

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN:
Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges
United States District Court
Middle District of Florida

MEMBERS:
Judge Louis C. Bechtle
United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Judge John F. Keenan
United States District Court
Southern District of New York

Judge Morey L. Sear
United States District Court
Eastern District of Louisiana

Judge Bruce M. Selya
United States Court of Appeals
First Circuit

Judge Julia Smith Gibbons
United States District Court
Western District of Tennessee

Judge D. Lowell Jensen
United States District Court
Northern District of California

DIRECT REPLY TO:

Michael J. Beck
Clerk of the Panel
One Columbus Circle, NE
Thurgood Marshall Federal
Judiciary Building
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800
Fax: [202] 502-2888

June 15, 2001

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: July 26, 2001

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Everett McKinley Dirksen U.S. Courthouse
Ceremonial Courtroom No. 2525, 25th Floor
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:30 a.m.** to request the amount of time desired by each for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than **July 9, 2001**. Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

Very truly,


Michael J. Beck
Clerk of the Panel

c: Clerk, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION
FILED

JUNE 15, 2001

MICHAEL J. BECK
CLERK OF THE PANEL

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

***WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, LOUIS C. BECHTLE, JOHN F. KEENAN,
MOREY L. SEAR, BRUCE M. SELYA, JULIA SMITH GIBBONS, AND D. LOWELL
JENSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL***

HEARING SESSION ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that on July 26, 2001, a hearing session will be held in Chicago, Illinois, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. §1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule shall be designated for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule shall be considered without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to issue a subsequent notice designating any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

FOR THE PANEL:



Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
July 26, 2001 -- Chicago, Illinois

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Motions of defendants Maremont Corporation, Inc., Center for Claims Resolution, Inc., Amchem Products, Inc., C.E. Thurston & Sons, Inc., CertainTeed Corporation, Dana Corporation, Pfizer, Inc., Quigley Company, Inc., Shook & Fletcher Insulation Company, T&N, plc, and Union Carbide Corporation for transfer of their following action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of California

Rolando Doval, et al. v. Maremont Corp., C.A. No. 3:01-540

Joseph Perez, et al. v. Center for Claims Resolution, Inc., C.A. No. 3:01-1627

Central District of Illinois

Thomas F. Lowry, III, et al. v. Center for Claims Resolution, et al.,
C.A. No.1:00-1454

Northern District of Mississippi

William Young, et al. v. Center for Claims Resolution, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 4:00-225

MDL-1221-- In re Case IH Cotton Picker Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Case Corporation to remand of the actions listed on Attachment A from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas to their respective transferor courts.

MDL-1274 -- In re Gemstar Development Corporation Patent Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs EchoStar Communications Corp., et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

District of Colorado

EchoStar Communications Corp., et al. v. Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-2440

MDL-1296 -- In re Alliance Equipment Lease Program Securities Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants Baker & Hostetler, Frank M. Mock, and Jeffrey E. Decker to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

Middle District of Florida

Virginia Rice, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-244
Wilbert Schulz, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-245
Ruth R. Floyd, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-246
Bruce Yates, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-247
Robert Janke, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-248
Roger Boucher, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-287
Audrey Boyles, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-288
Ronald Peil, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-289
Mary Ann Krapke, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-315
Sandra Turner Eastwood v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-316
Carol J. Blevins v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-317
Ralph D. Roberts, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-318
Diane P. Kerkhoff, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-319
John L. McSwain, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-320
Sharon Richter, et al. v. Baker & Hostetler, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 6:00-466

MDL-1407 -- In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Aline Ricks, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Eastern District of Louisiana

Aline Ricks, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-488
LaJeune W. Torotorich v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-780
Pliney Brown v. American Home Products Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-781

Western District of Louisiana

Carolyn Yoakum v. Novartis Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-522

District of Massachusetts

Alexander P. Ziolkowski, etc. v. Novartis Consumer Health, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:01-10324
Stacey Kerrigan, et al. v. Whitehall-Robins, et al., C.A. No. 1:01-10325

