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00625
CROCKER v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00626
GAUTHIER, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00627
MEADOWS v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00629
OWENS v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00630
GILLETTE, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00631
BUTCHER, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00632
COOKE, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00633

VALLEJOS v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00634
GRAY, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00635
LOWE, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00636
LARSEN, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00637
SHOCK, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00639
STEFANOFF, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00640
THOMPSON, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00641
GILES, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00643
ROJOS-ROGERS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00644
WILEY, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00645
CAPPS, ET AL. v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL.,
C.A. No. 2:12-00745
DUMAS v. AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, ET AL., C.A. No. 2:12-00747

MDL No. 2326 – **IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Loretta Ortega, et al., to transfer of the following action to the
United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

District of New Mexico

ORTEGA, ET AL. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:14-00719

MDL No. 2327 – **IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Julia Geel, et al.; Sharon Miller, et al.; Felicita Torres, et al.;
Denise Bragg, et al.; Mary Nell Evans, et al.; and Judy Locke, et al., to transfer of their respective
following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Eastern District of Missouri

GEEL, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:14-01397
MILLER, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:14-01403

District of New Mexico

TORRES, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00743

Eastern District of Texas

BRAGG, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 6:14-00719

Northern District of Texas

EVANS, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-03062

Southern District of Texas

LOCKE, ET AL. v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 4:14-02648

**MDL No. 2360 - IN RE: SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL CORP. (SAIC)
BACKUP TAPE DATA THEFT LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant Leidos, Inc., to reopen MDL No. 2360 and to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia:

Eastern District of California

FERNANDEZ v. LEIDOS, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:14-02247

**MDL No. 2419 - IN RE: NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC.,
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of defendants Anonymous Sports Medicine Center; Anonymous Surgery Center; Anonymous M.D.; Anonymous Pain Clinic; Anonymous M.D. 1; Anonymous M.D. 2; and Anonymous Health Care Provider No. 1 to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Northern District of Indiana

HANCOCK, ET AL. v. UNIFIRST CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-01770

Southern District of Indiana

BIRGE, ET AL. v. UNIFIRST CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-01203
GRIGGS v. UNIFIRST CORPORATION, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00112

MDL No. 2428 – **IN RE: FRESENIUS GRANUFLO/NATURALYTE DIALYSATE PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Vanessa H. Moore; The State of Louisiana; and Carol Cook, et al., and defendants Muskogee Regional Medical Center, LLC, et al.; HHS Hospitals, Inc., d/b/a Hillcrest Medical Center, et al.; and Renal Treatment Centers-West, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Southern District of Alabama

MOORE v. FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,
C.A. No. 1:14-00381

Middle District of Louisiana

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA v. FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE HOLDINGS,
INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00490

Western District of Oklahoma

COOK, ET AL. v. FRESENIUS USA, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 5:14-01005

MDL No. 2440 – **IN RE: COOK MEDICAL, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Joyce L. Mitchell, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia:

Western District of Missouri

MITCHELL, ET AL. v. COVIDIEN PLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:14-00636

MDL No. 2493 – **IN RE: MONITRONICS INTERNATIONAL, INC., TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Todd C. Bank to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia:

Eastern District of New York

BANK v. ALLIANCE SECURITY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-04410

MDL No. 2543 – **IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS LLC IGNITION SWITCH LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Alejandro Alers, Sr., and Taunia Green, et al., to transfer of the *Alers* and *Green* actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and motion of defendant General Motors LLC to transfer the *Precht* and *Frank* actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Central District of California

ALERS, SR. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 2:14-07258

Southern District of Florida

PRECHT v. GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, C.A. No. 1:14-20971
FRANK v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, C.A. No. 1:14-21652

Middle District of Tennessee

GREEN, ET AL. v. GENERAL MOTORS LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-00107

MDL No. 2557 – **IN RE: AUTO BODY SHOP ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs Crawford's Auto Center, Inc., and State of Louisiana, et al., and defendants The Progressive Corporation, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Northern District of Illinois

CRAWFORD'S AUTO CENTER, INC. v. STATE FARM MUTUAL
AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:14-03146

Middle District of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA, ET AL. v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY
COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:14-00550

RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard" and shall be limited to 2 pages.

- (i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process.

Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

- (i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.
- (ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.