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Vicki E. Hollingshead, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1506

Northern District of Texas

Kelley Howard v. Schering-Plough Corp., C.A. No. 1:01-47

Western District of Washington

Jane M. McCormmach, et al. v. Schering-Plough Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-303
Sandy B. Rasmussen, et al. v. Glaxosmithkline Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-304
Collette Dietschi, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-306
Linda Knight, et al. v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 2:01-307
Nancy E. French, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 2:01-308
Linda J. Crichton, et al. v. Novartis Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-309

MDL-1408 -- In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendants Zeneca Inc. and Barr Laboratories, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Northern District of California

Karen Jo Koonan v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-779

Eastern District of Michigan

Rebecca Bennish, et al. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:00-74948

Eastern District of New York

Betty Joblove v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-6046

Allied Services Division Welfare Fund v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-6540

Lynda Knee v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-6729

Eugene DeJesus, et al. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-7521

MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Ester Grace Javier, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and motion of plaintiffs Robert Ross, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of California

Leslie Cooper v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-1396

Ester Grace Javier, et al. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-1377

MDL-1409 (Continued)

Southern District of New York

Jeffrey Silberman, et al. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-3342
Donald E. Wood v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-3617
Inducon Park Associates, Inc. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-3683

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Robert Ross, et al. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1006
Joseph C. Chatham v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1682
Andrea Kune v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1683
Jonathan Lipner v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1856
Julie Finkelman v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1890
Howard Steinlauf v. Visa U.S.A. Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1996

MDL-1410 -- In re Buspirone Patent Litigation

Motion of defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of Connecticut

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Danbury Pharmacal, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-304

Southern District of New York

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-414

District of Vermont

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Mylan Technologies, Inc., C.A. No. 2:01-18

Northern District of West Virginia

Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:00-205

MDL-1411 -- In re Air Crash Near Scranton, Pennsylvania, on May 21, 2000

Motion of plaintiffs David Fumanti, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania:

Eastern District of New York

Gerald L. Decker, et al. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-6906

Leonard T. Erhardt, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-6912

Brian J. Cali, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-7276

Christian J. Pilosi, et al. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-7278

Henry Maleski, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-7297

Robin Supatosky, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-7401

Middle District of Pennsylvania

David Fumanti, et al. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 3:00-2087

David Yanchausky, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 3:00-2091

Rosemarie Kasper, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al.,
C.A. No. 3:00-2092

Paul Kunkle, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:00-2093

Robert Appel, etc. v. East Coast Aviation Services, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-8

MDL-1413 -- In re Buspirone Antitrust Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Valerie Meyers, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of District of Columbia; motion of defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York; and motion of plaintiffs Georgia S. Gerstein, Lisa Brooks, and Michelle J. Burns for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Central District of California

Marla Gorelick v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 5:01-283

Northern District of California

Dorothy Wallace v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-1523

Lillian Singer v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-1563

Robert K. Alderman v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-1615

Rebecca Harris v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 5:01-20342

California Congress of Seniors, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.,
C.A. No. 5:01-20351

Southern District of California

Hillary Weiss v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-712

District of District of Columbia

Watson Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Inc.,
C.A. No. 1:01-539

HIP Health Plan of Florida, Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Inc.,
C.A. No. 1:01-560

Robert Levine v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:01-707

Louisiana Wholesale Drug Co., Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.,
C.A. No. 1:01-712

Gray Panthers v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:01-803

Valerie Meyers, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-818

Norman Seabrook, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:01-848

MDL-1413 (Continued)

Southern District of Florida

Rhoda Sokoloff v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 0:01-6645

District of Maine

Marianne Stover v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 2:01-118

Eastern District of Michigan

Great Lakes Health Plan, Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 2:01-71586

District of New Jersey

Georgia S. Gerstein v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-1625

Lisa Brooks v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-2009

Michelle J. Burns v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 3:01-2085

Southern District of New York

Citizen Action of New York, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:01-2943

Marcy Altman v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., C.A. No. 1:01-3367

MDL-1416 -- In re Coal-Fired Electric Utilities Clean Air Act Litigation

Motion of plaintiff The United States of America for centralization of the following actions in a single United States district court:

Northern District of Alabama

The United States of America v. Alabama Power Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-152

Northern District of Georgia

The United States of America v. Alabama Power Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:99-2859

MDL-1417 -- In re Amsted Industries Inc. "ERISA" Litigation

Motion of defendants Amsted Industries Incorporated, Amsted's Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Matthew J. Hower, Arthur W. Goetschel, Raymond A. Jean, David B. Whitehurst, Lewis Collens, John M. Dixon, John E. Jones, W. Robert Reum, Richard E. Terry, Gordon R. Lohman, Thomas C. Berg, Joseph W. Newman, Paul F. Fischer, O.J. Sopranos, Byron D. Speice, Robert A. Chiappetta, and David A. Pawlowski for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Alabama

Thomas P. Frieburg, et al. v. Amsted Industries Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1006
Rennie L. Blankenship, et al. v. Amsted Industries, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1007
Jackie P. Carlee, et al. v. Amstsed Industries, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1009

Northern District of Illinois

Jeffery Bradley v. Amstsed Industries Inc. Employees' Stock Ownership Plan, ("ESOP"), et al., C.A. No. 1:01-2963

MDL-1418 -- In re Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co. Employee Settlement Agreements Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Norman Harding, et al., James W. Hoffann, Russell Lambert, and Gene Richards for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington:

District of Montana

Russell Lambert v. Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 9:01-94

District of Oregon

Gene Richards v. Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 3:01-1725

MDL-1418 (Continued)

Eastern District of Washington

James W. Hoffann v. Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:01-102

Western District of Washington

Norman Harding, et al. v. Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:01-452
Donald D. Butts, et al. v. Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:01-587

MDL-1419 -- In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Schering-Plough Corporation for centralization of the following actions
in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Alabama

Frances Irene Steadman v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1263

Central District of California

Natalie Sutin v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-4182

Northern District of California

Jacqueline Cundiff v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-1835
Estelle Travers v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:01-1971

Southern District of Florida

Mildred Grossman v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 9:01-8418

MDL-1419 (Continued)

District of Minnesota

Consumers for Affordable Healthcare Coalition v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:01-820

District of New Jersey

HIP Health Plan of Florida, Inc. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:01-1652

United Food & Commerical Workers Local 56 Health & Welfare Department v.
Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1769

Amy Reichert v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:10-1770

Carl M. Wallace, et al. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1771

Stanley Nemser v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1943

Stanley Nemser v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1944

Norman Seabrook, et al. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2090

Rose Lipscomb v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2119

Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity v. Schering-Plough
Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2191

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Dawn Maffei v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2012

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs Ricky Gene Oxford, Julita Szewczyk, etc., John Lindfors, and Henry Wood, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Northern District of California

Ricky Gene Oxford v. A.H. Voss Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-869

Southern District of New York

Julita Szewczyk, etc. v. Metro-North Railroad Co., C.A. No. 1:01-199

District of South Carolina

John Lindfors v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-571

Henry Wood, et al. v. ACandS, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-572

MDL-1061 -- In re Prudential Insurance Company of America Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Joe W. Uresti to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Western District of Texas

Joe W. Uresti v. Prudential Insurance Co. of America, et al., C.A. No. 5:01-104

MDL-1091 -- In re Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Burt F. Baker to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

Middle District of Alabama

Burt F. Baker v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-319

MDL-1105 -- In re New England Mutual Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Albert J. Singletary, D.M.D., et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Southern District of Mississippi

Albert J. Singletary, D.M.D., et al. v. New England Mutual Life, et al.,
C.A. No. 3:00-988

MDL-1173 -- In re American Airlines, Inc., Flight 869 Turbulence Incident on January 17, 1996

Opposition of defendant American Airlines, Inc., to remand of the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Virgin Islands:

Southern District of Florida

Jane Felix v. American Airlines, Inc., C.A. No. 1:97-2316 (D. Virgin Islands,
C.A. No. 1:97-20)
Patricia Simmons, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:97-2317
(D. Virgin Islands, C.A. No. 1:96-76)

MDL-1291 -- In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Astra Aktiebolag, et al., to remand of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Southern District of New York

- Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:99-8926
(S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:98-6521)
Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., C.A. No. 1:99-9887
(S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:99-6893)
Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Cheminor Drugs, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:99-8927
(D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:99-1798)
Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Cheminor Drugs, Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:00-4467
(D. New Jersey C.A. No. 2:00-1343)
Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Kremers Urban Development Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:99-8928 (E.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 2:99-131)
Astra Aktiebolag, et al. v. Kremers Urban Development Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:99-9888 (E.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 2:99-910)

MDL-1334 --In re Managed Care Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Connecticut State Medical Society, Sue McIntosh, M.D., et al., F. Scott Gray, M.D., et al., and Stephen R. Levinson, et al., and defendant Anthem Health Plans, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Connecticut

- Connecticut State Medical Society v. Aetna Health Plans of Southern New England, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:01-411
Sue McIntosh, M.D., et al. v. Aetna Health Plans of Southern New England, Inc.,
C.A. No. 3:01-412
Connecticut State Medical Society v. Cigna Healthcare of Connecticut, Inc.,
C.A. No. 3:01-424

MDL-1334 (Continued)

District of Connecticut (Continued)

F. Scott Gray, M.D., et al. v. Cigna Healthcare of Connecticut, Inc.,
C.A. No. 3:01-425

Stephen R. Levinson, et al. v. Anthem Health Plans, Inc., C.A. No. 3:01-426

Connecticut State Medical Society v. Anthem Health Plans, Inc.,
C.A. No. 3:01-428

Motion of defendant Physicians Health Services of Connecticut to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of Connecticut

J. Kevin Lynch, M.D., et al. v. Physicians Health Services of Connecticut,
C.A. No. 3:01-416

Connecticut State Medical Society v. Physical Health Services of Connecticut, Inc., C.A. No. 3:01-417

MDL-1341 -- In re America Online, Inc., Version 5.0 Software Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Scott F. Johnson to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

District of New Hampshire

Scott F. Johnson v. America Online, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-132

MDL-1344 -- In re Air Crash Near Nantucket Island, Massachusetts, on October 31, 1999

Opposition of plaintiff Ereeny Kamel, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

Central District of California

Ereeny Kamel, etc. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 2:01-437

Motion of plaintiffs Faith Freeman, et al., Amira Mansour, etc., Melvin Bowman, etc., Richard Seidman, etc., Marilyn Yeager, et al., and Susan Murray, et al., to remand the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Eastern District of New York

Faith Freeman, et al. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-173 (C.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:00-11685)

Amira Mansour, etc. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-174 (C.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:00-11686)

Melvin Bowman, etc. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-175 (C.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:00-11687)

Richard Seidman, etc. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-176 (C.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:00-11688)

Marilyn Yeager, et al. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-179 (D. Colorado,
C.A. No. 1:00-2147)

Susan Murray, et al. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:00-3665 (E.D. Pennsylvania,
C.A. No. 1:00-1266)

Susan Murray, et al. v. EgyptAir, Inc., C.A. No. 1:01-1465 (E.D. Pennsylvania,
C.A. No. 2:01-80)

MDL-1348 -- In re Rezulin Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Middle District of Alabama

Geraldine Stephens, etc. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-266

Southern District of Alabama

Ouida Faile, etc. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-259

Middle District of Florida

Adeline A. Nichols, etc. v. Warner-Lambert Co., C.A. No. 8:01-740

Southern District of Florida

Shirley Clow, etc. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:01-1101

Eastern District of Louisiana

Bertha Smith v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1084

Oray Marks v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1100

Rodney McKinney v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1103

Margaret Longuefosse v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1127

Isidore Falco v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1140

Lillie Mae Ratliff v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1145

John Milano v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1155

Susan Stapler v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1157

Dolores Smith v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1158

Rose Ann, et al. Lavigne v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-1160

Middle District of Louisiana

Betty Deamer, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-297

Edna Price v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-298

Richard Boudreaux v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-300

MDL-1348 (Continued)

Western District of Louisiana

Louise Beverly v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:01-662
Lillie Freeman v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:01-665
Gloria Carter v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:01-666
Tommy Hamilton v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:01-667
Vernell Lewis v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:01-668

Northern District of Mississippi

Deborah Williams Fortney, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-93
Manuel Y. Sifuentes, Jr. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 2:01-94
Edward Hurlbert, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 3:01-64

Southern District of Mississippi

Cynthia E. Shows, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 2:01-106
Henry Andrews, Jr., et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 3:01-257
Mary E. Lewis, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-268
Louverta King, et al. v. Parke-Davis, et al., C.A. No. 5:01-101

Southern District of Texas

Quirino Cano, et al. v. Warner-Lambert Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:01-55

MDL-1355 -- In re Propulsid Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Carol Fountain, et al., and J.C. Jackson, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Western District of Missouri

Carol Fountain, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:01-380

Western District of Tennessee

J.C. Jackson, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2113

MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., ATX, ATX II and Wilderness Tires Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Jennifer P. Boonlorn, et al., Tyson Smith, etc., Michelle Fayard, Geoffrey Coffin, et al., Lynn Brown Jackson, et al., and Barbara Haffey Bogy, etc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

District of Arizona

Jennifer P. Boonlorn, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-665

Western District of Arkansas

Tyson Smith, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., C.A. No. 1:00-1137

Central District of California

Michelle Fayard v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-2477

District of Connecticut

Geoffrey Coffin, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:01-506

MDL-1373 (Continued)

Southern District of Mississippi

Lynn Brown Jackson, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:01-83
Barbara Haffey Bogy, etc. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-116

Motion of defendant Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

Middle District of Louisiana

Heather M. Gaudet v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., C.A. No. 3:01-1

MDL-1382 -- In re Unitrin, Inc., Industrial Life Insurance Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Angela Armstrong, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Southern District of Mississippi

Angela Armstrong, et al. v. Unitrin, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:01-66

MDL-1390 -- In re Life Insurance Co. of Georgia Industrial Life Insurance Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Dorothy Roberts, Missouri Martin, et al., Marie Spencer, and Evelene Spencer, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Middle District of Alabama

Dorothy Roberts v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al., C.A. No. 2:01-293
Missouri Martin, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:01-416

MDL-1390 (Continued)

Northern District of Alabama

Marie Spencer v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al., C.A. No. 7:01-618
Evelene Spencer, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al.,
C.A. No. 7:01-869

MDL-1393 -- In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co. Tires Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Darrell Lloyd Dorman, et al., Tony Potthoff, Brandon L. Johnson, et al., Kelly Ann Comfort, Daniel A. Fogel, and Janet Crowther, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

District of Idaho

Darrell Lloyd Dorman, et al. v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 1:01-21

Western District of Missouri

Tony Potthoff v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 4:01-192

District of Nebraska

Brandon L. Johnson, et al. v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 4:01-146

Northern District of New York

Kelly Ann Comfort v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 3:01-363

District of South Carolina

Daniel A. Fogel v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 2:01-426

District of Utah

Janet Crowther, et al. v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., C.A. No. 2:01-191

MDL-1396 -- In re St. Jude Medical, Inc., Silzone Heart Valves Products Liability

Oppositions of plaintiffs Pamela S. Crawford, etc., and Ronald E. Linker, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Southern District of Georgia

Pamela S. Crawford, etc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., C.A. No. 4:00-330

District of South Carolina

Ronald E. Linker, et al. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., C.A. No. 9:00-3765

MDL-1397 -- In re Laidlaw Inc. Securities Litigation

Opposition of defendants Banc of America Securities, LLC, Bank One Corporation, CIBC Openheimer, Goldman, Sachs & Co., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, and TD Securities (USA), Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

Southern District of New York

Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v. John R. Grainger, et al.,
C.A. No. 1:00-9436

MDL-1412 -- In re America Online, Inc., Version 6.0 Software Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Mark Chester, Jon Lukin, and Melanie Mars for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Central District of California

Melanie Mars v. America Online, Inc., C.A. No. 8:00-1202

MDL-1412 (Continued)

Northern District of Illinois

Mark Chester v. America Online, Inc., C.A. No. 1:00-8139

Eastern District of New York

John Lukin v. America Online, Inc., C.A. No. 2:00-7674

ATTACHMENT A

MDL-1221 -- In re Case IH Cotton Picker Products Liability Litigation

Eastern District of Arkansas

Terry R. Spivey v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-169 (M.D. Alabama,
C.A. No. 1:98-1325)
Georgia Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-486
(M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:98-186)
Howard B. Swanson, Jr. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-557 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:98-215)
John A. Braynt, Jr. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-563 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:98-216)
Cotton States Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-558 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:98-217)
Daniel M. Grebel, Sr. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-559 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:98-218)
Chris McRee, et al. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-560 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:98-219)
J.C. Thaggard v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-280 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:99-29)
Gary Heard v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-324 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:99-140)
Perry T. Brock v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-325 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:99-141)
Harold Israel, Jr. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-326 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:99-142)
Dewitt Webb v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-327 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:99-143)
Charles Patterson, et al. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:00-58 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 1:99-194)
B&J Co., Inc. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-8 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-56)
Grange Mutual Casualty Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-16 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 6:98-57)
Bobby Yates, et al. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-9 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 6:98-58)
Robert Braswell, Sr. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-340 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 6:99-54)
Georgia Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-481
(M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 7:98-58)
Jared Howell, et al. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-10 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 7:98-68)
Tim E. Lott v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-17 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 7:98-69)
Julian Joiner, et al. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-339 (M.D. Georgia,
C.A. No. 7:99-82)

MDL-1221 Attachment A (Continued)

Eastern District of Arkansas (Continued)

- Bennie James Deal v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-27 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 2:98-208)
- William G. Crapps, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-28 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 2:98-209)
- Glens Falls Insurance Co., et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-33 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 5:98-73)
- Georgia Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Co., et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-34 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-165)
- Georgia Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Co., et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-35 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-166)
- Sam Smith, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-36 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-167)
- Commercial Union Insurance Co., et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-37 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-168)
- Alan Thigpen v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-38 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:98-169)
- Darrin Batchelor v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-338 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 6:99-121)
- Lucy McDonald, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-493 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:98-1390)
- Allen Crosthwait v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-337 (N.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 1:97-298)
- Allen Crosthwait v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-197 (N.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 1:98-352)
- Bobby Dee King v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:01-87 (Adversary Proceeding in N.D. Mississippi Bky.Court, C.A. No. 1:00-1247)
- Long Lake Farms v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-27 (N.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 2:98-27)
- Terry Wayne Byrd, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-26 (N.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 2:98-189)
- E.F. Wooten v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-330 (N.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 3:98-17)
- Federated Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-11 (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 5:98-164)
- Franklin Gleason, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-342 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 4:98-879)
- John S. McGill, et al. v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:98-343 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 4:98-880)
- Emmitt F. Rouse v. Case Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:99-113 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 9:98-3350)

MDL-1221 Attachment A (Continued)

Eastern District of Arkansas (Continued)

Ralph Hardin v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-354 (W.D. Tennessee,
C.A. No. 1:98-1055)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-303
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1094)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-302
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1095)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-301
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1096)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-300
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1097)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-299
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1098)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-298
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1099)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-294
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1100)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-295
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1101)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-293
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1102)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-296
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1103)
Tennessee Farmers Mutual Insurance Co. v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:98-297
(W.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:98-1104)
James A. Turner v. Case Corp., C.A. No. 5:99-308 (W.D. Tennessee,
C.A. No. 1:99-1044)

PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.

(b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.

(c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.

(d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.

(e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.

(f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.

(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

(h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.

(i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.